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## Project Overview

What is the quality of life like in San Luis Obispo County? Do residents feel safe? Are there enough employment opportunities? Do people feel they have access to good schools and services? Is our community healthy?

These are just a few of the questions that a consortium of public and private health, education, business, environmental, human service and civic organizations set out to answer when they began the ACTION for Healthy Communities project in the late 1990's. As defined, the project goals are:

- Raise public awareness of human needs, changing trends, emerging issues and community problems;
- Provide accurate, credible and valid information on an ongoing basis to human services planners and those providing funds;
- Provide information for individual institutions to guide decision-making about creation, management, and redesign of programs;
- Establish community goals using measurable Quality-of-Life Indicators that will lead to positive, healthy development for individuals, families and communities;
- Develop and support collaborative action plans to achieve the community goals.

The first wave of data collection occurred in 1999. The project was updated in 2001 and 2003 and this 2006 survey represents the fourth report, in which many of the measures have been examined for trends, and some new indicators have been added.

ACTION for Healthy Communities will provide survey results and data to the community through this Comprehensive Report and a separate Indicators Report. To download additional free copies of these reports, please visit United Way of San Luis Obispo County's web site, www.unitedwayslo.org.

For further information, or to order these reports, contact: ACTION for Healthy Communities c/o San Luis Obispo County Community Foundation, P.O. Box 1580, San Luis Obispo, CA 93406 Voice: 805-543-2323 Fax: 805-543-2346.

## Introduction

ACTION for Healthy Communities believes that by sharing resources and working together, more comprehensive and useful planning tools can be developed. ACTION also believes that a more comprehensive approach to assessing the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County will enable organizations that allocate resources to more effectively address critical community concerns.

In 2003, UCSB Economic Forecast Project conducted the assessment for San Luis Obispo County. Applied Survey Research was selected to update and conduct the 2006 assessment and survey.

The Comprehensive Report is considered the first step in a comprehensive planning process.

ACTION celebrates the collaborative spirit of all individuals and organizations involved with this ongoing effort. We especially thank our financial sponsors for their support, encouragement and faith in the process.

## Mission and Guiding Principles

The mission of ACTION is to "develop a comprehensive planning approach to identify and address community needs through collaborative efforts with community partners to implement effective programs that improve community health and well being."

ACTION has adopted these guiding principles:

- Long-term approach to planning and program development
- Creation of a collaborative planning mechanism
- Commitment to a community-driven process with consumer empowerment
- Assessment should result in identification of priorities and action plans


## Desired Outcomes

ACTION has developed the following desired outcomes for this community assessment:

To study the community health and services that exist in order to:

1. Provide accurate, credible, and valid information on an ongoing basis to health and human service planners, policy-makers, and funders concerning the creation, management and support of programs.
2. Encourage collaboration and partnerships to facilitate improved service delivery that is
coordinated, holistic and consolidated within the community.
3. Set Community Indicators that can serve as the basis for the formulation of Community Goals that will lead to a community-wide vision and plan for improving community health.
4. Re-assess key indicators every three years to track progress and to identify emerging gaps and resources so that strategies for responding to the gaps can be developed.

## The Community Assessment Process

This community assessment model provides a comprehensive view of the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County. It is based on primary (public opinion) data and secondary (empirical trend) data

## Methodology

## Primary Data

Measures of community progress depend upon consistent, reliable, and scientifically accurate sources of data. One of the types of data gathered for this project is primary (public opinion) data. There is much to be learned from people's perception of their community, especially when perceptions contradict the empirical evidence about its conditions. For instance, in the area of public safety, crime rates may be going down while perceptions of danger are going up.

For this reason, in 1999, 2001, 2003 and again in 2006, a random telephone survey of 500 county residents was conducted in both English and Spanish. Telephone numbers were randomly selected from all valid exchanges in the county, including unlisted numbers. The intent of the survey is to measure the opinions of the overall population of the county. In many cases, questions used in earlier ACTION telephone surveys were used for tracking purposes.

It is important to note that all surveys have some inherent error. We are $95 \%$ confident the opinions of survey respondents reflect those of the general population within approximately $+/-4.5 \%$.

In addition to the countywide telephone survey, ACTION conducted Target Group surveys of three groups that might not have enough members in the 500 telephone interviews to allow separate analysis of their answers.

The three groups are:

- Seniors and frail/dependent adults
- Homeless
- Low income Latinos
that are gathered for a series of indicators in seven areas- basic needs, education, the economy, health, natural environment, public safety, and social environment.

ACTION developed the Target Group
questionnaires in English and Spanish. Nineteen organizations that serve one or more of the three Target Groups then surveyed their clients/patients/constituents using the ACTION questionnaires. Over 600 surveys were completed.

## Secondary Data

Another type of data used for this project is secondary or empirical data. Secondary data are collected from a variety of sources including but not limited to: the U.S. Census, federal, state, and local government agencies, academic institutions, economic development groups, health care institutions, libraries, schools, local police, sheriff and fire departments.

## Note on Population Figures

Unless otherwise noted, population data were drawn from the California Department of Finance's annual estimates for January of each year.

California Health Interview Survey for 2001,2003 and 2005

Some responses from the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS) were included in this report. CHIS is the largest health survey of its kind in the nation. Further, CHIS is the largest telephone survey in California, interviewing one adult in 55,000 randomly selected households. The survey reached over 5,000 adolescents (ages 12-17) and, through interviewing their parent, over 12,000 children under age 12. The major areas covered in the survey include health-related behaviors, health insurance coverage, health status and conditions,
and access to health care services. To ensure diverse populations were included in the survey, telephone interviews were conducted in six languages: English, Spanish, Chinese (Mandarin and Cantonese dialects), Vietnamese, Korean and Khmer (Cambodian).

Healthy People 2010 Objectives
The Healthy People 2010 Objectives are a set of health objectives for the nation to achieve over the first decade of the new century. Many different people, states, communities, professional organizations and others can use the objectives to help develop programs to improve health.

Healthy People 2010 goals build on initiatives pursued over the past two decades including Healthy People 2000: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives developed by the United States Department of Health and Human Services. The goals of these initiatives were to establish national health objectives and serve as the basis for the development of state and community plans. Like its predecessors, Healthy People 2010 was developed through a broad consultation process, built on the best scientific knowledge and designed to measure programs over time.

## Data Report

Every three years, the primary and secondary data will be compiled into a Comprehensive Report. The intent of this report is to provide an in-depth look at the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County. Ethnicity, income, senior and geographic
breakdowns have been incorporated in many of the primary data items as well. Please refer to the legend for explanations of frequently used symbols and notations throughout the report.

## Legend

Some survey responses are reported by geographic sub-areas. Those sub-areas are organized as follows:

North County Atascadero, Bee Rock, California
Valley, Camp Roberts, Cholame, Creston, Lake
Nacimiento, Paso Robles, Pozo, Klau, San
Miguel, Santa Margarita, Shandon, Templeton
North Coast Baywood, Cambria, Cayucos, Harmony, Los Osos, Morro Bay, San Simeon

San Luis Obispo City of San Luis Obispo, Avila Beach<br>South County Arroyo Grande, Halcyon, Grover Beach, Nipomo, Oceano, Pismo Beach, Shell Beach

Indicates that no new data for a particular indicator are available
\% Change Describes a change in value between the current and first year's data. This only applies when the data are not percentages or rates

Net Change Describes the net change between the current and first year's data
울
Denotes a telephone survey question
Indicates data from the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS), 2001, 2003, and 2005

## Suggested Uses of this Report

Because the scope of this project is so broad, it may take a while to become familiar with the data and format of the report. The tips shown below are offered to make the report more useful to the user.

## For a Broad Overview of Quality of Life Issues:

It is best to review the first page of each of the seven sections of the San Luis Obispo County report-basic needs, education, the economy, health, natural environment, public safety, and social environment. Each of these sections begins with a summary of the research area.

## For Information About Trends that May Impact Your Business or Organization:

Within each of the seven research areas there is a wealth of information on a wide range of issues. One of the most useful features of this report is the display of trend data, where it is available. A tremendous amount of detailed information can be found that may help identify new customers or emerging needs, or explain changes in the local environment that will have future impacts. In selected areas, demographic breakdowns of data, most commonly by ethnicity, income, seniors or geographic areas have been included.

## For Data to Support or Refine Your Services and Products:

This information is intended to be used in your own reports and proposals, and as a baseline for performance systems. Whenever report data is used, ACTION should be acknowledged. ACTION representatives are available to speak with groups about the information in this report.

## Supplementary Reports Available

In addition to this Comprehensive Report, an Indicators Report is available which highlights and provides analysis of over 40 key Quality of Life Indicators. Separate detailed computer tabulations of the 2006 telephone survey and Target Group surveys are available. To download additional free copies of these reports, please visit United Way of San Luis Obispo County's web site, www.unitedwayslo.org.

For further information, or to order these reports, contact: ACTION for Healthy Communities c/o San Luis Obispo County Community Foundation, P.O. Box 1580, San Luis Obispo, CA 93406 Voice: 805-543-2323 Fax: 805-543-2346.
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## Basic Needs Issues

Basic needs indicators attempt to measure some of the most fundamental quality of life issues in American society. While food, shelter and medical care often seem abundant in California, nearly every community has some people who struggle with these types of basic needs. The County of San Luis Obispo is no exception.

Since the first ACTION for Healthy Communities Telephone Survey in 1999, the percentage of those who have gone without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care has increased from $8 \%$ to $13 \%$ in 2006. Amongst those who struggle with these types of basic needs, Health Care ( $52 \%$ ), Food ( $38 \%$ ) and Clothing ( $36 \%$ ) have consistently represented the top three challenges. While the percentage of those in San Luis Obispo who delayed getting prescription medicines did not change substantially from 2001 to 2003 (approximately $12 \%$ ), California has seen an increase from $9 \%$ to over $12 \%$ during the same time period. There was a slight increase in the percentage of those unable to afford enough food in San Luis Obispo, which rose from 23\% in 2001 to $33 \%$ in 2005.

In San Luis Obispo County there is growing concern over the homeless issue. In 1999, 73\% of the survey respondents indicated they were either somewhat or very concerned compared to $82 \%$ in 2006. A 2006 report by The Homeless Services Coordinating Council identified 2,301 homeless in known locations, and 107 homeless people who were identified but the location was unknown, for a county total of 2,408 . The County's homeless shelter need has exceeded its capacity. At the Maxine Lewis Memorial Shelter, the number of homeless people who were turned away due to over-capacity grew from 163 people in 1999 to 525 people in 2005.

Fair Market Rents in San Luis Obispo have risen by approximately $18 \%$ to $22 \%$ in every unit size except a four-bedroom, which has increased by $9 \%$ between 2001 and 2005. In addition, nearly $50 \%$ of the survey respondents reported that housing and utilities consume more than one third of their income in 2006. According to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the generally accepted definition of affordable housing is for a household to pay no more than $30 \%$ of its annual income on housing. Spending much more than $30 \%$ impacts a resident's ability to afford other basic needs such as health care and childcare.

Along these same lines, the median home price in San Luis Obispo has increased from \$290,000 in 2002, to \$533,000 in 2006 (84\%), while the median income has only increased from $\$ 50,300$ to $\$ 63,800(27 \%)$ over the same time period. In a county comparison with Fresno, Merced, Ventura/Oxnard, Santa Cruz and Visalia, San Luis Obispo ranked third highest for median sales price in 2006.

Another basic needs indicator looks at the enrollment data for school meal programs. While the percentage of eligible students varies from district to district, San Luis Obispo County enrollment data have remained steady at approximately $32 \%$ since the 2002-2003 school year. This is less than the state level data that has increased steadily from $49 \%$ in 2002-2003 to $51 \%$ in 2005-2006.

## Basic Needs

A1
? In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing, or health care?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $7.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7} \%$ |
| No | $92.3 \%$ | $91.5 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Fif If yes, what do you go without? (Top 3 responses)

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Health Care <br> (including prescriptions) | $51.3 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| Food | $35.9 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ |
| Clothing | $12.8 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}{ }^{1}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ Multiple response question with 64 respondents offering 106 responses.

During the past 12 months, did you either delay or not get a medicine that a doctor prescribed for you?

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo | California | San Luis Obispo | California |
| Delayed or didn't get medicine | $11.2 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| Didn't delay (got medicine) | $88.8 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001 and 2003.
New data not available

Are you able to afford enough food? ${ }^{1}$

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California |
| Able to afford enough <br> food (food secure) | $76.9 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| Not able to afford <br> enough food (food <br> insecure) | $23.1 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 9 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 3 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 2 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2005.
${ }^{1}$ Asked of adults whose income is less than $200 \%$ of the Federal Poverty Level.

Do you eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo | California |
| Eat 5 or more servings fruit/vegetables daily | $50.2 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| Eat less than 5 servings fruit/vegetables daily | $49.8 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 7 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 6 9 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

畕 Do you eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?

| Response | San Luis Obispo |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $41.6 \%$ |
| No | $57.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 446 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Poverty Guidelines

## Federal Guidelines, 2002-2006

## Annual Income Levels

| Family Size | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 2 - 0 6} \%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\$ 8,860$ | $\$ 8,980$ | $\$ 9,310$ | $\$ 9,570$ | $\$ 9,800$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 \%}$ |
| 2 | 11,940 | 12,120 | 12,490 | 12,830 | 13,200 | $10.6 \%$ |
| 3 | 15,020 | 15,260 | 15,670 | 16,090 | 16,600 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 4 | 18,100 | 18,400 | 18,850 | 19,350 | 20,000 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 5 | 21,180 | 21,540 | 22,030 | 22,610 | 23,400 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 6 | 24,260 | 24,680 | 25,210 | 25,870 | 26,800 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 7 | 27,340 | 27,820 | 28,390 | 29,130 | 30,200 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 8 | $\$ 30,420$ | $\$ 30,960$ | $\$ 31,570$ | $\$ 32,390$ | $\$ 33,600$ | $10.5 \%$ |

Source: Department of Health and Human Services, Federal Registry, 2006.
Note: The poverty guidelines shown above represent the 48 contiguous states, including Washington, D.C.; poverty guidelines differ for the states of Hawaii and Alaska.

## Food Insecurity

## Hunger Study Respondents

|  | Total | Homeless | Seniors | Disabled |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Food Insecure: responded "yes" to any of <br> the following six measures. | $53 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $56 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo Hunger Study, 2003.

During the previous year have you:

| Response | Total | Homeless | Seniors | Disabled |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cut size/skip meals because not enough <br> money or food | $37 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Been hungry but didn't eat because couldn't <br> afford enough food | $24 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Chosen between paying for food and paying <br> for medicine | $33 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Chosen between paying for food and paying <br> for rent/mortgage | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Chosen between paying for food and paying <br> for utilities | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Children had to skip meals because not <br> enough money or food' | $17 \%$ |  | 2 | NA |

Source: San Luis Obispo Hunger Study, 2003.
Note: The data are based on 219 interviews with clients of 11 food pantries (106 interviews), 9 kitchens ( 98 interviews), and the 2 shelters ( 15 interviews). The numbers are the percentages of each group that answered "yes"to the question. "Seniors" are people $65+$ years of age and "Disabled" are people receiving Social Security Disability Income (SSDI).
${ }^{1}$ Based on 35 interviews with parents.
${ }^{2}$ Number too small to report.
New data not available

Hunger Study Respondent Types

| Types | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Seniors (age 65+) | $28 \%$ |
| Homeless | $25 \%$ |
| Disabled (receiving SSDI) | $11 \%$ |
| Household Income at or below $150 \%$ of poverty level | $68 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo Hunger Study, 2003.
Note: The data are based on 219 interviews with clients of 11 food pantries (106 interviews), 9 kitchens ( 98 interviews), and the 2 shelters ( 15 interviews). The numbers are the percentages of each group that answered "yes" to the question.

## New data not available

## Homelessness

A4

䞏 How concerned are you about homelessness in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very Concerned | $29.9 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat Concerned | $42.7 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ |
| Not at all Concerned | $27.5 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Homeless Enumeration Report: Locations of Observation - Whole County

| Locations of Observation | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Shelters | 149 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Jail | 31 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Meal Programs | 187 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Living outside: encampments or on the streets | 662 | $28.8 \%$ |
| Mental Health Services | 9 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Transitional or Supportive Housing | 284 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Day Center | 75 | $3.3 \%$ |
| State or County Parks | 174 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Social Services | 71 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Health Services | 76 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Schools (PreK-12) | 583 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 3 0 1}$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: The Homeless Services Coordinating Council, Homeless Enumeration Report, San Luis Obispo County, California (Revised April 21, 2006).
${ }^{1}$ Total does not include 107 homeless people who were identified in unknown locations.

## Homeless Enumeration Report: Locations of Observation - Whole County

| Geographic Region | Frequency | Percent | General <br> Population | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North Coast | 119 | $4.9 \%$ | 33,000 | $13.8 \%$ |
| North County | 1,034 | $43.0 \%$ | 81,000 | $33.9 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 473 | $19.7 \%$ | 45,000 | $18.8 \%$ |
| South County | 781 | $32.4 \%$ | 80,000 | $33.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 7}$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Source: The Homeless Services Coordinating Council, Homeless Enumeration Report, San Luis Obispo County, California (Revised April 21, 2006).
${ }^{1}$ Total does not include one homeless person identified in an unknown region.

## Shelter Over-capacity

| EOC Homeless Services | 1999 | 2002 | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: January - March | 28 | 29 | 81 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter: April - June | 64 | 175 | 158 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter: July - September | 47 | 165 | 261 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter: October - December | 24 | 118 | 25 |
| Total Over-capacity | 163 | 487 | 525 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC), 2006.
Note: These data are for the Maxine Lewis Memorial Shelter, which is operated by the Economic Opportunity Center (EOC). Over-capacity refers to the number of individuals seeking shelter that exceeds the number of available beds. The shelter has a regular capacity of 49 beds per night, plus overflow capacity of $15-35$ beds provided in collaboration with the Interfaith Coalition for the Homeless. Capacity increased in 1999 when the EOC-ICH overflow program expanded from 7 to 12 months per year.

## Clients Placed in Permanent Housing

| EOC Homeless Services | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Single Adults | 43 | 50 | 30 |
| Number of Families | 35 | 48 | 33 |
| Number of Parents | 40 | 55 | 48 |
| Number of Children | 71 | 80 | 61 |
| Percent of Children | $46.1 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| Number of clients permanently housed | 154 | 235 | 139 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC), 2006.

## Fair Market Rents

## Fair Market Rents for San Luis Obispo County

| Number of <br> Bedrooms | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 1 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Efficiency (Studio) | $\$ 525$ | $\$ 584$ | $\$ 619$ | $\$ 641$ | $\$ 620$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 \%}$ |
| 1 Bedroom | 593 | 659 | 699 | 724 | 733 | $23.6 \%$ |
| 2 Bedrooms | 752 | 836 | 886 | 917 | 893 | $18.8 \%$ |
| 3 Bedrooms | 1,045 | 1,162 | 1,232 | 1,276 | 1,301 | $24.5 \%$ |
| 4 Bedrooms | $\$ 1,234$ | $\$ 1,372$ | $\$ 1,454$ | $\$ 1,505$ | $\$ 1,339$ | $8.5 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Market Rent, 2005.

Fair Market Apartment Rents for San Luis Obispo County, 2006

| Number of Bedrooms | Fair Market Apartment Rents |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 Bedroom (baths not specified) | $\$ 758$ |
| 2 Bedroom (baths not specified) | $\$ 923$ |
| 3 Bedroom (baths not specified) | $\$ 1,345$ |

Source: City-Data. Retrieved November 14, 2006 from http://www.city-data.com.

## A6 <br> Housing Affordability

How concerned are you about housing costs in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very Concerned | $55.7 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ |
| Somewhat Concerned | $27.7 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| Not at all Concerned | $16.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Does one-third or more of your income go to housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $54.5 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| No | $\mathbf{4 5 . 5} \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

Among those paying more than one-third of your income to housing expenses, does onehalf or more of your income go to housing, including utilities, such as gas, and electricity?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $37.6 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| No | $62.4 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

울 Is the amount of money you use to pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity...

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less than one-third of your income | $40.8 \%$ |
| Between one-third and one-half of your income | $32.7 \%$ |
| More than one-half of your income | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

San Luis Obispo MSA ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median Price | $\$ 290,000$ | NA | $\$ 400,000$ | $\$ 475,000$ | $\$ 533,000$ |
| Housing Opportunity Index | 13 | NA | 12.1 | 10.5 | 7.8 |
| Medium Income | $\$ 50,300$ | NA | $\$ 61,700$ | $\$ 61,700$ | $\$ 63,800$ |
| National Rank | 188 | NA | 160 | 146 | 169 |

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Market Rent, 2006.
'Metropolitan statistical areas (MSA) are relatively free standing and are not closely associated with other metropolitan areas. There are approximately 190 MSA's nationwide.
${ }^{2}$ First Quarter 2003 data not available.

Housing Affordability - Housing Opportunity Index and National Rank Comparisons

|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Q 2002 |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Q $2003{ }^{1}$ |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Q 2004 |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Q 2005 |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Q 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HO Index | Nat'l Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HO} \\ & \text { Index } \end{aligned}$ | Nat'l Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HO} \\ & \text { Index } \end{aligned}$ | Nat'l Rank | HO <br> Index | Nat'l Rank | HO Index | Nat'l Rank |
| Fresno, CA | 52.1 | 156 | NA | NA | 39.2 | 136 | 19.9 | 136 | 9.2 | 165 |
| Merced, CA | 33.0 | 178 | NA | NA | 21.3 | 151 | 6.8 | 154 | 5.5 | 176 |
| Oxnard-Thousand OaksVentura, CA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14.0 | 144 | 10.8 | 162 |
| Salinas, CA | 7.7 | 191 | NA | NA | 12.7 | 159 | 4.3 | 158 | 5.0 | 178 |
| San Francisco-San MateoRedwood City, CA | 9.2 | 189 | NA | NA | 16.2 | 155 | 10.4 | 147 | 7.8 | 169 |
| San Jose, CA | 20.1 | 185 | NA | NA | 32.6 | 140 | 19.5 | 137 | 14.9 | 156 |
| San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles, CA | 13.0 | 188 | NA | NA | 12.1 | 160 | 10.5 | 146 | 7.8 | 169 |
| Santa Barbara-Santa Maria, CA | 25.2 | 181 | NA | NA | 10.8 | 162 | 5.0 | 157 | 3.2 | 180 |
| Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA | 8.0 | 190 | NA | NA | 15.2 | 157 | 7.7 | 151 | 5.9 | 174 |
| Visalia-Porterville | 63.6 | 132 | NA | NA | 60.5 | 113 | 35.0 | 128 | 18.2 | 151 |
| National | 64.8 | NA | NA | NA | 61.2 | NA | 50.1 | NA | 41.3 | NA |

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Market Rent, 2006.
Note: The Housing Opportunity Index is the share of homes in a particular area that would have been affordable to a family earning the median income. It is based on the median family income, interest rates, and the price distribution of homes sold for each market in a particular quarter of a year. This measure was discontinued in the 1st quarter of 2002 due to insufficient funding. The price of homes sold is collected from actual court records by First American Real Estate Solutions, a marketing company. The median family income for each market is calculated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
' First Quarter 2003 data not avaiable.

Number of New Affordable Housing Units Sold or Rented to Low-and Moderate-Income Families, San Luis Obispo County

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NA | 141 | 267 | 184 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, 2007.
Note: Affordable housing units result from permit requirements and incentives (including state, federal, and local funds) to maximize the number of affordable housing units provided for low- and moderate-income families.

## A7 <br> Housing Prices

Median Sales Price (in thousands of dollars)

| Metropolitan Service Area | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter } \\ 2002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter }^{2} \\ 2003 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter } \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter } \\ 2005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter } \\ 2006 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fresno | \$134 | NA | \$193 | \$249 | \$297 |
| Merced | 163 | NA | 215 | 295 | 365 |
| Ventura/Oxnard | NA | NA | NA | 510 | 591 |
| Santa Cruz | 420 | NA | 505 | 625 | 672 |
| San Luis Obispo | 290 | NA | 400 | 475 | 533 |
| Visalia | 110 | NA | 140 | 188 | 248 |
| National | \$160 | NA | \$186.5 | \$225 | \$250 |

Source: National Association of Homebuilders, Housing Opportunity Index, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ Metropolitan Service Area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjiacent communities that have a high degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus. MSA's are relatively free standing and are not closely associated with other metropolitan areas.
${ }^{2}$ First Quarter 2003 data not available.

## School Meals Program

Percent of School-aged Children Enrolled in Free/reduced Cost Meal Program

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | $20.8 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| Cayucos Elementary | 20.1 | 23.1 | 20.1 | 21.7 |
| Coast Unified | 36.5 | 38.4 | 40.0 | 45.2 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 44.7 | 42.4 | 36.6 | 36.4 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 36.6 | 34.8 | 31.8 | 35.9 |
| Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary | 30.7 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 41.1 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 24.8 | 25.9 | 27.1 | 28.3 |
| San Luis Obispo County Office of Education | 23.4 | 60.2 | 57.2 | 60.1 |
| San Miguel Joint Union | 51.2 | 59.5 | 56.0 | 59.6 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 67.4 | 62.4 | 69.6 | 66.9 |
| Templeton Unified | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 13.6 |
| Total County | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Total State | $\mathbf{4 8 . 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 2 \%}$ |
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## Education Issues

In today's society, getting a quality education has become a minimum requirement for anyone who strives to be financially independent. Not only does education affect a person's ability to find satisfactory work, it also impacts their self-esteem, self-confidence and ability to contribute to their community. This section will examine a number of important educational indicators including parental involvement, substance abuse, fitness levels, Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) and Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) exam scores, and demographic shifts.

Parental involvement is an important factor that can influence a student's attitude towards school, as well as their academic performance in school. In San Luis Obispo County, parental involvement has been steadily increasing at all grade levels since 1999. In 2006, more than $90 \%$ of respondents said they participate either "sometimes" or "often" in their child's education and extracurricular activities. During this same time period, the percent of parents who feel that the drug and alcohol problem in their child's school is either "somewhat serious" or "very serious" has declined from an average of $54 \%$ to $38 \%$ across all grade levels.

The percent of parents who feel their children have enough activities after school and on weekends has decreased at the high school level from $85 \%$ in 1999 to $72 \%$ in 2006.The California Physical Fitness test scores in the $5^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ grades have improved in nearly every test category since the 2000-2001 school year. Leading the way were the $9^{\text {th }}$ graders with more than a $20 \%$ increase in the number of students who scored in the "Healthy Fitness Zone" for trunk extension and upper body strength.

In San Luis Obispo County, students at every grade level are required to take the STAR exams each
year. These exams measure aptitude in various subject areas such as English and Language Arts, Mathematics, U.S. History, Chemistry and Physics. Over the past four years (2003 to 2006) the district's percentages of STAR test scores that were "proficient or above" have been generally trending upwards, although there are some fluctuations by district.

High school students wishing to apply to colleges and universities may choose to take the SAT. This test is used to aid in the selection of incoming students. While the percentage of San Luis Obispo County students who take the SAT test has been slowly decreasing over the past 5 years, the combined average SAT score in verbal and math has increased from 1,070 in 2000-2001 to 1,083 in 2004-2005. San Luis Obispo County's average SAT scores remain above the average state SAT scores of 1,008 in 2000-2001 and 1,020 in 2004-2005.

The educational demographics in San Luis Obispo County have been shifting in recent years. The percent of English Learner students has grown steadily from $9.9 \%$ in 2001-2002, to $13 \%$ in 20052006. Over the past five years (2001 to 2005) the one-year high school dropout rate has increased in San Luis Obispo County from 1.7 to 2.6, while the California high school dropout rate has fallen from 3.5 to 3.1 over the same time period. Changes in the percentage of high school dropouts by race/ethnicity have occurred in San Luis Obispo County. The Hispanic population's dropout rate increased from 2.2\% in 2000-2001 to 4.4\% in 2004-2005, while the African American population's dropout rate increased from $1.0 \%$ to $6.6 \%$ during the same time period.

## Family Education <br> B1

요표 In a typical week, how many times do you or other adults in your household read to your child or children? (asked of those with children age 5 or under)

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| None | $2.5 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| $1-2$ | $7.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| $3-5$ | $31.6 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | $30.4 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ |
| More than 10 | $27.8 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

표요 In a usual week, about how many days do you or any other family members read or look at picture books with your child or children?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Every day | $\mathbf{7 7 . 4 \%}$ |
| 3 to 6 times a week | $14.9 \%$ |
| One or twice a week | $2.2 \%$ |
| Never | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
? In the past year, have you taken part in any parent or family education programs or classes? (asked of those with children age 5 or under)

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $38.5 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |
| No | $61.5 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003, and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Parental Involvement in School

B2

## T贯 How often do you participate in activities in your child(ren)'s school(s) (educational and extra curricular activities)?

Elementary School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Often | $56.9 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $32.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |
| Never | $10.3 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know." Due to the way this question was posed to respondents, 1999 and 2001 results are not comparable.

## Middle School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Often | $43.5 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $41.3 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ |
| Never | $15.2 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know." Due to the way this question was posed to respondents, 1999 and 2001 results are not comparable.

## High School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Often | $29.8 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $45.6 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| Never | $24.6 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know." Due to the way this question was posed to respondents, 1999 and 2001 results are not comparable.

## Pre-School Enrollment

B3

## Enrollment in Head Start Programs

## EOC Head Start

|  | 2002-2003 | 2005-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment | 410 | 453 |
| Waiting List: |  |  |
| 3-year-olds | 119 | 22 |
| 4-year-olds | 88 | 73 |
| 5-year-olds | 2 | 53 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC), 2006.
Note: Economic Opportunity Commission's Head Start program is a federally-funded child and family development program for low-income children aged 0-5 years.

EOC Early Head Start

|  | 2002-2003 | 2005-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment | 38 | 1350 |
| Waiting List: |  |  |
| 0-3 year-olds | 20 | 47 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC), 2006.
Note: Economic Opportunity Commission's Early Head Start is a federally-funded child and family development program for low-income children aged 0-5 years. The Early Head Start program was new in 2002-2003.

Migrant/Seasonal Head Start Program

|  | $1999-2000$ | $2002-2003$ | $2005-2006$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment | 25 | 12 | 142 |
| Waiting List: |  |  |  |
| 0-3 year-olds | NA | NA | 91 |
| 3-year-olds | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4-year-olds | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| 5-year-olds | 0 | 0 | 46 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC), 2006.
Note: Migrant/Seasonal Head Start is a federally-funded child and family development program for children aged $0-5$ years whose families are migrant or seasonal farm workers.

## Bilingual Education

Percent of English Learner (EL) Students by District

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | $2.4 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Cayucos Elementary | $6.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Coast Unified | $17.8 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ |
| Lucia Mar Unified | $11.9 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Paso Robles Joint <br> Unified | $18.6 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Pleasant Valley Joint <br> Union | $8.8 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
| San Luis Coastal <br> Unified | $6.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County <br> California Youth <br> Authority | $0.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County <br> Office of Education | $4.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| San Miguel Joint Union | $21.1 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| Shandon Joint Unified | $28.2 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ |
| Templeton Unified | $3.7 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo <br> County | $\mathbf{9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 \%}$ |
| State | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, DataQuest, 2000.
Note: EL students are those students for whom there is a primary language other than English on the state-approved Home Language Survey and who, on the basis of the state approved oral language (grades K-12) assessment procedures and including literacy (grades 3 -12 only), have been determined to lack the clearly defined English language skills of listening comprehension, speaking, reading, and writing necessary to succeed in the school's regular instructional programs.

## Activities for Youth

B5

## Does your child(ren) have enough activities after school and on weekends (including recreational and cultural')?

## Elementary School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $86.3 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ |
| No | $13.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
'The word "cultural" was added to this question in the 2003 ACTION Telephone Survey.

Middle School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $77.8 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| No | $22.2 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."
'The word "cultural" was added to this question in the 2003 ACTION Telephone Survey.

## High School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $84.5 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ |
| No | $15.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
'The word "cultural" was added to this question in the 2003 ACTION Telephone Survey.

## Substance Abuse in Schools

## 율 How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your child(ren)'s school?

Elementary School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very serious | $9.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat serious | $14.5 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Not at all serious | $64.1 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Middle School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very serious | $26.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat serious | $30.4 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| Not at all serious | $34.8 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

High School

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very serious | $41.4 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat serious | $41.1 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ |
| Not at all serious | $15.5 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Test Scores-STAR

B7

## STAR Test Scores - District and State

## Grade 3: Atascadero Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $97.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $48.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $55.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 3: Coast Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $93.0 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $38.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $93.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $45.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 3: Lucia Mar Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $45.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $57.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

The California Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowledge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 3: Paso Robles Joint Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $35.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $56.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

## Grade 3: San Luis Coast Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $59.0 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $68.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR DistrictSchool Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 3: San Luis Obispo County Office of Education District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | NA | $7.0 \%$ | NA | $6.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | NA | 1 | NA | ${ }^{1}$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | NA | $7.0 \%$ | NA | $6.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | NA | 1 | NA | 1 |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.
'Less than 10 students had valid test scores.

The California Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowledge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 3: Shandon Joint District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $23.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $44.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

## Grade 3: Templeton District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $97.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $58.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $97.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $66.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR DistrictSchool Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 3: California

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $33.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $46.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

The California Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowledge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 7: Atascadero Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $58.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $97.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $51.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 7: Coast Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $99.0 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $58.0 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $99.0 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $46.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR DistrictSchool Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 7: Lucia Mar Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $95.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $48.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $95.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $43.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 7: Paso Robles Joint Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $44.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $39.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

## Grade 7: San Luis Coast Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $65.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $60.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR DistrictSchool Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 7: San Luis Obispo County Office of Education District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $6.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $95.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

The California Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowledge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 7: Shandon Joint District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $14.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $7.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

## Grade 7: Templeton District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $95.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $51.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $93.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $35.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR DistrictSchool Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 7: California

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $36.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $98.0 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $30.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

Grade 11: Atascadero Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $94.0 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $52.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $17.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $10.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $22.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $17.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $89.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $52.0 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $56.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $16.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $52.0 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $7.0 \%$ | NA | $6.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $55.0 \%$ | NA | $52.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |

Source: Califiornia Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

The Califoria Standards Tests in English-anguage arts, mathemaicics, science, and histor-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowedge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 11: Coast Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $91.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $59.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $26.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $5.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |  | $6.0 \%$ |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $91.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $44.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $15.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $58.0 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $22.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $17.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $7.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above |  |  | $41.0 \%$ |  |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.
${ }^{\prime}$ Less than 10 students had valid test scores.

Grade 11: Lucia Mar Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $93.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $46.0 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $14.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $12.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $17.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $19.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $89.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $44.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $2.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $71.0 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $8.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $32.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $8.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $57.0 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ |

Source: Califiornia Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

The Caliomia Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathemaics, science, and histor-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowedge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 11: Paso Robles Joint Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $96.0 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $32.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $15.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $6.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $6.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $0.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $88.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $39.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $21.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $27.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $19.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $30.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $2.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above |  | $72.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ Less than 10 students had valid test scores.

Grade 11: San Luis Coast Unified District

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $82.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $59.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $3.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $0.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $31.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $16.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $79.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $59.0 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $10.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $37.0 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $33.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above | $49.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | $1.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Percent proficient or above |  | $61.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ |

Source: Califiornia Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.
'Less than 10 student had valid test scores.

The Caliormia StandardS Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and histor-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowedge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 11: San Luis Obispo County Office of Education District

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 86.0\% | 86.0\% | 86.0\% | 78.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 5.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.0\% | 6.0\% |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 22.0\% | 11.0\% | 43.0\% | 22.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% |
| High School (Summative) Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 2.0\% | NA | NA | 1.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | NA | NA | 1 |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 79.0\% | 85.0\% | 82.0\% | 78.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 7.0\% | 8.0\% | 49.0\% | 30.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Earth Science |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | NA | 1.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 1 | NA | 1 |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ Less than 10 students had valid test scores.

The California Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowledge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 11: Shandon Joint District

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 94.0\% | 96.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 19.0\% | 19.0\% | 27.0\% | 30.0\% |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 30.0\% | 24.0\% | 6.0\% | 8.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | NA | 10.0\% | 38.0\% | 38.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | NA | 1 | 1 |  |
| High School Summative Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 41.0\% | 29.0\% | 25.0\% | 33.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 0.0\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 94.0\% | 96.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 19.0\% | 24.0\% | 27.0\% | 13.0\% |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 30.0\% | 19.0\% | 19.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | NA | 24.0\% | NA | 63.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | NA | 1 | NA | 0.0\% |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 33.0\% | NA | 38.0\% | NA |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | NA | 1 | NA |

## Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2006.

'Less than 10 students had valid test scores.

The California Standards Tests in English-language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social science are administered only to students in California public schools. These tests were developed specifically to assess students' knowledge of the California academic content standards.

Grade 11: Templeton District

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 57.0\% | 69.0\% | 77.0\% | 69.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 41.0\% | 42.0\% | 55.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Algebra I |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 2.0\% | 5.0\% | 9.0\% | 17.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 14.0\% |
| Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 20.0\% | 22.0\% | 23.0\% | 22.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% |
| High School Summative Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 12.0\% | 19.0\% | 20.0\% | 16.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 18.0\% | 11.0\% | 31.0\% | 46.0\% |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 56.0\% | 68.0\% | 75.0\% | 66.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 64.0\% | 52.0\% | 55.0\% | 62.0\% |
| Biology/Life Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 0.0\% | 27.0\% | 21.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 57.0\% | 53.0\% | 75.0\% |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 7.0\% | 11.0\% | 13.0\% | 12.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 39.0\% | 24.0\% | 30.0\% | 35.0\% |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of students tested | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Percent proficient or above | 1 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 |

Source: California Department of Education, STAR District/School Summary Report, 2000.
${ }^{\prime}$ Less than 10 students had valid test scores.

## Academic Performance Index
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Atascadero Unified School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fine Arts Academy ${ }^{1}$ | NA | 837 | 861 | 880 | 870 | 10 |
| Monterey Road | 825 | 817 | 827 | 815 | 843 | 9 |
| San Benito | 808 | 812 | 817 | 811 | 811 | 8 |
| San Gabriel | 793 | 792 | 798 | 831 | 806 | 8 |
| Santa Margarita | 788 | 805 | 801 | 830 | 847 | 9 |
| Santa Rosa Road <br> Academic Academy | 773 | 747 | 791 | 786 | 812 | 8 |
| Middle Schools | 761 | 761 | 770 | 758 | 770 |  |
| Atascadero |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Schools | 763 | 730 | 756 | 750 | 786 |  |
| Atascadero |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.
'Starting in 2004, Fine Arts Academy was reported as a middle school.

## Cayucos Elementary District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cayucos Elementary | 826 | 831 | 847 | 866 | 872 | 9 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.

API Scores: The API summarizes a school's performance on each year's STAR scores. The API is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content areas, as measured through national percentile rankings (NPR's) and is scored on a scale of 200 to 1,000 .

The Statewide Rank: All schools that receive APIs are ranked in deciles by grade level of instruction: elementary, middle and high. The rank of 10 is the highest and 1 is the lowest. Each decile in each school type contains $10 \%$ of all schools of that type.

Coast Unified School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cambria | 750 | 755 | 755 | 706 | 747 | 5 |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Santa Lucia | 774 | 761 | 778 | 776 | 760 | 7 |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coast Union | 730 | 720 | 772 | 766 | 802 | 9 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.

## Lucia Mar Unified School District - API Scores

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Branch | 815 | 838 | 885 | 871 | 875 | 9 |
| Dana | 671 | 684 | 735 | 728 | 758 | 6 |
| Grover Beach | 696 | 711 | 733 | 726 | 716 | 4 |
| Grover Heights | 721 | 748 | 801 | 805 | 813 | 8 |
| Harloe | 823 | 804 | 823 | 837 | 826 | 8 |
| Nipomo | 664 | 697 | 719 | 754 | 736 | 5 |
| North Oceano | 668 | 692 | 739 | 756 | 774 | 6 |
| Ocean View | 848 | 849 | 877 | 883 | 902 | 10 |
| Oceano | 603 | 586 | 640 | 658 | 674 | 3 |
| Shell Beach | 820 | 827 | 877 | 890 | 895 | 10 |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Judkins | 770 | 725 | 745 | 751 | 778 | 8 |
| Mesa | 732 | 709 | 734 | 737 | 761 | 7 |
| Paulding | 777 | 771 | 787 | 789 | 810 | 9 |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arroyo Grande | 707 | 667 | 734 | 744 | 754 | 8 |
| Nipomo | NA | NA | 673 | 711 | 721 | 7 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.

API Scores: The API summarizes a school's performance on each year's STAR scores. The AP is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content areas, as measured through national percentile rankings (NPR's) and is scored on a scale of 200 to 1,000 .

The Statewide Rank: All schools that receive APIs are ranked in deciles by grade level of instruction: elementary, middle and high. The rank of 10 is the highest and 1 is the lowest. Each decile in each school type contains $10 \%$ of all schools of that type.

## Paso Robles Unified School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | $2005$ <br> Statewide Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bauer/Speck | 687 | 688 | 739 | 729 | 748 | 5 |
| Brown | 557 | 600 | 637 | 651 | 700 | 3 |
| Butler | 773 | 783 | 819 | 823 | 849 | 9 |
| Kermit King | NA | 781 | 810 | 810 | 819 | 8 |
| Peterson | 696 | 715 | 738 | 711 | 754 | 6 |
| Pifer | 708 | 725 | 753 | 740 | 756 | 6 |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flamson | 675 | 699 | 702 | 696 | 689 | 5 |
| Lewis | 742 | 746 | 755 | 766 | 783 | 8 |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paso Robles | 689 | 702 | 681 | 693 | 699 | 6 |
| Paso Robles Independent Study Center | NA | NA | NA | NA | 531 | 1 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.

API Scores: The API summarizes a school's performance on each year's STAR scores. The AP is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content areas, as measured through national percentile rankings (NPR's) and is scored on a scale of 200 to $1,000$.

The Statewide Rank: All schools that receive APIs are ranked in deciles by grade level of instruction: elementary, middle and high. The rank of 10 is the highest and 1 is the lowest. Each decile in each school type contains $10 \%$ of all schools of that type.

San Luis Coastal Unified School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baywood | 808 | 781 | 831 | 830 | 841 | 9 |
| Bellevue-Santa Fe Charter | NA | 845 | 866 | $879{ }^{1}$ | $900^{1}$ | $10^{1}$ |
| Bishop's Peak | 864 | 864 | 885 | 861 | 883 | 10 |
| Del Mar | 803 | 776 | 790 | 795 | 819 | 8 |
| Hawthorne | 774 | 747 | 809 | 779 | 803 | 7 |
| Los Ranchos | 864 | 870 | 882 | 885 | 882 | 10 |
| Monarch Grove | 877 | 868 | 842 | 839 | 834 | 8 |
| Morro | 797 | 784 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pacheco | 701 | 710 | 782 | 785 | 785 | 7 |
| Sinsheimer | 852 | 857 | 865 | 869 | 889 | 10 |
| Smith | 777 | 805 | 853 | 803 | 810 | 8 |
| Sunnyside | 771 | 764 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Teach | 945 | 953 | 979 | $965{ }^{1}$ | $981{ }^{1}$ | $10^{1}$ |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laguna | 838 | 796 | 802 | 819 | 825 | 9 |
| Los Osos | 783 | 761 | 784 | 793 | 808 | 9 |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morro Bay | 752 | 730 | 764 | 794 | 818 | 10 |
| San Luis Obispo | 780 | 782 | 763 | 782 | 787 | 9 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.
'Starting in 2004, Bellevue-Santa Fe Charter and Teach Elementary schools were reported as "small schools." These scores are based on small numbers of students and are less reliable, and therefore should be interpereted carefully.

San Miguel Joint Union Elementary School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Larsen Elementary | 724 | 730 | 766 | 734 | 700 | 3 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.

API Scores: The API summarizes a school's performance on each year'S STAR scores. The AP I is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content areas, as measured through national percentile rankings (NPR's) and is scored on a scale of 200 to 1,000 .

The Statewide Rank: All schools that receive APIs are ranked in deciles by grade eveel of instrucion: elementary, middle and high. The rank of 10 is the highest and 1 is the lowest. Each decile in each school type contains $10 \%$ of all schools of that type.

## Shandon Joint Unified School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shandon | 675 | 684 | 708 | 646 | 700 | 3 |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shandon | 554 | $529^{1}$ | 598 | $619^{1}$ | 691 | 5 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.
'In 2002 and 2004, Shandon High School was reported as a "small school." These scores are based on small numbers of students and are less reliable, and therefore should be interpreted carefully.

Templeton Unified School District - API Scores

|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 <br> Statewide <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Templeton | 831 | 859 | 833 | 883 | 866 | 9 |
| Vineyard | 782 | 790 | 843 | 826 | 847 | 9 |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Templeton | 753 | 755 | 763 | 784 | 801 | 8 |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Templeton | 755 | 734 | 761 | 776 | 812 | 10 |

Source: California Department of Education, Policy and Evaluation Division, 2006.

API Scores: The API summarizes a school's performance on each year's STAR scores. The API is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content areas, as measured through national percentile rankings (NPR's) and is scored on a scale of 200 to 1,000 .

The Statewide Rank: All schools that receive APIs are ranked in deciles by grade level of instruction: elementary, middle and high. The rank of 10 is the highest and 1 is the lowest. Each decile in each school type contains $10 \%$ of all schools of that type.

## Physical Fitness

## B9

## California Physical Fitness Test, Spring 2000 through Spring 2005

Healthy People 2010 Objective: Increase the proportion of adolescents who engage in vigorous physical activity that promotes cardio-respiratory fitness.

## Countywide Percent of Tested Students Scoring in "Healthy Fitness Zone"

Grade 5

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aerobic Capacity | $56.4 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ |
| Body Composition | $71.9 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| Abdominal Strength | $71.9 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 8} \%$ | $79.0 \%$ |
| Trunk Extension Strength | $80.6 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ |
| Upper Body Strength | $61.4 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ |
| Flexibility | $64.3 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Standards and Assessment Division, California Physical Fitness Report, 2006.

## Grade 7

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aerobic Capacity | $70.4 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| Body Composition | $70.1 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ |
| Abdominal Strength | $85.3 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ |
| Trunk Extension Strength | $90.5 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ |
| Upper Body Strength | $66.5 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ |
| Flexibility | $76.7 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Standards and Assessment Division, California Physical Fitness Report, 2006.

Grade 9

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aerobic Capacity | $61.9 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ |
| Body Composition | $69.1 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ |
| Abdominal Strength | $79.9 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $81.7 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |
| Trunk Extension Strength | $76.4 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $91.1 \%$ |
| Upper Body Strength | $59.7 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ |
| Flexibility | $74.8 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ |

Source: Califionia Department of Education, Standards and Assessment Division, California Physical Fitness Report, 2006.

Within the past week, did your child participate in any physical activities, or any exercise, such as Physical Education class, recess, or active play, or sports?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $88.1 \%$ |
| No | $10.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

How many times during the past week did your child participate in these physical activities, exercise and sports?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 time | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2 times | $7.5 \%$ |
| 3 times | $19.9 \%$ |
| 4 times | $12.2 \%$ |
| 5 times | $14.3 \%$ |
| 6 times | $8.4 \%$ |
| 7 times | $26.9 \%$ |
| More than 7 times | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know." If more than one child under 11, response was for youngest child.

Within the past week, did your teen participate in any physical activities, or any exercise, such as Physical Education class, recess, or active play, or sports?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $83.5 \%$ |
| No | $16.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know." If more than one child 12-18, response was for youngest child.

## Student Expulsion

## Number of Students Ordered Expelled

| District | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Cayucos Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coast Unified | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 91 | 104 | 131 | 76 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 44 | 45 | 46 | 40 |
| Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 19 | 26 | 20 | 23 |
| San Luis Obispo County Office of Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Miguel Joint Union Elementary | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 9 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Templeton Unified | 3 | 14 | 11 | 16 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 177 | 208 | 222 | 177 |
| State | 17,374 | 17,623 | 18,756 | 21,501 |

Source: Califionia Department of Education, Educational Options Office, Expulsion Information, 2006.

## B11 College Prep Work

Percent of High School Graduates with UC/CSU required courses completed

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | $41.0 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ | $44.6 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ |
| Coast Unified | $46.1 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ |
| Lucia Mar Unified | $24.3 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ |
| Paso Robles Joint <br> Unified | $22.1 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| San Luis Coastal <br> Unified | $43.3 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ |
| Shandon Joint Unified | $36.4 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Templeton Unified | $44.0 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo <br> County | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3} \%$ |
| State | $\mathbf{3 5 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2 \%}$ |

[^1]
## B12 <br> High School Graduation

## High School Graduation Rates' by District

Atascadero Unified School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero High School | 93.8 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.5 | 91.7 |
| Del Rio Continuation High <br> School | 83.9 | 90.9 | 55.3 | 74.0 | 52.3 |
| West Mall Alternative $^{\text {District Rate }}{ }^{1}$ | 45.7 | 79.3 | 73.3 | 73.7 | 80.0 |
| County Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 1}$ |
| State Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |

## Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

' Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) + Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

## Paso Robles School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grizzly Challenge <br> Charter | 100.0 | 100 | 42.6 | NA | NA |
| Liberty High School <br> (Continuation) | 63.2 | 48.6 | 45.8 | 45.0 | 28.9 |
| Paso Robles High <br> School | 99.0 | 98.4 | 97.0 | 95.8 | 96.1 |
| Paso Robles <br> Independent Study | 100.0 | 88.9 | 93.3 | 81.6 | $\mathbf{8 7 . 2}$ |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 1}$ |
| County Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |
| ${\text { State } \text { Rate }^{1}}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
' Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) + Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

## Templeton School District Graduation Rate

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Eagle Canyon High <br> School | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | NA | 100.0 |
| Templeton High School | 98.4 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
| Templeton Independent <br> Study | 86.7 | 89.4 | 82.4 | 96.4 | 90.5 |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 4}$ |
| County Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |
| State Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
' Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) +
Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

## Shandon School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shandon High School | NA | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Shandon High <br> School/Middle School | 95.7 | 76.5 | 95.5 | 100.0 | $\mathbf{8 2 . 4}$ |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 2}$ |
| ${\text { County } \text { Rate }^{1}}$ State Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
' Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) + Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

## San Luis Coastal Unified School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Morro Bay High School | 90.7 | 88.5 | 94.4 | 98.2 | 96.0 |
| Pacific Beach High <br> School | 47.9 | 84.8 | 89.3 | 53.1 | 51.7 |
| San Luis Obispo High <br> School | 97.3 | 96.6 | 99.0 | 96.6 | 97.2 |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6}$ |
| County Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |
| ${\text { State } \text { Rate }^{1}} \quad$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
${ }^{\prime}$ Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) +
Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

Coast Unified School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $2000-2001$ | $2001-2002$ | $2002-2003$ | $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coast Union High <br> School | 95.8 | 93.8 | 98.6 | 97.1 | 98.7 |
| Leffingwell High School | 87.5 | 76.9 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 80.0 |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | 95.0 | 91.5 | 94.2 | 92.9 | 96.5 |
| County Rate $^{1}$ | 93.7 | 93.5 | 92.0 | 90.8 | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |
| State Rate $^{1}$ | 86.8 | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) + Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

## Lucia Mar Unified School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $2000-2001$ | $2001-2002$ | $2002-2003$ | $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande High <br> School | 95.4 | 96.3 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 95.4 |
| Lopez Continuation <br> High School | 92.1 | 72.2 | 60.9 | 65.9 | 65.1 |
| Nipomo High School | NA | NA | NA | NA | 94.7 |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | 95.0 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 4}$ |
| County Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |
| State Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) + Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

San Luis Obispo California Youth Authority School District Graduation Rate ${ }^{1}$

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Marie C. Romero High <br> School | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| District Rate $^{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| ${\text { County } \text { Rate }^{1}} \quad \mathbf{9 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ |  |
| ${\text { State } \text { Rate }^{1}}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ Graduation Rate Formula is based on the NCES definition: Number of Graduates (Year 4) divided by Number of Graduates (Year 4) + Grade 9 dropouts (Year 1) + Grade 10 dropouts (Year 2) + Grade 11 dropouts (Year 3) + Grade 12 dropouts (Year 4).

## High School Graduates, by Race/Ethnicity

## Number of High School Graduates by District

Number of High School Graduates - Atascadero Unified School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| Asian | 2 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Filipino | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 40 | 28 | 29 | 35 | 47 |
| African American | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 351 | 351 | 366 | 335 | 322 |
| Multiple or No Response | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Number of Graduates | 402 | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 6}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Number of High School Graduates - Coast Unified School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | 2003-2004 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 10 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 63 | 66 | 65 | 62 | 66 |
| Multiple or No Response | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Number of Graduates | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Number of High School Graduates - Lucia Mar Unified School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $2000-2001$ | $2001-2002$ | $2002-2003$ | $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Asian | 10 | 10 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Filipino | 18 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 151 | 184 | 198 | 7 | 189 |
| African American | 9 | 5 | 458 | 476 | 505 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 477 | 472 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| Multiple or No Response | 0 | 0 | 694 | 709 | 748 |
| Total Number of Graduates | 672 | 691 |  | 7 | 7 |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Number of High School Graduates - Paso Robles School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $2000-2001$ | $2001-2002$ | $2002-2003$ | $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Asian | 6 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 7 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Filipino | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 104 | 87 | 95 | 113 | 100 |
| African American | 11 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 19 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 301 | 298 | 298 | 323 | 344 |
| Multiple or No Response | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| Total Number of Graduates | 430 | 423 | 431 | 469 | 482 |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

## Number of High School Graduates - Shandon School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $2000-2001$ | $2001-2002$ | $2002-2003$ | $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Filipino | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 9 |
| African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 15 | 5 | 14 | 11 | 6 |
| Multiple or No Response | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 0 |
| Total Number of Graduates | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Number of High School Graduates - San Luis Coastal Unified School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Asian | 15 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 21 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Filipino | 3 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 50 | 50 | 67 | 62 | 54 |
| African American | 8 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 5 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 526 | 458 | 422 | 451 | 447 |
| Multiple or No Response | 2 | 2 | 4 | 26 | 21 |
| Total Number of Graduates | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 1}$ |

Source: Califionia Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Number of High School Graduates - San Luis Obispo County California Youth Authority District

| Race/Ethnicity | $2000-2001$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | 2002-2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 23 | 27 | 42 | 9 | 8 |
| African American | 13 | 10 | 24 | 3 | 4 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 8 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 2 |
| Multiple or No Response | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Number of Graduates | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Number of High School Graduates - Templeton School District

| Race/Ethnicity | $2000-\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Asian | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Filipino | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 25 |
| African American | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 137 | 167 | 176 | 195 | 195 |
| Multiple or No Response | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Number of Graduates | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

## B14

## Test Scores-SAT

## SAT Scores by School

## Percent of Students Who Took the Test

| District / School | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 33.1\% | 32.8\% | 33.1\% | 30.8\% | 30.2\% |
| Atascadero High | 38.9 | 39.1 | 39.7 | 37.7 | 36.3 |
| Del Rio Continuation High | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| West Mall Alternative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coast Unified | 39.8\% | 38.7\% | 33.3\% | 52.8\% | 46.7\% |
| Coast Union High | 47.3 | 43.9 | 39.5 | 61.0 | 51.2 |
| Leffingwell Continuation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 32.8\% | 31.4\% | 0.0\% | 32.8\% | 29.6\% |
| Arroyo Grande High | 35.0 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| Lopez Continuation High | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Nipomo High | NA | NA | NA | NA | 25.8 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 34.3\% | 33.1\% | 27.2\% | 26.2\% | 24.5\% |
| Grizzly Challenger Charter | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | NA | NA |
| Liberty High Continuation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Paso Robles High | 36.5 | 38.5 | 40.3 | 34.4 | 31.8 |
| Paso Robles Independent Study | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 45.9\% | 46.2\% | 44.8\% | 49.4\% | 50.7\% |
| Morro Bay High | 32.9 | 41.9 | 35.1 | 43.4 | 40.6 |
| Pacific Beach High | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 58.4 | 54.3 | 57.1 | 57.5 | 61.9 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 38.1\% | 23.5\% | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | 16.7\% |
| Shandon High | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shandon High/Middle | 38.1 | 25.0 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.7 |
| Templeton Unified | 28.6\% | 28.1\% | 30.4\% | 30.5\% | 31.4\% |
| Eagle Canyon High | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Templeton High | 45.5 | 42.4 | 47.6 | 44.4 | 45.2 |
| Templeton Independent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 7.9 |
| San Luis Obispo County Totals | 33.9\% | 31.8\% | 22.5\% | 31.9\% | 31.5\% |
| State Totals | 36.7\% | 37.3\% | 36.6\% | 35.2\% | 35.9\% |

Source: California Department of Education, Education Planning and Information Center, 2006.

Average Total Score (Verbal and Math)

| District / School | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 1,101 | 1,076 | 1,105 | 1,097 | 1,099 |
| Atascadero High | 1,101 | 1,076 | 1,105 | 1,097 | 1,099 |
| Del Rio Continuation High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Mall Alternative | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coast Unified | 1,069 | 1,077 | 1,044 | 1,040 | 1,090 |
| Coast Union High | 1,069 | 1,077 | 1,044 | 1,040 | 1,090 |
| Leffingwell Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 1,069 | 1,053 | 0 | 1,051 | 1,070 |
| Arroyo Grande High | 1,064 | 1,053 | 0 | 0 | 1,091 |
| Lopez Continuation High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Nipomo High | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1,015 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 1,015 | 1,011 | 1,019 | 1,015 | 1,021 |
| Grizzly Challenger Charter | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Liberty High Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Paso Robles High | 1,015 | 1,011 | 1,019 | 1,015 | 1,021 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 1,096 | 1,105 | 1,104 | 1,133 | 1,132 |
| Morro Bay High | 1,083 | 1,109 | 1,107 | 1,122 | 1,129 |
| Pacific Beach High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 1,100 | 1,104 | 1,103 | 1,139 | 1,132 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 1 | , | + | , |  |
| Shandon High | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shandon High/Middle | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Templeton Unified | 1,032 | 1,046 | 1,033 | 1,051 | 1,032 |
| Eagle Canyon High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Templeton High | 1,032 | 1,046 | 1,033 | 1,054 | 1,028 |
| Templeton Independent Study | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | + |
| San Luis Obispo County Totals | 1,070 | 1,064 | 1,072 | 1,076 | 1,083 |
| State Totals | 1,008 | 1,006 | 1,012 | 1,015 | 1,020 |

Source: California Department of Education, Education Planning and Information Center, 2006.
Note: Total possible SAT score is 1,600 .
'Scores with 10 or fewer students.

## Average Total Math Score

| District / School | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 552 | 539 | 550 | 551 | 552 |
| Atascadero High | 552 | 539 | 550 | 551 | 552 |
| Del Rio Continuation High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Mall Alternative | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coast Unified | 525 | 540 | 518 | 520 | 542 |
| Coast Union High | 525 | 540 | 518 | 520 | 542 |
| Leffingwell Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 536 | 530 | 0 | 529 | 541 |
| Arroyo Grande High | 534 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 551 |
| Lopez Continuation High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Nipomo High | NA | NA | NA | NA | 516 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 511 | 509 | 504 | 508 | 520 |
| Grizzly Challenger Charter | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Liberty High Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Paso Robles High | 511 | 509 | 504 | 508 | 520 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 554 | 563 | 557 | 566 | 568 |
| Morro Bay High | 552 | 569 | 557 | 564 | 569 |
| Pacific Beach High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 555 | 561 | 557 | 567 | 567 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , |
| Shandon High | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shandon High/Middle | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ' |
| Templeton Unified | 512 | 521 | 519 | 521 | 512 |
| Eagle Canyon High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Templeton High | 512 | 521 | 519 | 524 | 512 |
| Templeton Independent Study | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| San Luis Obispo County Totals | 538 | 537 | 536 | 539 | 545 |
| State Totals | 516 | 516 | 518 | 519 | 521 |

Source: California Department of Education, Education Planning and Information Center, 2006.
Note: Total possible math score is 800 .
'Scores with 10 or fewer students.

Average Total Verbal Score

| District / School | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 549 | 537 | 555 | 546 | 547 |
| Atascadero High | 549 | 537 | 555 | 546 | 547 |
| Del Rio Continuation High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Mall Alternative | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coast Unified | 544 | 537 | 526 | 520 | 548 |
| Coast Union High | 544 | 537 | 526 | 520 | 548 |
| Leffingwell Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 532 | 523 | 0 | 522 | 529 |
| Arroyo Grande High | 530 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 540 |
| Lopez Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Nipomo High | NA | NA | NA | NA | 499 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 504 | 502 | 515 | 507 | 501 |
| Grizzly Challenger Charter | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Liberty High Continuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Paso Robles High | 504 | 502 | 515 | 507 | 501 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 542 | 542 | 547 | 567 | 564 |
| Morro Bay High | 531 | 540 | 550 | 558 | 560 |
| Pacific Beach High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 545 | 543 | 546 | 572 | 565 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shandon High | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shandon High/Middle | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Templeton Unified | 520 | 525 | 514 | 530 | 520 |
| Eagle Canyon High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Templeton High | 520 | 525 | 514 | 530 | 516 |
| Templeton Independent Study | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| San Luis Obispo County Totals | 532 | 527 | 536 | 537 | 538 |
| State Totals | 492 | 490 | 494 | 496 | 499 |

Source: California Department of Education, Education Planning and Information Center, 2006.
Note: Total possible verbal score is 800 .
'Scores with 10 or fewer students.

## Comparison of Average Total SAT Scores

School, County, and State

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero High | 1,101 | 1,076 | 1,105 | 1,097 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 9}$ |
| Coast Union High | 1,069 | 1,077 | 1,044 | 1,040 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 0}$ |
| Arroyo Grande High | 1,064 | 1,053 | 0 | 0 | 1,091 |
| Nipomo High | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 5}$ |
| Paso Robles High | 1,015 | 1,011 | 1,019 | 1,015 | 1,021 |
| Morro Bay High | 1,083 | 1,109 | 1,107 | 1,122 | 1,129 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 1,100 | 1,104 | 1,103 | 1,139 | 1,132 |
| Templeton High | 1,032 | 1,046 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 3}$ | 1,054 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 8}$ |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 3}$ |
| State | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Education Planning and Information Center, 2006.
Note: Total possible SAT score is 1,600 .

## B16 <br> High School Dropout Rates

## San Luis Obispo County and California

## One-year Rate

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}{ }^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| Coast Unified | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| San Luis Obispo Co Office Of Ed. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 19.8 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 3.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.3 |
| Templeton Unified | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| San Luis Obispo County Totals | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ |
| State Totals | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
Note: 1 year dropout rate: the annual dropout rate is calculated by dividing the number of dropouts in grades $9-12$, by the total enrollment in those grades for the same year times 100. It is also called the "annual" or "event" rate, and it is the dropout rate used by the National Center for Education Statistics to compare states and school districts. A dropout is a student who meets the following criteria: was formerly enrolled in grades $7,8,9,10,11$, or 12; was formerly enrolled in a school or program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent; was under 21 years of age; has left high school for 45 consecutive school days and has not enrolled in another public or private educational institution or school program; has not received a high school diploma or its equivalent; has not re-enrolled in the school.
'The definition of "dropout" changed after 2002-2003, therefore data from 2003-2004 forward are not comparable to previous years.

Four-year Rate

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 10.5 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 12.3 |
| Coast Unified | 3.4 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 4.1 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 4.3 | 4.8 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 7.5 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 4.1 | 4.6 | 21.4 | 9.1 | 11.5 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 7.6 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| San Luis Obispo Co Office Of Ed. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.6 | 57.9 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 14.8 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 11.1 |
| Templeton Unified | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| San Luis Obispo County Totals | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| State Totals | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.
Note: The four-year derived dropout rate is an estimate of the percent of students who would dropout in a four year period based on data collected for a single year
 who start but do not finish high school. To create an actual four-year rate, the CDE would need to collect individual student data and be able to track such data over time.

## High School Dropout by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of High School Dropouts by Race and Ethnicity, San Luis Obispo County

| Race/Ethnicity | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $2.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $1.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Filipino | $1.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $2.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| African American | $0.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| White (not Hispanic) | $1.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

Source: Califionia Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

Percentage of High School Dropouts by Race and Ethnicity, California

| Race/Ethnicity | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $2.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $4.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Filipino | $2.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $4.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| African American | $6.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| White (not Hispanic) | $2.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit, 2006.

## College Preparation and Placement

## B18

## Percent of Incoming Students with College-Level Skills at Cuesta College

English Placement - College Level

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County <br> High School Graduates | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| All Students | $68 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $66 \%$ |

Source: Cuesta College, Matriculation Services, 2006.
Note: Percentages reflect recommended placement of students in A.A. degree courses and transfer-level courses.

Math Placement - College Level

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County <br> High School Graduates | $81 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| All Students | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

Source: Cuesta College, Matriculation Services, 2006.
Note: Percentages reflect recommended placement of students in A.A. degree courses and transfer-level courses.

## B19 <br> Library Services

## 国 How many times have you visited any public library in the past 3 months?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | $50.7 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |
| $1-2$ | $16.2 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| $3-5$ | $15.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | $7.9 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| $11-25$ | $6.7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| $26-50$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003, and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area:

## Library Services

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $25.3 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| Very good | $40.6 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ |
| Good | $26.2 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.6 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| Poor | $1.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003, and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

PRease indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: "The county should spend more money to: expand library services, including extending the hours they are open."

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly Agree | $22.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 \%}$ |
| Agree | $38.1 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ |
| Neutral | $28.5 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| Disagree | $8.6 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $2.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
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## Economic Issues

Economic security is a significant contributor to quality of life. The ACTION for Healthy Communities Report explored many economic indicators to reveal economic perceptions by residents and the economic realities of San Luis Obispo County.

Respondents to the 2006 telephone survey were asked if their economic well being had changed in the past year. About $32 \%$ of survey respondents reported being "better off" economically than the previous year, down from $41 \%$ in 2003 and $50 \%$ in 1999. About $45 \%$ reported they were "about the same" economically as last year in 2006, up from $23 \%$ in 2003 and $36 \%$ in 1999.

Personal and household income are two indicators of economic vitality for San Luis Obispo County, as well as the spending power of individuals (including their ability to afford housing, health care and other basic needs). The San Luis Obispo County median family income rose to $\$ 63,800$ in 2006, and remains only $\$ 300$ below that of California.

The San Luis Obispo County unemployment rate has remained essentially unchanged from 2000 to 2005, hovering around 4.1. This is lower than the state unemployment rate (5.4) and the national unemployment rate (5.1). Unemployment rates are calculated by dividing the number of unemployed workers by the total civilian labor force.

The availability of new jobs is vital to the economic growth and well being of the area. In San Luis Obispo County, the manufacturing and government sectors have continued to see a decrease in jobs,
while the real estate and leisure/hospitality sectors have seen an increase in jobs. Overall, most sectors saw an increase in number of persons employed from 2000 to 2005. The occupations with the largest projected growth in the County include claims adjusters ( $67 \%$ growth), physical therapist aides (63\% growth), and property/real estate managers ( $57 \%$ growth).

Based on the telephone survey, there has been an increase in the number of government payments (excluding Social Security) received, up from $18 \%$ in 2001 to 21\% in 2006. While new applications for California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) have fallen, there has been an increase in the food stamps and general assistance programs. The County school lunch programs saw an increased demand since the 2004-2005 school year, with the County Office of Education providing free lunches to $60 \%$ of its students. There was also a $36 \%$ increase in the number of students on CalWORKs as reported by the County Office of Education. Additionally, there has been a $26 \%$ increase in the number of subsidized childcare recipients from 2000 to 2005.

New building in San Luis Obispo County has fallen in both the residential and non-residential sectors. Permits issued for single family homes has decreased 16\% from 2003 to 2005, though permits for multi-family homes has increased $15 \%$ during the same time period. Retail sales per capita have increased $20 \%$ from 2000 to 2004, while overall dollars spent in the County has risen $26 \%$ from 2000 to 2004.

## Economic Well-being

面 Do you feel you are better off this year than last year economically? ${ }^{1}$

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $50.1 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ |
| No | $13.8 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| About the same | $36.1 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $44.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ The word "economically" was added in 2001 for clarity. Results may not be comparable to the 1999 results.

## Household Income

## Median Family Income

| Income | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 6} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo <br> County | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ 50,200$ | $\$ 50,300$ | $\$ 57,700$ | $\$ 61,700$ | $\$ 61,700$ | $\$ 63,800$ | $32.9 \%$ |
| State | $\$ 55,400$ | $\$ 58,400$ | $\$ 60,800$ | $\$ 60,300$ | $\$ 62,000$ | $\$ 62,500$ | $\$ 64,100$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| National | $\$ 50,200$ | $\$ 52,500$ | $\$ 54,400$ | $\$ 56,500$ | $\$ 57,500$ | $\$ 58,000$ | $\$ 59,600$ | $18.7 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, HUD User, Policy Development and Research Information Services, Income Limits, 2000-2006.
Note: FY 2006 HUD estimates of median family income are based on 2000 Census data estimates updated with county-level Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) earnings data, Census American Community Survey (ACS) state-level data, and Census Current Population Survey (CPS) data. Separate median family estimates (MFIs) are calculated for all Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), Primary Metropolitan Statistical Areas (PMSAs) and nonmetropolitan counties.

## Per Capita Personal Income

| Income | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 4} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\$ 25,675$ | $\$ 27,455$ | $\$ 28,747$ | $\$ 29,482$ | $\$ 30,363$ | $\$ 32,180$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| State | $\$ 29,712$ | $\$ 32,463$ | $\$ 32,882$ | $\$ 32,803$ | $\$ 33,400$ | $\$ 35,219$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| National | $\$ 27,880$ | $\$ 29,847$ | $\$ 30,527$ | $\$ 30,804$ | $\$ 31,484$ | $\$ 33,050$ | $18.5 \%$ |

Source: 1999-2001: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business, 2004. 2002-2004: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System, 2005.
Note: Per capita personal income (PCPI) is calculated by dividing the total personal income by the total population for a given county. Total personal income (TPI) includes the earmings (wages and salaries, other abor incoome, proprietors' income); dividends, interest, and rent; and transfer payments received by the residents of San Luis Obispo County.

国 Which income range best describes your household income?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than $\$ 15,000$ per year | $11.4 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 25,000$ per year | $16.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 35,000$ per year | $16.0 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 35,000-\$ 45,000$ per year | $17.6 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 45,000-\$ 65,000$ per year | $17.2 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 65,000-\$ 80,000$ per year | $7.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 80,000-\$ 100,000$ per year | $5.6 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000-\$ 125,000$ per year | $4.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 125,000-\$ 150,000$ per year | $1.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Over $\$ 150,000$ per year | $2.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Concern About Employment Opportunities

How concerned are you about employment opportunities in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $\mathbf{3 3 . 6 \%}$ | $42.1 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $38.9 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $27.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9 \%}$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

How concerned are you about jobs that pay enough to support a family in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Very concerned | $57.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $30.0 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

## Annual Average Unemployment

County, State, and National Comparisons

## Unemployment Rate by Jurisdiction

| Location | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> 00-05 Net <br> Change <br> Arroyo Grande$\quad 3.5$ | 3.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, 2005. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2005.

## C5

## Net Job Growth

## Number of Persons Employed, by Industry - San Luis Obispo County

| Industry | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | $00-05 \%$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resources, Mining and Construction | 6,100 | 6,700 | 6,800 | 6,900 | 7,200 | 7,700 | 26.2\% |
| Manufacturing | 7,400 | 7,400 | 6,900 | 6,500 | 6,400 | 6,200 | -16.2\% |
| Durable Goods | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,000 | 3,700 | 3,500 | 3,100 | -26.2\% |
| Machinery Manufacturing | 700 | 900 | 800 | 700 | 800 | 800 | 14.3\% |
| Computer and Electronic Product Manufacturing | 700 | 700 | 700 | 600 | 500 | 200 | -71.4\% |
| Residual - Misc. Manufacturing | 2,800 | 2,700 | 2,500 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 2,200 | -21.4\% |
| Nondurable Goods | 3,200 | 3,100 | 3,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 3,000 | -6.3\% |
| Food, Beverage, and Tobacco Manufacturing | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 40.0\% |
| Residual - Textile Mills | 2,200 | 2,100 | 1,800 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | -27.3\% |
| Trade, Transportation and Utilities | 18,100 | 18,800 | 18,900 | 19,100 | 19,600 | 20,000 | 10.5\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 2,200 | 2,500 | 2,600 | 8.3\% |
| Retail Trade | 12,600 | 13,100 | 13,300 | 13,300 | 13,500 | 13,800 | 9.5\% |
| Food and Beverage Stores | 2,600 | 2,900 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 15.4\% |
| General Merchandise Stores | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,400 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 6.7\% |
| Residual - Misc. Store Retail | 8,500 | 8,800 | 8,800 | 8,800 | 9,000 | 9,300 | 9.4\% |
| Transportation, Warehousing, and Utilities | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,300 | 3,500 | 3,700 | 3,700 | 15.6\% |
| Utilities | 1,800 | 1,700 | 1,800 | 1,900 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 16.7\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 1,400 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 14.3\% |
| Information | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,500 | 1,400 | 1,500 | 1,600 | -11.1\% |
| Financial Activities | 3,700 | 3,800 | 4,300 | 4,400 | 4,700 | 4,800 | 29.7\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 2,100 | 2,200 | 2,500 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 23.8\% |
| Real Estate, Rental and Leasing | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,200 | 37.5\% |
| Professional and Business Services | 8,800 | 9,000 | 8,900 | 8,500 | 8,800 | 8,800 | 0.0\% |

Number of Persons Employed, by Industry - San Luis Obispo County (continued)

| Education and Health Services | 8,700 | 9,600 | 10,000 | 10,300 | 10,500 | 10,800 | 24.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leisure and Hospitality | 13,000 | 13,200 | 13,800 | 14,300 | 14,400 | 14,700 | 13.1\% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 27.3\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 11,800 | 12,100 | 12,500 | 12,900 | 13,100 | 13,300 | 12.7\% |
| Accommodation | 2,800 | 2,900 | 3,100 | 3,000 | 3,200 | 3,400 | 21.4\% |
| Food Services and Drinking Places | 9,100 | 9,200 | 9,500 | 9,900 | 9,900 | 10,000 | 9.9\% |
| Other Services | 4,300 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,300 | 4,100 | 4,300 | 0.0\% |
| Government | 22,400 | 22,800 | 23,000 | 22,400 | 22,000 | 21,800 | -2.7\% |
| Federal Government | 800 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 600 | 600 | -25.0\% |
| State and Local Government | 21,600 | 22,100 | 22,300 | 21,800 | 21,300 | 21,200 | -1.9\% |
| State Government | 9,200 | 9,400 | 9,500 | 9,600 | 9,300 | 9,300 | 1.1\% |
| State Government Education | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,800 | 3,500 | 3,500 | -5.4\% |
| Other State Government | 5,500 | 5,600 | 5,800 | 5,800 | 5,800 | 5,800 | 5.5\% |
| Local Government | 12,500 | 12,700 | 12,800 | 12,200 | 12,000 | 11,900 | -4.8\% |
| Total Non-Farm | 94,200 | 97,300 | 98,400 | 98,100 | 99,100 | 100,700 | 6.9\% |
| Total Farm | 4,800 | 4,900 | 4,600 | 3,900 | 4,000 | 4,200 | -12.5\% |
| Total, All Industries | 99,000 | 102,200 | 103,000 | 102,000 | 103,100 | 104,800 | 5.9\% |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information, 2005.
Note: Sum of subcategories may not equal category totals.

## Twenty Occupations with the Largest Projected Growth

| Occupation | 2002 Estimated Number of Jobs | 2012 Projected Number of Jobs | Number of New Jobs Created | 2002-2012 Percent Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Claims Adjusters, Examiners, and Investigators | 30 | 50 | 20 | 66.7\% |
| Physical Therapist Aides | 80 | 130 | 50 | 62.5\% |
| Property, Real Estate, and Community Association Manager | 210 | 330 | 120 | 57.1\% |
| Loan Officers | 280 | 420 | 140 | 50.0\% |
| Network Systems and Data Communications Analysts | 100 | 150 | 50 | 50.0\% |
| Business Teachers, Postsecondary | 40 | 60 | 20 | 50.0\% |
| Occupational and Physical Therapist Assistants and Aides | 140 | 210 | 70 | 50.0\% |
| Medical Records and Health Information Technicians | 100 | 150 | 50 | 50.0\% |
| Medical Assistants | 230 | 340 | 110 | 47.8\% |
| Social and Human Service Assistants | 130 | 190 | 60 | 46.2\% |
| Sales Managers | 130 | 190 | 60 | 46.2\% |
| Computer, Automated Teller, and Office Machine Repair | 110 | 160 | 50 | 45.5\% |
| Rehabilitation Counselors | 70 | 100 | 30 | 42.9\% |
| Home Health Aides | 380 | 540 | 160 | 42.1\% |
| Self-Enrichment Teachers | 270 | 380 | 110 | 40.7\% |
| Physician Assistants | 50 | 70 | 20 | 40.0\% |
| Respiratory Therapists | 50 | 70 | 20 | 40.0\% |
| Personal and Homes Care Aides | 400 | 560 | 160 | 40.0\% |
| Graduate Teaching Assistants | 50 | 70 | 20 | 40.0\% |
| Database Administrators | 50 | 70 | 20 | 40.0\% |

[^2]
## Median Hourly Pay for Selected Occupations

## San Luis Obispo County

| Occupation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ Average <br> Yearly Salary | $\mathbf{0 2 - 0 6} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dental Hygienist | $\$ 31.47$ | $\$ 37.98$ | $\$ 77,660$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| Computer Engineers - Software Application | 22.39 | 36.05 | 66,350 | $61.0 \%$ |
| Carpenters | 17.34 | 21.65 | 46,049 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Accountants and Auditors | 26.16 | 26.08 | 60,825 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Landscaping and Groundskeeping | 11.03 | 11.98 | 27,409 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Truck Drivers, Heavy Tractor or Trailer | 15.51 | 18.82 | 38,750 | $21.3 \%$ |
| Secretaries, except legal and medical | 11.47 | 13.98 | 29,284 | $21.9 \%$ |
| General Office Clerks | 11.57 | 11.56 | 26,571 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Cooks, Restaurant | NA | 10.53 | 22,263 | NA |
| Cashiers | 8.33 | 8.67 | 21,140 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Farmworkers, Farm and Ranch Animals | 8.01 | 8.65 | 19,640 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Financial Analysts | 29.77 | 52.22 | 104,705 | $75.4 \%$ |
| Real Estate Agent | 23.18 | 42.70 | 105,866 | $84.2 \%$ |
| Retail Salesperson | $\$ 8.20$ | $\$ 9.00$ | $\$ 22,270$ | $9.8 \%$ |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, California Labor Market Information, State and Local Information, 2002 and 2006.
California

| Occupation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ Average <br> Yearly Salary | $\mathbf{0 2 - 0 6} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dental Hygienist | $\$ 38.79$ | $\$ 39.93$ | $\$ 78,064$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Computer Engineers - Software Application | 39.26 | 44.28 | 94,397 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Carpenters | 18.00 | 23.20 | 47,310 | 28.9 |
| Accountants and Auditors | 25.26 | 27.11 | 62,722 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Landscaping and Groundskeeping | 9.53 | 10.62 | 24,915 | $11.4 \%$ |
| Truck Drivers, Heavy Tractor or Trailer | 16.85 | 17.99 | 38,070 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Secretaries, except legal and medical | 14.17 | 15.71 | 33,281 | $10.9 \%$ |
| General Office Clerks | 11.94 | 12.21 | 27,102 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Cooks, Restaurant | 9.59 | 10.38 | 22,243 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Cashiers | 8.22 | 8.73 | 21,036 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Farmworkers, Farm and Ranch Animals | 7.29 | 8.76 | 20,448 | $20.2 \%$ |
| Financial Analysts | 30.52 | 34.39 | 80,772 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Real Estate Agent | 13.69 | 27.36 | 67,338 | $99.9 \%$ |
| Retail Salesperson | $\$ 8.81$ | $\$ 9.94$ | $\$ 25,434$ | $12.8 \%$ |

[^3]
## Childcare

首 Thinking about your childcare needs, what kind of childcare do you need for your children
but cannot get?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Part time (0-5 hrs/day) | $9.1 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Full time (6-8 hrs/day) | $5.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| Nontraditional hours | $5.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Sick child | NA | $3.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

国 Is there a kind of childcare that you need for your children but cannot get? ${ }^{1}$

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $9.0 \%$ |
| No | $89.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ 'Follow up question about type of childcare needed. Too few respondents for reliable data.

Where is childcare needed?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Near home | $64.7 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ |
| At or near school | $20.6 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Near work | $26.5 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | NA |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Childcare Supply

San Luis Obispo Childcare Supply, 2000

| Area of County | Center |  |  | Homes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of <br> Centers | Capacity | Vacancy | Number of <br> Homes | Capacity | Vacancy |
|  | 38 | 1,517 | 55 | 103 | 1,028 | 154 |
| South County | 27 | 1,216 | 69 | 105 | 964 | 230 |
| San Luis Obispo Central | 24 | 1,523 | 12 | 37 | 344 | 38 |
| North Coast | 11 | 462 | 21 | 37 | 314 | 75 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 1 8}$ | 157 | $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 7}$ |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2000.

San Luis Obispo Childcare Supply, 2001

| Area of County | Center |  |  | Homes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of <br> Centers | Capacity | Vacancy | Number of <br> Homes | Capacity | Vacancy |
|  | 43 | 1,685 | 58 | 120 | 1,200 | 296 |
| South County | 27 | 1,217 | 53 | 125 | 1,138 | 317 |
| San Luis Obispo Central | 27 | 1,552 | 37 | 40 | 356 | 41 |
| North Coast | 13 | 490 | 12 | 35 | 316 | 73 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 7}$ |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2001.

San Luis Obispo Childcare Supply, 2002

|  | Center |  |  | Homes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area of County | Number of <br> Centers | Capacity | Vacancy | Number of <br> Homes | Capacity | Vacancy |
| North County | 44 | 1,788 | 191 | 138 | 1,272 | 306 |
| South County | 29 | 1,300 | 160 | 132 | 1,200 | 690 |
| San Luis Obispo Central | 27 | 1,548 | 129 | 42 | 364 | 81 |
| North Coast | 13 | 462 | 109 | 38 | 344 | 183 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 0}$ |

Source: Economic Oppootunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2002.
Note: The vacancy data for this year were calculated differently due to how the question was asked of providers. In 2002, providers were asked to report their allowed capacity based on their license. In other years, providers were asked to report their capacity based on the number of children they were prepared to take.

San Luis Obispo Childcare Supply, 2003

|  | Center |  |  | Homes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area of County | Number of <br> Centers | Capacity | Vacancy | Number of <br> Homes | Capacity | Vacancy |
| North County | 49 | 1,763 | 64 | 165 | 1,387 | 345 |
| South County | 26 | 1,134 | 49 | 150 | 1,190 | 414 |
| San Luis Obispo Central | 28 | 1,448 | 19 | 48 | 341 | 48 |
| North Coast | 14 | 499 | 25 | 40 | 322 | 116 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 3}$ |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2003.

San Luis Obispo Childcare Supply, 2004

| Area of County | Center |  |  |  | Homes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Centers | Capacity | Vacancy ${ }^{1}$ |  | Number of Homes | Capacity | Vacancy ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  | PT | FT |  |  | PT | FT |
| North County | 45 | 1,650 | 102 | 23 | 140 | 1,452 | 175 | 280 |
| South County | 25 | 1,086 | 33 | 34 | 126 | 991 | 240 | 303 |
| San Luis Obispo Central | 26 | 1,360 | 81 | 7 | 49 | 319 | 33 | 52 |
| North Coast | 15 | 537 | 110 | 14 | 33 | 265 | 31 | 50 |
| Total | 111 | 4,633 | 326 | 78 | 348 | 3,027 | 479 | 685 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2004.
'In 2004, the Economic Opportunity Commission began reporting both full time and part time vacancies.

## San Luis Obispo Childcare Supply, 2005 ${ }^{1}$

| Area of County | Number of <br> Centers | Center <br> Capacity | Number of <br> Homes | Homes <br> Capacity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North County | 43 | 1,620 | 133 | 1,166 |
| South County | 25 | 1,285 | 132 | 1,073 |
| San Luis Obispo Central | 23 | 1,169 | 43 | 274 |
| North Coast | 16 | 527 | 28 | 228 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 4 1}$ |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2005.
'Vacancies were not reported in 2005.

Number of Subsidized Childcare Recipients

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0}-\mathbf{0 5} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of <br> Children | 2,141 | 2,605 | 2,660 | 2,643 | 2,661 | 2,693 | $25.8 \%$ |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission, Child Care Resource Connection, 2006.

## C9 <br> Government Payments

50xpluding Social Security retirement checks, are you or is anyone in your household receiving regular payments or benefits from any government program? This would include programs such as Medicare, Food Stamps, and rent subsidies as well as others.

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $18.4 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ |
| No | $81.6 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $78.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

What program or programs would that be?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medicare | $58.5 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ |
| Social Security disability payments | $10.2 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| Social Security survivors payments | NA | NA | $3.1 \%$ |
| MediCal | $9.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Section 8 Housing | $2.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ |
| Food Stamps | $2.0 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Military/Veteran's benefits | NA | NA | $10.2 \%$ |
| Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) | $7.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| California Work Opportunity and | $0.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Responsibility to Kids (CaIWORKs) | $2.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | NA |
| General Assistance | $19.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 4 \%}$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
| Total Respondents |  |  |  |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals exceed 100 percent because respondents can choose more than one response.
${ }^{1}$ Multiple response question with 98 respondents offering 135 responses.

## Government Assistance Recipients

Public Assistance Recipients by Program, San Luis Obispo County

## Characteristics of Recipients, CalWORKs Program

| Recipient | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 \% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | 1,205 | 1,040 | 934 | 883 | 914 | 892 | $-26.0 \%$ |
| Children | 3,336 | 3,089 | 3,113 | 2,987 | 3,009 | 3,136 | $-6.0 \%$ |
| Total $^{1}$ | 4,541 | 4,129 | 4,047 | 3,870 | 3,923 | 4,028 | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 3 \%}$ |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, 2000-2005.
Note: Recipient counts are as of July of each year. The Labor Market Information division of the Employment Development Department makes estimates to derive demographic breakdowns.
'Sum of Recipients may not equal total persons, since children ages 16-17 may be included in both recipient groups.

## Gender of Recipients, CaIWORKs Program

| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adult <br> Females | 1,270 | 1,160 | 1,130 | 1,080 | 1,100 | 1,130 | $-11.0 \%$ |
| Adult Males | 300 | 270 | 270 | 260 | 260 | 270 | $-10.0 \%$ |
| Total' $\left.{ }^{1} \mathbf{1 6 +}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, 2000-2005.
Note: Recipient counts are as of July of each year. The Labor Market Information division of the Employment Development Department makes estimates to derive demographic breakdowns.
'Sum of Recipients may not equal total persons, since children ages 16-17 may be included in both recipient groups.

## Age of Adult Recipients, CalWORKs Program

| Age | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 \% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $16-20$ | 330 | 300 | 300 | 280 | 290 | 300 | $-9.1 \%$ |
| $21-44$ | 1,120 | 1,020 | 1,000 | 960 | 970 | 1,000 | $-10.7 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | 100 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 90 | $-10.0 \%$ |
| $55+$ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | $0.0 \%$ |

## Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, 2000-2005.

Note: Recipient counts are as of July of each year. The Labor Market Information division of the Employment Development Department makes estimates to derive demographic breakdowns.

Race/Ethnicity of Adult Recipients, CalWORKs Program

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> 00-05 \% <br> Change <br> White (non-Hispanic) 1,070 | 950 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 90 | 890 | 890 | 910 | $-15.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 60 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 50 | $-16.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 410 | 410 | 400 | 380 | 390 | 420 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Asian and Pacific <br> Islander | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Filipino | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, 2000-2005.
Note: Recipient counts are as of July of each year. The Labor Market Information division of the Employment Development Department makes estimates to derive demographic breakdowns.
${ }^{1}$ Fewer than 5 cases.

## Number of Recipients, Food Stamps Program

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0} \mathbf{- 0 5} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Recipients | 6,204 | 5,624 | 5,632 | 5,841 | 6,443 | 6,487 | $4.6 \%$ |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, 2000-2005.
Note: Recipient counts are as of July of each year.

Number of Recipients, General Assistance

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0} \mathbf{0 0 5} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Recipients | 124 | 128 | 103 | 101 | 118 | 128 | $3.2 \%$ |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, 2000-2005.
Note: Recipient counts are as of Jlly of each year.

## Number of Participants, CaIWORKs Welfare-to-Work Program

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 01-05\% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Recipients | 940 | 880 | 874 | 920 | 848 | $-9.8 \%$ |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, Social and Economic Data, $2001-2005$.
Note: Recipient counts are as of July of each year.

## School Meal Program/ CalWORKs

 C11Percent of School-aged Children Enrolled in Free/reduced Cost Meal Program

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8} \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| Cayucos Elementary | 20.1 | 23.1 | 20.1 | 21.7 |
| Coast Unified | 36.5 | 38.4 | 40.0 | 45.2 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 44.7 | 42.4 | 36.6 | 36.4 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 36.6 | 34.8 | 31.8 | 35.9 |
| Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary | 30.7 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 41.1 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 24.8 | 25.9 | 27.1 | 28.3 |
| San Luis Obispo County Office of Education | 23.4 | 60.2 | 57.2 | 60.1 |
| San Miguel Joint Union | 51.2 | 59.5 | 56.0 | 59.6 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 67.4 | 62.4 | 69.6 | 66.9 |
| Templeton Unified | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 13.6 |
| Total County | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Total State | $\mathbf{4 8 . 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9} \%$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 2} \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Dataquest, Educational Demographics Unit, County Summary Data 2000-2005.
Number of School-aged Children Receiving CalWORKs

| District | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 2 - 0 6 \%}$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 5,792 | 5,511 | 5,330 | 5,156 | $-\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ |
| Cayucos Elementary | 234 | 221 | 209 | 198 | $-15.4 \%$ |
| Coast Unified | 926 | 933 | 898 | 865 | $-6.6 \%$ |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 10,919 | 10,747 | 10,881 | 11,169 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 6,900 | 6,801 | 6,667 | 6,802 | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Pleasant Valley Joint Union <br> Elementary | 140 | 137 | 142 | 146 | $4.3 \%$ |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 7,945 | 7,700 | 7,604 | 7,325 | $\mathbf{- 7 . 8 \%}$ |
| San Luis Obispo County <br> Office of Education | 555 | 724 | 712 | 757 | $36.4 \%$ |
| San Miguel Joint Union | 416 | 390 | 407 | 478 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 368 | 348 | 339 | 381 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Templeton Unified | 2,684 | 2,721 | 2,672 | 2,595 | $\mathbf{- 3 . 3} \%$ |
| Total County | $\mathbf{3 6 , 8 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 7 \%}$ |
| Total State | $\mathbf{6 , 1 7 8 , 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 7 6 , 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 6 9 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 7 9 , 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Dataquest, Educational Demographics Unit, County Summary Data 2000-2005.

## C12 <br> Building Permit Valuation

## San Luis Obispo County

Residential (In thousands of dollars)

| Permit valuation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 03-05 \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 386,182$ | $\$ 378,475$ | $\$ 340,824$ | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 7 \%}$ |
| Multi-Family | 19,964 | 28,046 | 35,824 | $79.4 \%$ |
| Additions and Alterations | 41,004 | 45,130 | 43,628 | $6.4 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County Total | $\$ 447,150$ | $\$ 451,651$ | $\$ 420,276$ | $\mathbf{- 6 . 0 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Financing, Construction Industry Research Board, 2006.

Residential Permits

| Residential Permits | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 - 0 5} \%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Single Family | 1,943 | 1,900 | 1,624 | $-16.4 \%$ |
| Multi-Family | 279 | 363 | 321 | $15.1 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 . 5 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Financing, Construction Industry Research Board, 2006.

Non-Residential (In thousands of dollars)

| Permit valuation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 03-05 \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial | $\$ 48,459$ | $\$ 51,627$ | $\$ 52,243$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| Industrial | 4,476 | 4,389 | 5,482 | $22.5 \%$ |
| Other | 27,186 | 30,706 | 27,506 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Additions and Alterations | 31,390 | 29,219 | 38,961 | $24.1 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County Total | $\$ 111,511$ | $\$ 115,941$ | $\$ 124,192$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 \%}$ |
| Total valuation of Residential <br> and Non-Residential permits | $\$ 558,661$ | $\$ 567,592$ | $\$ 544,468$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 5 \%}$ |

[^4]
## Travel Spending and Related Impacts

San Luis Obispo County

| Category <br> (in millions of dollars) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Direct Spending | $\$ 909.9$ | $\$ 896.7$ | $\$ 902.3$ | $\$ 930.5$ | $\$ 970.0$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Local Tax Receipts | 20.7 | 21.2 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 21.5 | $3.9 \%$ |
| State Tax Receipts | 37.1 | 35.1 | 36.3 | 37.3 | 39.1 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Total Employment (Number <br> of jobs) | 17,650 | 16,600 | 16,210 | 16,300 | 16,180 | $-8.3 \%$ |

Source: Dean Runyan and Associates. Retrieved November 10, 2006.

Transient Occupancy Tax by Jurisdiction (in thousands of dollars)

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | $\$ 191,000$ | $\$ 250,600$ | $\$ 339,300$ | $\$ 361,300$ | $\$ 399,800$ | $\$ 390,600$ | $104.5 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 261,700 | 296,200 | 260,500 | 281,000 | 313,500 | 386,200 | $47.6 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 143,600 | 140,200 | 165,600 | 158,100 | 174,200 | 180,000 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | $1,604,500$ | $1,771,900$ | $1,776,200$ | $1,712,300$ | $1,730,900$ | $1,636,900$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 759,400 | $1,192,900$ | $1,039,800$ | $1,123,500$ | $1,297,100$ | $1,468,900$ | $93.4 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | $3,703,100$ | $4,144,300$ | $4,291,900$ | $4,333,700$ | $4,649,900$ | $4,921,200$ | $32.9 \%$ |
| City of San Luis <br> Obispo | $3,582,000$ | $3,915,700$ | $3,790,300$ | $3,842,600$ | $3,922,200$ | $4,079,800$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | $\$ 3,617,200$ | $\$ 4,058,400$ | $\$ 4,296,400$ | $\$ 4,495,400$ | $\$ 4,816,900$ | $\$ 4,966,800$ | $37.3 \%$ |

Source: Dean Runyan and Associates. Retrieved November 10, 2006.

## Retail Sales

Taxable Sales per Person - County and State

Retail Sales per Capita, San Luis Obispo County

| Type of Business | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{0 0 - 0 4}$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apparel stores group | $\$ 346$ | $\$ 342$ | $\$ 359$ | $\$ 383$ | $\$ 370$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| General merchandise group | 1,111 | 1,159 | 1,182 | 1,204 | 1,242 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Specialty stores group | 999 | 977 | 1,064 | 1,210 | 1,300 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Food stores group | 667 | 674 | 655 | 673 | 641 | $-3.9 \%$ |
| Eating and drinking group | 1,237 | 1,294 | 1,363 | 1,428 | 1,491 | $20.5 \%$ |
| Household and home furnishings | 266 | 293 | 326 | 330 | 348 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Household appliance dealers | 95 | 108 | 112 | 114 | 123 | $29.5 \%$ |
| Building material group | 851 | 1,004 | 1,080 | 1,223 | 1,454 | $70.9 \%$ |
| Automotive group | 2,322 | 2,552 | 2,610 | 2,825 | 3,040 | $30.9 \%$ |
| Packaged liquor stores | 110 | 113 | 117 | 123 | 149 | $35.5 \%$ |
| Second hand merchandise | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 16 | $-11.1 \%$ |
| Farm implement dealers | 74 | 76 | 72 | 80 | 89 | $20.3 \%$ |
| Farm and garden supply stores | 164 | 160 | 171 | 170 | 187 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Fuel and ice dealers | 18 | 17 | 9 | 9 | 5 | $-72.2 \%$ |
| Mobile homes, trailers, and campers | 59 | 72 | 76 | 89 | 92 | $55.9 \%$ |
| Boat, motorcycle, and plane dealers | 97 | 105 | 111 | 104 | 115 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Retail stores total | $\$ 8,435$ | $\$ 8,963$ | $\$ 9,324$ | $\$ 9,979$ | $\$ 10,660$ | $26.4 \%$ |
| Business and personal services | $\$ 484$ | $\$ 489$ | $\$ 528$ | $\$ 502$ | $\$ 518$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| All other outlets | $\$ 2,937$ | $\$ 2,859$ | $\$ 2,851$ | $\$ 2,455$ | $\$ 2,992$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total all outlets | $\$ 11,856$ | $\$ 12,311$ | $\$ 12,703$ | $\$ 12,936$ | $\$ 14,170$ | $19.5 \%$ |

Source: California State Board of Equalization, Annual Report of Taxable Sales in California, 2000-2000. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Note: Subcategories may not equal total due to rounding.

Retail Sales per Capita, California

| Type of Business | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apparel stores group | $\$ 373$ | $\$ 385$ | $\$ 397$ | $\$ 422$ | $\$ 463$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| General merchandise group | 1,329 | 1,358 | 1,372 | 1,407 | 1,474 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Specialty stores group | 1,330 | 1,265 | 1,232 | 1,258 | 1,338 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Food stores group | 533 | 541 | 536 | 540 | 542 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Packaged liquor stores | 60 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 64 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Eating and drinking group | 1,028 | 1,060 | 1,078 | 1,115 | 1,183 | $15.1 \%$ |
| Household and home furnishings | 268 | 265 | 276 | 302 | 328 | $22.4 \%$ |
| Household appliance dealers | 127 | 118 | 120 | 118 | 121 | $-4.7 \%$ |
| Second-hand merchandise | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Farm implement dealers | 67 | 65 | 64 | 69 | 81 | $20.9 \%$ |
| Farm and garden supply stores | 60 | 59 | 60 | 63 | 65 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Fuel and ice dealers | 15 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 9 | $-40.0 \%$ |
| Building material group | 652 | 696 | 731 | 785 | 933 | $43.1 \%$ |
| Automotive group | 2,376 | 2,457 | 2,483 | 2,637 | 2,829 | $19.1 \%$ |
| Mobile homes, trailers, and campers | 28 | 28 | 30 | 34 | 40 | $42.9 \%$ |
| Boat, motorcycle, and plane dealers | 65 | 69 | 73 | 78 | 85 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Retail stores total | 8,326 | 8,457 | 8,536 | 8,911 | 9,570 | $14.9 \%$ |
| Business and personal services | 643 | 640 | 617 | 602 | 610 | $-5.1 \%$ |
| All other outlets | 3,846 | 3,605 | 3,326 | 3,290 | 3,487 | $-9.3 \%$ |
| Total all outlets | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 8 1 5}$ | $\$ 12, \mathbf{7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 4 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 \%}$ |

Source: California State Board of Equalization, Annual Report of Taxable Sales in California, 2000-2006. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
Note: Subcategories may not equal total due to rounding.

## Real per Capita Retail Sales

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | $\$ 15,054$ | $\$ 16,099$ | $\$ 16,609$ | $\$ 17,245$ | $\$ 17,986$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 9,676 | 11,068 | 11,800 | 11,480 | 12,012 | $24.1 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 5,882 | 5,999 | 6,123 | 6,272 | 6,297 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 11,262 | 11,291 | 11,176 | 11,818 | 12,016 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 17,771 | 18,752 | 19,146 | 20,176 | 22,169 | $24.7 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo City | 19,781 | 20,223 | 20,647 | 22,369 | 23,770 | $20.2 \%$ |
| Unincorporated Areas | 7,619 | 7,755 | 8,132 | 7,526 | 9,093 | $19.3 \%$ |

Source: California State Board of Equalization, Annual Report of Taxable Sales in California, Taxable Sales in the 272 Largest Cities, by Type of Business, 2000 . 2006. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Note: Data for Pismo Beach unavailable.

Real Retail Sales (In thousands of dollars)

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4} \%$ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | $\$ 238,617$ | $\$ 257,727$ | $\$ 270,426$ | $\$ 284,163$ | $\$ 298,514$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 255,553 | 295,594 | 318,292 | 313,539 | 332,920 | $30.3 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 76,860 | 78,830 | 80,342 | 81,878 | 83,525 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 116,565 | 117,655 | 117,367 | 123,972 | 126,542 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 431,791 | 469,077 | 493,767 | 540,360 | 603,850 | $39.8 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | 139,041 | 142,863 | 146,304 | 155,680 | 171,138 | $23.1 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo <br> City | 873,912 | 896,127 | 916,628 | 989,718 | $1,050,959$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| Unincorporated ${ }^{1}$ | 792,232 | 821,642 | $\mathbf{8 7 5 , 0 7 9}$ | 816,613 | $1,001,445$ | $26.4 \%$ |
| County Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 2 4 , 5 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 7 9 , 5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 1 8 , 2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 3 0 5 , 9 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 6 8 , 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |

Source: Califirnia State Board of Equalization, Annual Report of Taxable Sales in California, Taxable Sales in the 272 Largest Cities, by Type of Business, 2000 2006. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ 'Unincorporated figure found by subtracting County total from sub-total of I isted jurisdicitions.
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## Health Issues

Health indicators provide insight into the well being of any community. This section of the ACTION for Healthy Communities Report focuses on selfreported health data, California Health Interview Survey (CHIS) data, and other important health indicator data.

Overall good physical health is a combination of many factors. Regular exercise, a healthy diet, and regular health screenings can all help maintain good physical health. These factors all contribute to greater well being and can improve residents' quality of life. Respondents to the ACTION for Healthy Communities telephone survey were asked to state their physical health status. Approximately $19 \%$ of respondents stated they were in "excellent" health in 2006, compared to $22 \%$ of respondents in 2003. Thirty percent of respondents stated they were in "good" health in 2006, compared to $22 \%$ in 2003.

Obesity has been increasing greatly in the last 20 years', and is associated with diabetes, high cholesterol, high blood pressure, asthma, arthritis, and poor health status ${ }^{2}$. CHIS data reports that over $56 \%$ of adults in San Luis Obispo County were overweight or obese in 2005. In the same year, $15 \%$ of $7^{\text {th }}$ graders, $11 \%$ of 9 th graders, and $10 \%$ of $11^{\text {th }}$ graders were considered overweight according to the California Healthy Kids Survey. Almost 29\% of children aged 3-5 were considered at-risk of overweight or overweight in 2005-2006.

Timely medical visits help residents get appropriate preventive care and treatment for common and chronic conditions. People with a regular place to go for medical treatment may be more likely to use it, and may feel more comfortable accessing care.

[^5]In 2006, 89\% of telephone respondents reported "having a place to go when they are sick or need advice about their health." When asked about health care satisfaction, $62 \%$ of telephone respondents felt "very satisfied" with their medical care in 2006, compared to $48 \%$ in 2003. Additionally, 2006 telephone respondents rated the County Public Health Services as "very good" (17\%), "good" (28\%), and "fair" (12\%).

Health insurance is an important component of access to health care. According to the 2006 telephone survey, $88 \%$ of respondents had health insurance, a slight increase from $86 \%$ in 2003 and $85 \%$ in 1999. The 2003 CHIS data state that $58 \%$ of San Luis Obispo County residents had dental insurance, compared to $65 \%$ of California residents. The number of Medi-Cal recipients has increased $31 \%$ between 1999 and 2005, from 21,528 recipients in 1999 to 28,154 recipients in 2005. The new enrollment of children in the Healthy Families Insurance Program in San Luis Obispo County increased 4.7\% from 2001 to 2005. As of September of 2006, 4,509 children were enrolled in the Healthy Families Insurance Program in San Luis Obispo County.

Health prevention measures are another important health care indicator. Immunization levels for children have increased slightly among most childcare centers, but the immunization of kindergartners in San Luis Obispo County has decreased slightly from $93 \%$ in 2004 to $92 \%$ in 2005. According to the CHIS data, $70 \%$ of respondents over the age of 65 had a flu shot in 2005, which is down from $77 \%$ in 2001.

Reported smoking in the County has been decreasing since 2001 to its lowest level in 2006. In 2006, twelve percent of telephone survey respondents indicated they smoke cigarettes. However, the percentage of those who have tried to quit smoking for one day or longer has decreased from $66 \%$ in 2003 to $62 \%$ in 2006. There has been
an increase in the percentage of those attending a class or program to help them stop smoking - from $9 \%$ in 2003 to $12 \%$ in 2006. Youth self-reported smoking in the County has gone up among $7^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ graders since 2003-2004, according to the California Healthy Kids Survey.

When telephone respondents were asked "in the past 30 days, how many times did you have 4 or more drinks on an occasion?", $74 \%$ of respondents indicated that on no occasion had they consumed 4 or more drinks. In 2005-2006, student self reports of substance abuse indicate that students in the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade are more likely to have drunk alcohol, used inhalants, and smoked marijuana compared to students in the $7^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ grades. These figures are relatively unchanged since 2003-2004.

A 2005 study measured alcohol use among pregnant women enrolled in prenatal care clinics in San Luis Obispo. Data revealed that 38\% of the pregnant women used alcohol prior to recognition of the pregnancy, and $27 \%$ continued use after knowledge of the pregnancy. Among the 203 women who continued to use alcohol, over half (57\%) fell into the lightest level of drinking ( <1 day/week), and another $31 \%$ were drinking 1 to 2 days per week.

From 2002 to 2004, the San Luis Obispo County suicide death rate was 11.4 per 100,000 people. This is higher than the California suicide death rate at 9.4 per 100,000 people.

Mental illnesses affect nearly every family in San Luis Obispo County regardless of economic status, background and age. Approximately $7 \%$ of county residents are disabled by a serious mental illness and the impact on members of the extended family is inestimable. The lack of access to mental health services and of coordination within services can be frustrating and have a negative impact on the longterm outcome for recovery. For instance, untreated mental illness is the leading cause of disability and suicide and nearly always leaves the individual with mental illness unable to participate in typical functions like school, work and supportive relationships and possibly result in jail or juvenile hall stays, hospitalization or institutionalization. The good news is that effective treatment and support results in recovery from mental illness for most people.

Low birth rate percentages have been increasing since 2000, with $5.3 \%$ of all births considered "low weight" in 2000, and $7.0 \%$ of births considered "low weight" in 2006. The teen birth rate in San Luis Obispo County is declining slightly, with a rate of 21.7 teen births per 1,000 women ages $15-19$ in 2002-2004. This is lower than the 1999-2001 teen birth rate of 22.6 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. The number of actual births to teens ages 15-19 has decreased from 217 in 2004 to 189 in 2006.

## Physical Health <br> D1

Would you say that, in general, your physical health (including physical illness and injury) is:

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $21.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| Very Good | $41.0 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Good | $26.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| Fair | $8.5 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Poor | $3.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

然 In general, would you say your health is:

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo County | California |
| Excellent | $26.2 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ |
| Very Good | $34.4 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
| Good | $26.8 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Fair | $10.2 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total <br> Respondents | $\mathbf{2 3 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 6 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 1 4 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: Califormia Health Interview Survey, 2001, 2003, and 2005..

Do you now have any health problem that requires you to use special equipment, such as a cane, a wheelchair, a special bed, or a special telephone?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Requires special equipments | $6.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| Doesn't require special equipment | $93.6 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

## Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.

New data not available

Do you need special equipment or someone to help you with eating, dressing, bathing, getting out of chairs, moving around the house, or using the toilet because of a health problem or condition?

| Response | 2003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| Need special equipment or help | $2.0 \%^{1}$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Doesn't need special equipment or help | $98.0 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2003.
${ }^{1}$ Statistically unstable.
New data not available

Does your health limit your moderate activities such as golf, etc.?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| Limited a lot | $7.7 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Limited a little | $18.4 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| Not limited at all | $73.9 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

Have you stayed overnight in the hospital in the past year?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| Stayed in hospital overnight | $8.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Didn't stay in hospital overnight | $91.4 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

## D2 <br> Hospice Services

囲 In the past year, has anyone you feel very close to either been diagnosed with a lifethreatening illness or died?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $41.2 \%$ |
| No | $58.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

Was that person diagnosed with a life-threatening illness, or did he/she die?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Died | $42.8 \%$ |
| Diagnosed | $38.1 \%$ |
| Both | $18.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 1 5}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

Are you aware of any organizations in San Luis Obispo County that provide support for the terminally ill?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $64.0 \%$ |
| No | $34.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

율 Have you personally completed an Advance Directive?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $34.7 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ |
| No | $64.4 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

울 Has it been updated within the past 5 years?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $74.4 \%$ |
| No | $24.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Heathy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Source of Primary Health Care

面 Do you have a regular source of primary health care?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $83.8 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| No | $16.2 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

영 Is there a place you usually go when you are sick or need advice about your health?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $88.9 \%$ |
| No | $9.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

荌 Is there a place that you USUALLY go to when you are sick or need advice about your health?

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California |
| Has usual source <br> of care | $84.5 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| Does not have <br> usual source of <br> care | $15.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| Total <br> Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 4 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 3 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2005.

## D4 <br> Last Routine Check Up

How long has it been since your last routine check up?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | $71.6 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| $1-2$ years | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ | $15.5 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| 3-5 years | $7.2 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| More than 5 years ago | $5.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Never | $\mathbf{1 . 3} \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

How long has it been since any of your children visited a doctor for a routine check up?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Within past 6 months | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1 \%}$ | $67.3 \%$ |
| 6 months to 1 year ago | $23.4 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| More than 1 year ago | $6.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

## 置 In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen a medical doctor?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Never | $13.2 \%$ |
| 1 time | $18.4 \%$ |
| 2 times | $17.1 \%$ |
| 3 times | $13.3 \%$ |
| 4 times | $9.5 \%$ |
| 5 times | $6.1 \%$ |
| 6 times | $5.1 \%$ |
| $7-12$ times | $9.7 \%$ |
| Over 12 times | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

国 In the past 12 months, how many times has your child seen any kind of medical doctor?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Never | $3.1 \%$ |
| 1 time | $22.7 \%$ |
| 2 times | $21.1 \%$ |
| 3 times | $15.2 \%$ |
| 4 times | $10.5 \%$ |
| 5 times | $4.5 \%$ |
| 6 times | $7.2 \%$ |
| 7 times | $7.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

In the past 12 months, how many times have you visited the doctor?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| 0 visits | $16.0 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| 1 visit | $23.9 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| 2 visits | $18.1 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ |
| 3 visits | $10.5 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| 4 visits | $8.7 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| 5 visits | $5.0 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 6 visits | $5.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| $7-8$ visits | $2.1 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| $9-12$ visits | $6.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| $13-24$ visits | $2.3 \%{ }^{1}$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| $25+$ visits | $2.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 3 6 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001 and 2003.

## 'Statistically unstable.

New data not available

If zero visits, how long has it been since your last doctors visit?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| A year ago or less | $7.4 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%^{1}$ | $3.3 \%^{1}$ |
| $1-2$ years | $44.4 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| $2-5$ years | $34.4 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| 5 years ago or more | $12.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $12.8 \%^{1}$ | $12.8 \%^{1}$ |
| Never | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | $3.0 \%^{1}$ | $3.0 \%^{1}$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001 and 2003.
${ }^{1}$ Statistically unstable.
${ }^{2}$ Estimate is less than 500 people.
New data not available

## D5 Satisfaction with Medical Care

面 How satisfied are you with your medical care?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very satisfied | $59.3 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 3} \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat satisfied | $33.3 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ |
| Not at all satisfied | $7.3 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ Asked of those who had a regular source of medical care.

## 

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Excellent | $5.4 \%$ |
| Very Good | $16.8 \%$ |
| Good | $28.2 \%$ |
| Fair | $12.3 \%$ |
| Poor | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## D6 <br> Inability to Receive Medical Care

Healthy People 2010 Objective: No more than $7 \%$ of people will experience difficulties or delays in obtaining needed health care.

Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it? ${ }^{1}$

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $9.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $11.1 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| No | $90.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 6 \%}$ | $88.3 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
' Added in 2001 survey; answers in 1999 may not be comparable.

During the last 12 months, did you delay or not get other medical care you felt you needed, such as seeing a doctor, a specialist, or other health professional?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Delayed or didn't get care | $16.2 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| Did not delay care | $83.8 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2003.
New data not available

What was the reason you delayed or did not receive medical care?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Forgot | $33.3 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ |
| Couldn't afford/too expensive | $18.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ |
| No insurance | $13.5 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Insurance wouldn't pay | $4.4 \%^{1}$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Procrastination | $3.8 \%^{1}$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Some other reason | $12.6 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| More than one reason | $14.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4 \%}$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 3 8 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Healh Interview Survey, 2001.
'Statistically unstable.
New data not available

## Mental Health

国 Would you say that in general your mental health (which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions) is:

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $25.9 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ |
| Very Good | $46.5 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| Good | $21.1 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |
| Fair | $5.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| Poor | $0.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## During the past 4 weeks, did you do LESS than you would have liked because of any kind of emotional problems?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Did less due to an emotional problem | $11.6 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| Didn't do less due to emotional problem | $88.4 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 4 6 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

## Mental Health Access

D8

Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $7.5 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| No | $92.5 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

During the last 12 months, did you need help for emotional or mental health problems, such as feeling sad, blue, anxious, or nervous?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Needed help | $16.1 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| Didn't need help | $83.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 6 6 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

In the last 12 months, have you seen a psychiatrist, psychologist, social worker, or counselor for emotional or mental health problems?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Saw a health professional | $10.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Didn't see a health professional | $89.9 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 3 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

蓡 In the last 12 months, have you had any difficulties or delays getting mental health treatment? (Asked of those who needed or received help for emotional/mental/substance abuse problems in the past 12 months)

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Had difficulties or delays | $16.9 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Didn't have difficulties or delays | $83.1 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 31,000 | $3,966,000$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

## Mental Health Outpatient Clients

San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Outpatient Clients, by Age

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1-17$ | 1,153 | 1,306 | 1,306 | 1,014 | 1,146 |
| $18-59$ | 2,345 | 2,841 | 2,841 | 3,200 | 3,022 |
| Over 60 | 164 | 98 | 98 | 281 | 249 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 2}$ | 4,245 | $\mathbf{4 , 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 1 7}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Services, 2006.
Note: 2001 -2002 data not available. For years prior to 1999, age categories not comparable.

San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Outpatient Clients, by Gender

| Gender | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 1,548 | 1,519 | 1,945 | 2,253 | 2,423 | 2,349 |
| Male | 1,502 | 1,507 | 1,717 | 1,992 | 2,072 | 2,068 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 1 7}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Services, 2006.
Note: 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 data not available.

San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Outpatient Clients, by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 2,538 | 2,535 | 2,977 | 3,465 | 3,654 |
| African American | 98 | 90 | 105 | 111 | 136 |
| Hispanic | 328 | 326 | 415 | 491 | 517 |
| Native American | 25 | 22 | 40 | NA | 38 |
| Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | 30 | 28 | 43 | 49 | 40 |
| Other/Unknown | 31 | 35 | 82 | 129 | 110 |
| Total | 3,050 | 3,026 | 3,662 | 4,245 | 4,495 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Services, 2006.

## Patients Committed for Involuntary Emergency Brief Hospitalizations

|  | $1999-2000$ | $2000-2001$ | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number Committed | 728 | 801 | 924 | 893 | 860 |

Source: California Health and Human Services Agency, Department of Mental Health, Staisisics and Data Analysis, 1999-2004.

## D10 <br> Regular Source of Dental Care

Healthy People 2010 Objective: $56 \%$ of people ages two years and older will have annual dental visits.

율 Do you have a regular source of dental care?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $75.3 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| No | $24.7 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Do you have dental insurance?

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| Has dental insurance | $58.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| Doesn't have dental insurance | $42.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 6 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001 and 2003.
New data not available

Do you have dental insurance? (Ages 12-17 years old)

| Response | 2005 <br> San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Has dental insurance | $60.1 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| Doesn't have dental insurance | $39.9 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 20,000 | $3,309,000$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey 2005.
Note: In 2005, this question was only asked to those ages 12-17.

## D11 <br> Last Dental Check Up

## 융 How long has it been since you last visited a dentist for a routine check up?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | $\mathbf{7 1 . 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| 1-2 years | $14.7 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 3-5 years | $8.1 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| More than 5 years ago | $4.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| Never | $1.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

How long has it been since any of your children visited a dentist for a routine check up?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | $77.1 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| 1-2 years | $6.9 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| $3-5$ years | $1.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| More than 5 years ago | $1.7 \%$ | NA | $1.0 \%$ |
| Never | $13.1 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."
How long has it been since you last visited a dentist, dental hygienist, or orthodontist?

| Response | 2001 <br> San Luis Obispo <br> County |  | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Never been to dentist | $1.1 \%{ }^{1}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%{ }^{1}$ | California |
| $1-6$ months | $57.4 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $7-12$ months | $13.9 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ |
| $1-2$ years | $10.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| $2-5$ years | $9.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
| More than 5 years | $7.6 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 9 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001 and 2003.
'Statistically unstable.
New data not available

## Sealants/Dental Education for Child(ren)

畾
Has your child/ Have any of your children ever had their teeth sealed by a dentist?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $54.8 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| No | $45.2 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

요표 In the past year, have you received any information, education, or training on how to take care of your child(ren)'s teeth and gums, from a dentist, health class, or school?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $\mathbf{7 0 . 9 \%}$ | $63.4 \%$ |
| No | $29.1 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

## Health Insurance

Healthy People 2010 Objective: 100\% of residents under 65 years will have health insurance.

国 Do you have health insurance?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $84.5 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| No | $15.5 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

Does that include insurance through:

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Your employer or spouse's employer | $57.5 \%$ |
| State or Federal program (such as Medi-Cal or Medi-Care) | $31.7 \%$ |
| Private insurance you purchased on your own | $28.4 \%$ |
| Other | $3.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ |
| Total Responses | 539 |

Source: ACTION for Heathy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Why don't you have health insurance? ${ }^{1}$ (Asked of those who do not have health insurance)

| Response | 1999 | $2003{ }^{2}$ | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Too expensive/can't afford it | 77.8\% | 58.6\% | 57.9\% |
| Employer does not offer health insurance | 14.8\% | 5.7\% | 14.4\% |
| Covered by Medi-Cal/Medicare/VA | 7.4\% | 5.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Don't need/want insurance ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | 8.6\% |
| In between jobs now ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | 6.3\% |
| Self employed ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | 5.5\% |
| Other | NA | 41.4\% | 5.5\% |
| Total Respondents | 27 | 70 | 57 |
| Total Responses ${ }^{4}$ | NA | NA | 65 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
'Question changed from: "If no, why not?" "to "Why don't you have health insurance?" in 2000.
${ }^{2}$ Question not asked in 2001.
${ }^{3}$ Response categories added in 2006.
${ }^{4}$ Multiple response option added in 2006.

## 울 <br> If you have health insurance, does it cover:

## Dental Care

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $70.4 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ |
| No | $29.6 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Mental Health

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $83.0 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ |
| No | $17.0 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Regular Physical Exams (Preventative Care)

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $91.3 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| No | $8.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

## Substance Abuse Treatment

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $86.4 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ |
| No | $13.6 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Prescriptions

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $91.1 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $91.1 \%$ |
| No | $8.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 448 | 695 | 450 | 444 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to ressondents answering "Don't know."

Hospitalization

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $98.4 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ |
| No | $1.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surve, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."
New data not available

Dependent Spouse and Children

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $\mathbf{7 7 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 0} \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
| No | $22.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0 \%}$ | $19.6 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Current health insurance - for 18-64 years

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California |
| Employment- <br> based | $59.0 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ |
| Uninsured | $17.8 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| Privately <br> purchased | $13.0 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Medi-Cal | $8.6 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Other public | $1.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%{ }^{1} \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Total <br> Respondents | $\mathbf{1 4 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 8 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 5 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2005.
'Statistically unstable.

䨘 Current health insurance - for 65 years+

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California | San Luis Obispo County | California | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Medicare \& Other | 77.4\% | 66.6\% | 82.4\% | 68.2\% | 75.0\% | 67.8\% |
| Medicare \& MediCal | 9.7\% | 20.8\% | 8.6\% ${ }^{2}$ | 20.2\% | 15.1\% | 20.0\% |
| Medicare only | 10.4\% | 6.9\% | 8.4\% ${ }^{2}$ | 6.4\% | 8.4\% | 6.7\% |
| Other only | 2.2\% ${ }^{2}$ | 4.8\% | 1 | 4.6\% | 1 | 4.8\% |
| Uninsured | 1 | 0.8\% | 1 | 0.6\% | 1 | 0.7\% |
| Total Respondents | 36,000 | 3,547,000 | 37,000 | 3,769,000 | 37,000 | 3,873,000 |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2005.
${ }^{1}$ Estimate is less than 500 people.
${ }^{2}$ Statistically unstable.

## Medi－Cal／Medicare

## Number of Medi－Cal Recipients

| County | July <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | July <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | July <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | July <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | July <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | July <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | July <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 99－05 \％ <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo | 21,528 | 22,048 | 23,995 | 25,696 | 27,182 | 28,179 | 28,154 | $30.8 \%$ |

Source：California Department of Health Services，Fiscal Forecasting \＆Data Management Branch，Medi－Cal Beneficiary Profiles by County Data Files， 2006.

## 面 Are you enrolled in Medicare？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $95.5 \%$ |
| No | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |

Source：ACTION for Healthy Communities，Telephone Survey， 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering＂Don＂t know．＂

## 点 Have you enrolled in Part D，the new Prescription Drug Plan？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $36.9 \%$ |
| No | $57.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |

Source：ACTION for Heathy Communities，Telephone Survey， 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering＂Don＂t know．＂

## 国 Why haven＇t you enrolled in Part D？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Have alternative coverage／insurance | $66.3 \%$ |
| Don＇t need it（unspecified reason） | $12.7 \%$ |
| Advised by insurance company that don＇t need it | $9.0 \%$ |
| Pay for it ourselves | $3.0 \%$ |
| Other | $9.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |

Source：ACTION for Heathy Communities，Telephone Survey， 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering＂Don＂t know．＂

腎 Has Part D saved you money buying your drugs?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $69.6 \%$ |
| No | $19.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## D15 <br> Exercise

馬 How many days a week do you engage in physical activity (such as brisk walking or gardening) for a combined total of $\mathbf{3 0}$ minutes or more?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | $14.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | NA |
| $1-2$ | $13.1 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| $3-4$ | $24.6 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ |
| 5 or more days | $48.2 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Nutrition

國 Do you eat 5 or more servings of fruit and vegetables every day?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $41.6 \%$ |
| No | $57.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Do you eat 5 or more servings of fruit and vegetables every day?

| Response | 2001 <br> San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $50.2 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| No | $49.8 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 7 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 6 9 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

## Obesity

Adults Overweight or Obese (BMI>25)

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California |
| Not overweight or <br> obese | $45.2 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ |
| Overweight or <br> obese | $54.8 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ |
| Total <br> Respondents | $\mathbf{1 7 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 3 8 8 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2005.

## Student Body Mass Index

|  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5 - 0 6}$ |
| Underweight | $3.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Acceptable | $68.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |
| At risk of overweight | $17.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Overweight | $11.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003 and 2005.

## Percentage of Preschool Children, 3-5 Years, At-risk of Overweight and Overweight

| Region | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| South County | $40.2 \%$ |
| North Coast | $23.3 \%$ |
| SLO/Central | $19.6 \%$ |
| North County | $27.5 \%$ |
| Total County | $\mathbf{2 8 . 8} \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Health, Maternal and Child Health.

## D18 Care for People with Disabilities

苗 Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the care giver to a disabled child, disabled adult, or older person?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $8.3 \%$ |
| No | $91.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Sun Exposure

When you go outside on a very sunny day for MORE than one hour, how often do you wear any kind of hat that shades your face, ears and neck from the sun?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Always | $28.1 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $37.1 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| Never | $33.5 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ |
| Not in the sun for more than 1 hour | $1.3 \%^{1}$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 1 9 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
'Statistically unstable.
New data not available

And when you go outside on a very sunny day for more than an hour, how often do you wear a long sleeved shirt?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Always | $13.1 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $39.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ |
| Never | $47.3 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ |
| Not in the sun for more than 1 hour | $0.7 \%^{1}$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
'Statistically unstable.
New data not available

实 When you go outside on a very sunny day for MORE than one hour, how often do you stay in the shade?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Always | $11.5 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $77.0 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ |
| Never | $11.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 179,000 | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 8 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

When you go outside on a very sunny day for MORE than one hour, how often do you use sunscreen of SPF 15 or greater?

| Response | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| Always | $30.2 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $40.0 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| Never | $29.8 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 3 4 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Healh Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

How many times in the past year have you had a sunburn?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| 0 times | $58.5 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |
| 1 time | $17.7 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| 2 times | $10.4 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 3 times | $5.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| $4-5$ times | $3.6 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 6 or more times | $4.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 9 1 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2001.
New data not available

Childcare, All Centers

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California |
| Number of Students | 2,839 | 437,693 | 2,868 | 456,675 | 2,892 | 498,860 |
| Number of Centers | 86 | 9,252 | 89 | 9,550 | 90 | 9,771 |
| Percent with medical <br> exemptions | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Percent with personal <br> exemptions | $2.8 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Percent needing one or <br> more immunizations | $7.7 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Percent with all required <br> immunizations | $92.3 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Health Service, Immunization Branch, Child Care Assessment Results, 2006.

Childcare, Public Centers

|  | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California |
| Number of Students | 451 | 86,073 | 437 | 95,660 | 544 | 104,656 |
| Number of Centers | 22 | 2,015 | 23 | 2,109 | 24 | 2,339 |
| Percent with medical <br> exemptions | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Percent with personal <br> exemptions | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Percent needing one or <br> more immunizations | $9.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Percent with all required <br> immunizations | $90.9 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ |

Source: Calfiomia Department of Health Service, Immunization Branch, Child Care Assessment Results, 2006.

## Childcare, Private Centers

|  | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California | San Luis <br> Obispo | California |
| Number of Students | 2,064 | 277,906 | 2,146 | 284,075 | 1,963 | 306,139 |
| Number of Centers | 50 | 5,634 | 53 | 5,711 | 47 | 5,710 |
| Percent with medical <br> exemptions | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Percent with personal <br> exemptions | $3.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Percent needing one or <br> more immunizations | $7.6 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Percent with all required <br> immunizations | $92.4 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $92.2 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Health Service, Immunization Branch, Child Care Assessment Results, 2006.

Childcare, Head Start Centers

| Response | 2001 |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | San Luis Obispo | California | San Luis Obispo | California | San Luis Obispo | California |
| Number of Students | 324 | 73,714 | 285 | 76,940 | 385 | 88,065 |
| Number of Centers | 16 | 1,603 | 13 | 1,730 | 19 | 1,722 |
| Percent with medical exemptions | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Percent with personal exemptions | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Percent needing one or more immunizations | 7.1\% | 5.9\% | 10.9\% | 3.4\% | 15.1\% | 3.7\% |
| Percent with all required immunizations | 92.9\% | 94.1\% | 88.8\% | 96.2\% | 83.6\% | 95.9\% |

Source: California Department of Health Service, Immunization Branch, Child Care Assessment Results, 2006.

Percent of Kindergarteners Having Immunizations Up-to-date

| County | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo | $92.4 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |
| California | $92.9 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Health Services, Immunization Branch, Kindergarten Assessment Results, 2006.

Percent of Kindergarteners Having Immunizations Up-to-date at age 2

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Central Coast Region | $67.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 8 \%}$ | $68.3 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ |
| California | $63.9 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| Central Coast Rank (among 7 regions) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 |

Source: California Department of Health Services, Immunization Branch, Kindergarten Retrospective Survey, 2006.

Has your child had a flu shot in the past 12 months? For respondents 18-64 years old

| Response | 2005 <br> San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Had flu shot | $19.1 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Didn't have flu shot | $80.9 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 5 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2005.

Have you had a flu shot in the past 12 months? For respondents 65 and older

| Response | 2001 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California | San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | California |
| Had flu shot | $76.9 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Didn't have flu <br> shot | $23.1 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ |
| Total <br> Respondents | 36,000 | $\mathbf{3 , 5 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 6 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 7 2 , 0 0 0}$ |

[^6]
## Smoking

Healthy People 2010 Objective: No more than $12 \%$ of adults ages 18 years and older will smoke cigarettes.

융 Do you smoke cigarettes everyday, some days, or not at all?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Every day | $9.0 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Some days | $8.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Not at all | $82.9 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Youth Smoking (self-reported)

## Ever Used Cigarettes or Smokeless Tobacco in Lifetime, San Luis Obispo County

|  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 03-04 | 05-06 | 03-04 | 05-06 | 03-04 | 05-06 |
| A cigarette, even one or two puffs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 87.0\% | 83.0\% | 70.0\% | 70.0\% | 54.0\% | 55.0\% |
| 1 time | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 11.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 11.0\% |
| 2 to 3 times | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 9.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 4 or more times | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.0\% | 15.0\% | 27.0\% | 26.0\% |
| A whole cigarette |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 95.0\% | 92.0\% | 83.0\% | 81.0\% | 66.0\% | 68.0\% |
| 1 time | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| 2 to 3 times | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% |
| 4 or more times | 2.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.0\% | 12.0\% | 23.0\% | 22.0\% |
| Smokeless tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 97.0\% | 96.0\% | 93.0\% | 91.0\% | 86.0\% | 86.0\% |
| 1 time | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% |
| 2 to 3 times | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 4 or more times | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% |

[^7]Ever Used Cigarettes or Smokeless Tobacco in Lifetime, California (2003-2004)

|  | Grade 7 | Grade 9 | Grade 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A cigarette, even one or two puffs |  |  |  |
| 0 times | $83.0 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| 1 time | $11.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| 2 to 3 times | $3.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 4 or more times | $3.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| A whole cigarette |  |  |  |
| 0 times | $94.0 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |
| 1 time | $3.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2 to 3 times | $1.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 4 or more times | $2.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Smokeless tobacco |  |  |  |
| 0 times | $98.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |
| 1 time | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2 to 3 times | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| 4 or more times | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003.
New data not available

Any and Daily Use of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco in Past 30 days, San Luis Obispo (2003-2004)

|  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 03-04 | 05-06 | 03-04 | 05-06 | 03-04 | 05-06 |
| Cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 11.0\% | 11.0\% | 19.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Daily | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% |
| Smokeless Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% |
| Daily | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% |

Source: Califormia Healthy Kids Survey, 2003 and 2005.

Any and Daily Use of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco in Past 30 days, California (2003-2004)

|  | Grade 7 | Grade 9 | Grade 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cigarettes |  |  |  |
| Any | $5.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Daily | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Smokeless Tobacco |  |  |  |
| Any | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Daily | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

## Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2005.

New data not available

## D22 Smoking, Attempt to Quit

I胃 In the past 12 months, have you: Quit smoking for 1 day or longer?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $67.2 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| No | $32.8 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

结 In the past 12 months, have you: Attended any class or participated in any program to help you stop smoking?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $8.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| No | $91.6 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Smoking Inside Home（including Smokeless Tobacco，Pipe）

绍 In the past 30 days has anyone，including yourself，smoked cigarettes，cigars，or pipes anywhere inside your home？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $12.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| No | $87.3 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source：ACTION for Healthy Communities，Telephone Survey，2001， 2003 and 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal 100\％due to respondents answering＂Don＇t know．＂

Have there been any non－smokers present in your home while someone was smoking？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $75.3 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ |
| No | $24.7 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |

Source：ACTION for Healthy Communities，Telephone Survey，2001， 2003 and 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering＂Don＇t know．＂

Do you currently use any smokeless tobacco products，such as chewing tobacco or snuff？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $2.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| No | $97.6 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source：ACTION for Healhy Communities，Telephone Survey，2001， 2003 and 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering＂Don＇t know．＂

요⿴囗十 Do you currently smoke cigars or a pipe？

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $2.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| No | $97.4 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $95.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source：ACTION for Healthy Communities，Telephone Survey，2001， 2003 and 2006.
Note：Totals may not equal 100\％due to respondents answering＂Don＇t know．＂

## Concern About Drug, Tobacco, and Alcohol Abuse

How concerned are you about drug, tobacco, and alcohol abuse in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $42.1 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat <br> concerned | $38.6 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $19.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 539 | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Alcohol Use

Healthy People 2010 Objective: No more than $6 \%$ of adults ages 18 years and older will engage in binge drinking.

How many servings of alcohol do you generally drink in a week?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | $51.4 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ |
| 1 to 2 | $19.2 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |
| 3 to 5 | $12.0 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| 6 or more | $17.5 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 543 | 799 | 522 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

On days when you drank, about how many drinks did you drink on average?

|  | 2003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Drinks | San Luis Obispo County | California |
| 1 drink/day | $40.4 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |
| 2 drinks/day | $30.7 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| 3 drinks/day | $11.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| 4 drinks/day | $8.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 5 drinks/day | $2.1 \%^{1}$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 6 drinks/day | $2.0 \%^{1}$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| 7 or more drinks/day | $4.4 \%^{1}$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2003.
'Statistically unstable.
New data not available

盆 Considering all types of all alcoholic beverages, in the past 30 days about how many times did you have 4 or more drinks on an occasion?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| None | $74.4 \%$ |
| 1 to 2 | $14.8 \%$ |
| 3 to 5 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 6 or more | $4.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 502 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Alcohol Consumption, National Comparisons

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Persons consuming alcohol in the last month <br> (in thousands) | 104,092 | 109,029 | 119,820 | 118,965 | 120,934 |
| Percent of national population | $46.6 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| Persons engaged in binge drinking1 <br> (in thousands) | 46,049 | 46,365 | 53,787 | 53,770 | 54,725 |
| Percent of drinkers engaged in binge drinking ${ }^{1}$ | $20.6 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| Persons engaged in heavy drinking <br>  <br> (in thousands) | 12,554 | 12,944 | 15,860 | 16,144 | 16,689 |
| Percent of drinkers engaged in heavy drinking ${ }^{2}$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |

Source: United States Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Statistics, Office of Applied Statistics, 2000-2004.
${ }^{1}$ Binge drinking is defined as drinking five or more drinks on the same occasion on at least 1 day in the past 30 days.
${ }^{2}$ Heavy drinking is defined as drinking five or more drinks on the same occasion on each of five or more days in the past 30 days.
Alcohol Use by Pregnant Women, $2005{ }^{1}$

| Total number of pregnant women | 744 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Assessment Results | Number | Percent of All Pregnant Women |
| Used alcohol in month before known pregnant | 280 | $37.6 \%$ |
| Used alcohol since known pregnant | 203 | $27.3 \%$ |
| Alcohol Use Patterns | Number | Percent of Pregnant Women |
|  |  | Using Alcohol |
| Every day | 4 | $2.0 \%$ |
| $3-6$ days/week | 21 | $10.3 \%$ |
| $1-2$ days/week | 63 | $31.0 \%$ |
| $<1$ day/week | 115 | $56.7 \%$ |

Source: Chasnoff, I. J., McGourty, R. F., Bailey, G. W, Hutchins, E., Lightfoot, S. O., Pawson, L. L., Fahey, C., May, B., Brodie, P., McCulley, L.., Campbell, J. The 4P's Plus ${ }^{\circ}$ screen for substance use in pregnancy: Clinical applications and outcomes. Journal of Perinatology; 25:368-374, 2005.
${ }^{1}$ The participants in this study includes pregnant women enrolled in prenatal care clinics in San Luis Obispo, who were screened for substance use with the 4P's Plus. The 4P's Plus identifies those pregnant women whose drinking or orug use is at a high enough level to impair daily functioning. Women with a positive screen underwent an assessment for substance use through a follow-up structured clinical interview conducted at the same prenatal visit.

## Student Self-Reports of Substance Abuse

Percent of Students Who Reported Using Each Substance Ever and in the Last 30 Days, San Luis Obispo County, 2003-2004

| Response | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Drank alcohol (1 full drink) | $12.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ |
| Used inhalants | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Smoked marijuana | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003.

Percent of Students Who Reported Using Each Substance Ever and in the Last 30 Days, San Luis Obispo County, 2005-2006

| Response | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Drank alcohol (1 full drink) | $12.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ |
| Used inhalants | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Smoked marijuana | $4.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2005.

Percent of Students Who Reported Using Each Substance Ever and in the Last 30 Days, California, 2003-2004

| Response | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Drank alcohol (1 full drink) | $11.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Used inhalants | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Smoked marijuana | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003.
New data not available

## Percent of Students Who Reported Using Substance in the Last 30 Days, San Luis Obispo

 County| Substance | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 03-04 | 05-06 | 03-04 | 05-06 | 03-04 | 05-06 |
| Alcohol (at least 1 drink) | 12.0\% | 13.0\% | 28.0\% | 27.0\% | 43.0\% | 41.0\% |
| Marijuana | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 12.0\% | 12.0\% | 25.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Inhalants | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Cocaine | NA | NA | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Methamphetamines or any amphetamines | NA | NA | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% |
| LSD or other psychedelics | NA | NA | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003 and 2005.

Percent of Students Who Reported Using Substance in the Last 30 Days, California 2003-2004

| Substance | Grade 7 | Grade 9 | Grade 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alcohol (at least 1 drink) | $10.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ |
| Marijuana | $4.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Inhalants | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Cocaine | NA | $3.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Methamphetamines or any <br> amphetamines | NA | $3.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| LSD or other psychedelics | NA | $2.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003.
New data not available

Percent of Students Who Reported Using Substance in the Last 30 Days, United States 2004

| Substance | Grade 8 | Grade 10 | Grade 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alcohol $^{1}$ | $18.6 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| Marijuana | $6.4 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| Inhalants | $4.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Cocaine | $0.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Methamphetamines or any <br> amphetamines | $2.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| LSD or other psychedelics | $1.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

Source: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research, Monitoring the Future, 2005.
Note: The "Monitoring the Future" survey does not indicate the school year, only the calendar year.
${ }^{1}$ This includes any alcohol use.

## New data not available

## Death by Leading Causes

## San Luis Obispo County Death Rates

| Cause | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Deaths | 685.7 | 664.3 | 686.8 | 673.2 |
| Heart Disease | 154.6 | 138.8 | 136.9 | 131.4 |
| All Cancer | 166.7 | 165.0 | 166.4 | 164.6 |
| Lung Cancer | 45.2 | 45.8 | 46.4 | 44.7 |
| Breast Cancer | 22.6 | 21.8 | 20.5 | 20.2 |
| Stroke | 52.3 | 49.7 | 52.4 | 49.2 |
| Unintentional Injury | 33.0 | 34.0 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| Suicide | 12.8 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 11.4 |
| Homicide | $1.6^{1}$ | $1.7^{1}$ | $2.1^{1}$ | $2.3^{1}$ |
| Motor Vehicles | $6.7^{1}$ | 11.8 | 12.5 | 13.0 |
| Firearms Related | 11.9 | 7.3 | 7.6 | $6.6^{1}$ |
| Drug Related | 14.3 | 12.0 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| Diabetes | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.7 |  |

Source: County Heath Status Profiles 1999-2004, California Department of Health Services and California Conference of Local Heath Officers, 2003-2006.
Note: Rate is per 100,000 people.
'Death rate unreliable, relative standard erro is greater than or equal to $23 \%$.

## California Death Rates

| Cause | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Deaths | 760.0 | 745.0 | 729.0 | 704.5 |
| Heart Disease | 194.3 | 186.0 | 175.9 | 164.7 |
| All Cancer | 176.1 | 172.7 | 169.6 | 164.1 |
| Lung Cancer | 45.9 | 44.8 | 43.8 | 41.8 |
| Breast Cancer | 24.5 | 24.1 | 23.4 | 22.8 |
| Stroke | 61.2 | 58.9 | 55.6 | 52.4 |
| Unintentional Injury | 27.2 | 27.6 | 28.6 | 29.3 |
| Suicide | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.4 |
| Homicide | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Motor Vehicles | 10.3 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 12.1 |
| Firearms Related | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.4 |
| Drug Related | 8.4 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.0 |
| Diabetes | 20.7 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 21.3 |

Source: County Heath Status Profiles 1999-2004, California Department of Health Services and California Conference of Local Heath Officers, 2003-2006.
Note: Rate is per 100,000 people.

## Suicides

## Suicide Rate

|  | $1996-1998$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County Deaths (annual average) | 30.3 | 32.3 | 31.0 |
| San Luis Obispo County suicide death rate $^{1}$ | 11.3 | 12.8 | 11.4 |
| California suicide death rate $^{1}$ | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.4 |

Source: California Department of Health Services, County Health Status Profiles 1999-2006.
${ }^{1}$ Rates are per 100,000 people and are age adjusted.

## Reported Communicable Diseases

New Cases Diagnosed

| Disease | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AIDS | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| Chlamydia | 510 | 474 | 549 |
| Cryptosporidiosis | 8 | 53 | 6 |
| E. Coli | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Giardia | 12 | 11 | 27 |
| Gonorrhea | 56 | 37 | 49 |
| Hepatitis A | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Hepatitis B | 37 | 41 | 94 |
| Meningitis - Total | 28 | 31 | 22 |
| Pertussis | 1 | 0 | 95 |
| Salmonellosis | 22 | 25 | 23 |
| Syphilis - Total | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| Tuberculosis | 3 | 7 | 0 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Public Health Department, 2003-2005.

AIDS cases and Cumulative Incidence (1981-December 31, 2005)

| AIDS Cases | Total Cases | Living Cases | Deceased <br> Number | Deceased <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 546 | 286 | 260 | $47.6 \%$ |
| California | 139,449 | 58,235 | 81,214 | $58.2 \%$ |

Source: Califionia Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, HIVIAIDS Case Registry Section, 2005.

## Infant Mortality Rate

Infant Death Rate, San Luis Obispo County and State

|  | $1996-1999^{3}$ | $1997-2000^{3}$ | $1999-2001$ | $2000-2002$ | $2001-2003$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County Number of <br> Infant Deaths (three year average) | 13.0 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| San Luis Obispo County Birth <br> Cohort Infant Death Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| California Birth Cohort Infant Death <br> Rate $^{2}$ | $5.3^{1}$ | $4.8^{1}$ | $4.4^{1}$ | $4.4^{1}$ | $4.3^{1}$ |
| San Luis Obispo County Statewide <br> Rank | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 |

Source: California Department of Health Services, County Health Status Profiles 1996-2003.
${ }^{1}$ Death rate unreliable. Relative standard error is greater than or equal to $23 \%$.
${ }^{2}$ Rates are per 1,000 live births. ${ }^{2}$ Rates are per 1,000 live births.
${ }^{3}$ Data for 1998 is not available.

Infant Death Rate, San Luis Obispo County, by Ethnicity, 2001-2003

|  | White | Hispanic | Black | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County Number of Infant <br> Deaths (three year average) | 5.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| San Luis Obispo County Birth Cohort Infant <br> Death Rate | $3.2^{1}$ | $6.8^{1}$ | $0.0^{1}$ | $3.9^{1}$ |
| California Birth Cohort Infant Death Rate $^{2}$ | 4.7 | 5.1 | 11.2 | 4.1 |
| San Luis Obispo County Statewide Rank | 12 | 49 | 3 | 30 |

Source: California Department of Health Services, County Health Status Profiles 2001-2003.
${ }^{1}$ Death rate unreliable. Relative standard error is greater than or equal to $23 \%$.
${ }^{2}$ Rates are per 1,000 live births.

## Prenatal Care
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Healthy People 2010 Objective: 90\% of pregnant women will receive early and adequate prenatal care.

## Prenatal Care, San Luis Obispo County and State

|  | 1999-2001 | 2000-2002 | 2001-2003 | 2002-2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent Late or No Prenatal Care | $17.1 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County | $15.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| California | 22 | 28 | 28 | 31 |
| San Luis Obispo County Statewide Rank | $81.9 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| Percent Adequate/Adequate Plus Prenatal Care | $76.4 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County | 7 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| California |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Department of Health Services, County Health Status Profiles 1999-2004.
Note: The definition of adequate/adequate plus prenatal care includes mothers who initiated prenatal care visits recommended by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

New data not available

Live Births by Trimester in which Prenatal Care Began, 2004

|  | First <br> Trimester | Second <br> Trimester | Third <br> Trimester | No Care | Unknown |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | $82.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| California | $85.6 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Health Services, Birth Statistical Data, 2004.
New data not available

Trimester Prenatal Care Began, by Mother's Age, 2006

| Mother's Age | First <br> Trimester | Second <br> Trimester | Third <br> Trimester | Unknown | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<20$ | $75.1 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 189 |
| $20-24$ | $79.2 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | 624 |
| $25-29$ | $87.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 826 |
| $30-34$ | $89.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 639 |
| $35-39$ | $91.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | 382 |
| $40+$ | $90.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | 94 |

Source: Department of Public Health, County of San Luis Obispo - Vital Records, 2006.
Applied Survey Research

## D32 <br> Birth Weight

Healthy People 2010 Objective: No more than $5 \%$ of infants will be born at low birth weight.

## Birth Weight for all Births

San Luis Obispo County and State, 2003

|  | Under 1500 <br> grams | $1500-2499$ <br> grams | $2500-4499$ <br> grams | 4500 grams <br> and over |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | $0.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| California | $1.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Health Services, Birth Statistical Data, 2003.
New data not available

San Luis Obispo County and State, 2004

|  | Under 1500 <br> grams | $1500-2499$ <br> grams | $2500-4499$ <br> grams | 4500 grams <br> and over |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | $0.8 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| California | $1.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Health Services, Bith Statistical Data, 2004.
New data not available

Low Birth Weight Births (as Percentage of all Births)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo <br> County | $5.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| California | $6.2 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | NA |

Source: 2000-2004 Data: California Department of Health Services, Birth Statistical Data, 2000-2004.: Department of Public Health, County of San Luis Obispo - Vital Records, 2006.
Note: Low birth weight is less than 2,500 grams (5lbs, 80z.).

## Teen Birth Rate
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Teen Births: San Luis Obispo County and California

|  | $1999-2001$ | $2000-2002$ | 2001-2003 | 2002-2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County Number of Births <br> (average) | 226.7 | 218.7 | 216.7 | 220.7 |
| San Luis Obispo County Teen Birth Rate | 22.6 | 21.4 | 21.3 | 21.7 |
| California Teen Birth Rate | 47.7 | 45.0 | 41.1 | 39.2 |
| San Luis Obispo County Statewide Rank | 8 | 6 | 7 | 11 |

Source: California Department of Health Services, County Health Status Profiles, 1999-2004.
Note: Birth Rate refers to the number of births to females, ages 15-19, per 1,000 women in that age group.

## New data not available

Teen Births by Age and Ethnicity, San Luis Obispo County, 2004 and 2006

| Ethnicity | Under 15 years of age |  | 15-17 years of age |  | 18-19 years of age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2006 | 2004 | 2006 | 2004 | 2006 |
| Caucasian | 1 | NA | 17 | 28 | 62 | 48 |
| Hispanic | 2 | NA | 37 | 33 | 90 | 71 |
| Asian | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 1 | NA |
| Black | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| American Indian | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 1 | NA |
| Multi-racial | 0 | NA | 1 | NA | 7 | NA |
| Other | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | 4 |
| Unknown | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA | 0 |
| Total Births | 3 | NA | 55 | 62 | 162 | 127 |

Source: 2004 Data: California Department of Heath Services, Birth Statisicical Data, 2004.2006 Data: Department of Public Heath, County of San Luis Obispo - Vital
Records, 2006.

## Concern With Child Abuse

臨 How concerned are you about child abuse in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very Concerned | $44.8 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat Concerned | $29.5 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ |
| Not at all Concerned | $25.7 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Caregivers

Are you the caregiver to a disabled child, disabled adult or older person?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $8.5 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| No | $91.5 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 757 | 522 | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healhy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

Does anyone in your household have a permanent mental or physical impairment that substantially limits life activity?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $7.1 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| No | $92.5 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

옯 If yes, who helps this person?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Relative/Family Member | $56.7 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |
| Neighbor/Friend/Church Member | $10.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Someone from a Government Agency | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Someone from a Private/Non-Profit Organization | $2.7 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| I don't need anyone to help me | NA | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6 \%}$ |
| Other | $32.4 \%$ | NA |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{N A}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Healthy Families Program

面 Have you heard of the Healthy Families Program?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $51.6 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| No | $48.4 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ This question was asked to parents of children aged 0-14.
New data not available

New Subscriber Enrollment

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 01-05\% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo <br> County | 1,583 | 1,625 | 1,527 | 1,708 | 1,658 | $4.7 \%$ |
| California | 278,646 | 287,012 | 269,379 | 281,067 | 283,979 | $1.9 \%$ |

Source: Managed Risk Medical Insurance Board, 2000-2005.

Current Enrollment ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 4,509 |
| California | 773,744 |

Source: Managed Risk Medical Insurance Board, 2006.
'Enrollment as of September 2006.
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## Natural Environment Issues

Environmental issues are important to San Luis Obispo County residents. Many respondents say the natural environment, including the weather, is an important reason for living in the County. In this section, we provide survey data on respondents' perceptions of environmental quality and environmental issues. We also provide objective data on topics such as growth, air and water quality.

In 2006, traffic congestion was the top concern of San Luis Obispo survey respondents, with nearly $83 \%$ saying they are "very" or "somewhat" concerned. Since 2001, San Luis Obispo County has seen a $10 \%$ increase in the total number of daily vehicle miles traveled. The jurisdictions with the greatest increases in the number of daily vehicle miles traveled were Arroyo Grande, up $69 \%$, and Atascadero, up 30\%.

In 2006, over 45\% of survey respondents felt that County roads are "fair" or "poor." Additionally, since 2001, the percent of survey respondents who rated the public transportation services as "good", "very good", or "excellent" decreased from $77 \%$ to $49 \%$ in 2006.

In 2006, seventy-three percent (73\%) of San Luis Obispo County respondents were concerned about building in open space. Between 1990 and 2004, all areas of the County have seen growth in the number of dwelling units. The three single areas with the greatest increases were Nipomo (73\%), Templeton (72\%), and Rural El Pomar (65\%). Between 1994 and 2004, 5,589 acres of San Luis Obispo County agricultural acres were converted to urban use, including 352 acres of prime farmland. However, the County has vast open space resources, including over 500,000 acres of nonagricultural open space.

Nearly $25 \%$ of the 2006 respondents who reported using County parks or recreation facilities in the past three months had visited them three to five times. In 2006, over 69\% of respondents indicated that the parks and recreation activities in San Luis Obispo are "excellent" or "very good." Residents consistently report satisfaction with the County's parks.

When asked about funding for acquiring open spaces and construction of recreational facilities, a majority of respondents in 2001 and 2003 agreed the County should spend more money on both. However, while the number of respondents who agreed remained consistent, in 2003, fewer respondents reported "strongly agree" with those statements than in 2001. Additionally, over $42 \%$ of respondents in 2006 felt that land use and zoning in San Luis Obispo County is "fair" or "poor."

Residents who were at least "somewhat" concerned about water quality decreased from $81 \%$ in 2001 to $75 \%$ in 2006. Most water districts reported a reduction in per capita water consumption from 2000 to 2005, with the largest decrease in Atascadero (11\%). While residential, commercial and agricultural electricity consumption remained fairly constant, the per account electricity consumption in the industrial sector decreased by 20\% from 2000 to 2005.

Over the past five years, San Luis Obispo County's survey respondents have been the least concerned about the County's air quality, compared to other issues. Since 2000, San Luis Obispo has had only 2 days in which the level of ozone exceeded state air quality standards (both in 2003). Also, the number of days in which level of particulate matter in the air exceeded state standards decreased from a high of 38 days in 2002 to 11 days in 2004.

## Natural Environment Concerns

E1

## How concerned are you about the following issues in your community?

## 욜 Building in Open Space

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $38.6 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $38.0 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $23.4 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Soss of Wildlife Habitat

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $39.0 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $38.6 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $22.5 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## 军 Water Quality

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $46.9 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $33.8 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $19.3 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## 绍 Air pollution

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $31.4 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $37.7 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $30.9 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 9 \%}$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

## Traffic congestion

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $38.0 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $38.3 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $23.7 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3} \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Growth Patterns

Urban vs. Rural Development

| Area | Dwelling <br> Units <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | Dwelling <br> Units <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | New <br> Dwelling <br> Units | 90-04 <br> \% Change <br> in Units |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North Coast |  |  |  |  |
| Cambria | 3,081 | 3,796 | 715 | $23.2 \%$ |
| Cayucos | 2,133 | 2,368 | 235 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Los Osos | 6,097 | 6,294 | 197 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 5,694 | 6,513 | 819 | $14.4 \%$ |
| Total North Coast | $\mathbf{1 7 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| North County |  |  |  |  |
| Atascadero | 8,875 | 10,505 | 1,630 | $18.4 \%$ |
| Heritage Ranch | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 7}$ | 1,353 | 306 | $29.2 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 7,599 | 10,640 | 3,041 | $40.0 \%$ |
| San Miguel | 451 | 610 | 159 | $35.3 \%$ |
| Santa Margarita | 488 | 534 | 46 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Templeton | 1,100 | 1,889 | 789 | $71.7 \%$ |
| Rural Adelaida | 650 | 935 | 285 | $43.8 \%$ |
| Rural El Pomar | 1,710 | 2,823 | 1,113 | $65.1 \%$ |
| Rural Las Pilitas | 709 | 817 | 108 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Rural Nacimiento | 761 | 849 | 88 | $11.6 \%$ |
| Rural Salinas River | 3,101 | 3,559 | 458 | $14.8 \%$ |
| Total North County | $\mathbf{2 6 , 4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| San Luis Obispo City | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 9 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| South County | 6,059 | 7,227 | 1,168 | $19.3 \%$ |
| Arroyo Grande | 4,941 | 5,589 | 648 | $13.1 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 2,386 | 4,125 | 1,739 | $72.9 \%$ |
| Nipomo | 2,433 | 2,745 | 312 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Oceano | 4,548 | 5,697 | 1,149 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | 2,510 | 3,255 | 745 | $29.7 \%$ |
| Rural South County | $\mathbf{2 2 , 8 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 \%}$ |
| Total South County |  |  |  |  |
| SO |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: San Luis Obispo Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report, 2005.

Traffic Volume (22 Monitored Segments)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak Hour Traffic Volume | 17,033 | 14,545 | 15,533 | 15,152 | 16,183 | 15,988 |
| Households | 92,739 | 93,894 | 95,600 | 97,306 | 98,500 | 100,494 |
| Peak Hour Traffic Volume <br> per Household | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Works, 2006. Household figures: State of California, Department of Finance, E5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State, 2001-2006, with 2000 Benchmark. Sacramento, California, May 2006.
Note: Measure of total peak hour traffic volume for 22 road segments in the county-maintained road network.

Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled by Jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 1 - 0 4}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 120,420 | 137,140 | 204,630 | 203,150 | $68.7 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 217,210 | 265,180 | 304,870 | 282,500 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 100,070 | 106,320 | 103,040 | 102,470 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 112,160 | 122,120 | 119,380 | 118,300 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 209,990 | 233,970 | 223,060 | 246,090 | $17.2 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | 60,880 | 66,660 | 67,540 | 66,560 | $9.3 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 418,670 | 462,780 | 460,700 | 454,930 | $8.7 \%$ |
| County (Unincorporated) | $1,127,460$ | $1,246,660$ | $1,177,260$ | $1,185,950$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| State Highway | $4,830,450$ | $4,955,860$ | $5,187,470$ | $5,263,320$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| State Park Service | 7,510 | 8,330 | 8,000 | 7,890 | $5.1 \%$ |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | NA | 9,420 | 8,040 | 7,640 | NA |
| U.S. Forest Service | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 9 0}$ | 1,530 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 0}$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo County Total | $\mathbf{7 , 2 0 6 , 1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 1 6 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 6 5 , 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 4 0 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Transportation (CalTrans), 2004 California Public Road Data, 2005.
Note: CalTrans calculates Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) by multiplying the length of each given road segment by its traffic volume in a day.

## 율 How would you rate SLO county in the following area: Roads

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Excellent | $2.0 \%$ |
| Very Good | $12.0 \%$ |
| Good | $39.1 \%$ |
| Fair | $27.0 \%$ |
| Poor | $18.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

## School Overcrowding

## Percentage of Students in Overcrowded Schools

| San Luis <br> Obispo <br> County | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $76.9 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, 2003.
New data not available

Enrollment vs. Capacity 2005-2006, by School

| District | School | Capacity | Enrollment | Enrollment as percentage of capacity | RLOS ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cayucos Elementary | Cayucos Elementary | 240 | 198 | 83\% | OK |
| Shandon Unified | Shandon Elementary | 174 | 244 | 140\% | III |
|  | Shandon Jr/Sr H.S. | 124 | 131 | 106\% | III |
| Coast Unified | Cambria Elementary | 360 | 342 | 95\% | 11 |
|  | Santa Lucia Middle | 103 | 179 | 174\% | III |
|  | Coast Union H.S. | 506 | 342 | 68\% | OK |
| San Miguel Joint Union | K-5 and K-8 | 370 | 472 | 128\% | III |
| Paso Robles | Paso Robles Elementary (6) | 3,543 | 2,762 | 80\% | OK |
|  | Paso Robles Middle (2) | 1,170 | 1,458 | 125\% | III |
|  | Paso Robles H.S. | 1,836 | 2,236 | 122\% | III |
| Templeton Unified | Templeton Elementary (2) | 955 | 858 | 90\% | II |
|  | Templeton Middle | 545 | 544 | 100\% | III |
|  | Templeton H.S. | 720 | 789 | 110\% | III |
| Atascadero Unified | Atascadero Elementary (4) | 1,708 | 1,926 | 113\% | III |
|  | Atascadero Jr. High | 1,086 | 712 | 66\% | OK |
|  | Atascadero H.S. | 1,824 | 1,692 | 93\% | II |
|  | Carrisa Plains K-8 | 53 | 49 | 92\% | 1 |
|  | Creston Elementary | 40 | 98 | 245\% | III |
|  | Santa Margarita Elementary | 358 | 293 | 82\% | OK |

Enrollment vs. Capacity 2005-2006, by School (continued)

| San Luis Coastal <br> Unified | Los Osos Elementary (2) | 950 | 751 | $79 \%$ | OK |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Los Osos Middle | 800 | 488 | $61 \%$ | OK |
|  | Morro Bay H.S. | 1,200 | 956 | $80 \%$ | OK |
|  | Morro Bay Elementary | 500 | 427 | $85 \%$ | OK |
|  | SLO Area Elementary (7) | 2,946 | 2,317 | $79 \%$ | OK |
|  | Laguna Middle | 850 | 755 | $89 \%$ | I |
|  | San Luis H.S. | 1,550 | 1,567 | $101 \%$ | III |
| Bellevue-Santa Fe | K-8 (Charter) | 170 | 146 | $86 \%$ | OK |
| Lucia Mar Unified | Five Cities Elementary (8) | 3,541 | 3,838 | $108 \%$ | III |
|  | $5-C i t i e s ~ M i d d l e ~(2) ~$ | 1,150 | 1,191 | $104 \%$ | III |
|  | Arroyo Grande H.S. | 1,500 | 2,220 | $148 \%$ | IIII |
|  | Nipomo Elementary (2) | 650 | 1,339 | $128 \%$ | III |
|  | Nipomo Middle | 1,025 | 1,262 | $123 \%$ | IIII |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report, 2005.
'RLOS stands for "Recommended Level of Severity." Levels include:
Level I: When enrollment projections reach school capacity within 7 years
Level II: When enrollment projections reach school capacity within 5 years
Level III: When enrollment equals or exceeds school capacity
OK: when a school is not at its capacity, nor do enrollment projections reach school capacity within 7 years

## Agricultural and Open Space

## Harvested, Pasture, and Total Acreage

| Acreage <br> (in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Harvested Acreage | 107.6 | 111.8 | 111.3 | 111.7 | 103.1 | 113.2 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Pasture Acreage | $1,066.5$ | $1,067.7$ | $1,062.5$ | $1,065.0$ | $1,048.9$ | $1,051.3$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo Department of Agriculture/Weights and Measures, Annual Report, 2001-2005.

## San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Land by Category

| Type of Land | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 2 - 0 4}$ <br> Net <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Important <br> Farmland: | 359,500 | 357,602 | 357,772 | 355,543 | 279,061 | 276,366 | $-2,695$ |
| - Prime Farmland | 39,978 | 40,208 | 39,895 | 41,391 | 41,294 | 40,508 | $-\mathbf{- 7 8 6}$ |
| - Statewide <br> Importance | 11,940 | 11,658 | 13,912 | 17,262 | 19,357 | 19,750 | 393 |
| - Unique | 26,803 | 26,749 | 30,098 | 34,979 | 38,613 | 35,697 | $-2,916$ |
| - Local Importance | 280,779 | 278,987 | 273,867 | 261,911 | 179,797 | 180,411 | 614 |
| Grazing Land | 622,835 | 662,367 | 661,939 | 661,737 | 749,786 | 750,810 | 1,024 |
| Total Acres of <br> Agricultural Land | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 2 , 3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 9 , 9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 9 , 7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 7 , 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 8 , 8 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 7 , 1 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 , 6 7 1}$ |
| - Percent <br> Agricultural Land | $48.1 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | -0.1 |
| Total Acres of <br> Urban and Built <br> up Land | $\mathbf{3 5 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 0 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3}$ |
| - Percent Urban <br> and Built up Land | $1.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.1 |

Source: California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection, Farmland Conversion Reports, 2006.
Note: Reports are published biennially (every two years), and are available two years after the data they address. County acreage used to calculate percents is that used by San Luis Obispo Department of Planning: 2,126,339.
${ }^{1}$ 'Net change is calculated from 2002 to 2004 because acreage data changed in 2002 due to the incorporation of digital soil survey data (SSURGO).

## Agricultural Land Converted to Urban Use in Acres

| Type of Land | $1994-$ <br> 1996 | $1996-$ <br> 1998 | $1998-$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 94-04 Net <br> Conversion |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Prime Farmland | 65 | 1 | 94 | 161 | 31 | 352 |
| Statewide Importance | 64 | 0 | 64 | 80 | 13 | 221 |
| Unique | 17 | 26 | 40 | 43 | 53 | 179 |
| Local Importance | 604 | 74 | 1,366 | 632 | 383 | 3,059 |
| Grazing Land | 258 | 0 | 862 | 471 | 187 | 1,778 |
| Total Agricultural <br> Acres Converted | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 8}$ | 101 | $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 7}$ | 667 | 5,589 |

Source: California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection, Farmand Conversion Reports, 2006.

## County-wide Open Space Inventory, 2006

| Open Space Resources | Acres | Preserved Lands Acreage | Temporarily Preserved Lands Acreage | County Lands Not in Preserve Acreage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Lands - Federal |  |  |  |  |
| US Fish and Wildlife Service | 2,649 | 2,649 |  |  |
| US Forest Service | 1,995 | 1,995 |  |  |
| US Bureau of Land Management | 48,211 | 48,211 |  |  |
| US Military | 24,608 | NA | 24,608 |  |
| Other Federal Lands/Lands zoned "OS" | 366,132 | 190,110 | 176,022 |  |
| Public Lands - State |  |  |  |  |
| Parks and Beaches | 5,261 | 5,261 |  |  |
| Other State Lands/Lands zoned "OS" | 67,513 | 2,434 | 65,079 |  |
| Public Lands - County |  |  |  |  |
| County Lands/Lands zoned "OS" | 10,380 | 1,316 | 9,064 |  |
| Zoning |  |  |  |  |
| Open Space/Balance not accounted for in Public Lands | 211,788 | 17,928 |  |  |
| Agriculture | 1,389,857 | NA |  |  |
| Lands Protected by Contract/Easement |  |  |  |  |
| AG Contract - Williamson Act | 781,061 |  | 781,061 |  |
| Open Space Easement | 96,789 | 96,789 |  |  |
| Conservation Easement | 2,267 | 2,267 |  |  |
| Scenic | 36 | 36 |  |  |
| Total County Acreage | 2,126,239 |  |  |  |
| Total by Category |  | 368,996 | 1,055,834 | 701,409 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, 2006.

욜 How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area: Land Use and Zoning

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Excellent | $1.4 \%$ |
| Very Good | $9.7 \%$ |
| Good | $31.5 \%$ |
| Fair | $30.8 \%$ |
| Poor | $11.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Parks

结 In the past 3 months, how many times have you visited any outdoor recreation facility in San Luis Obispo County such as a park, trail, or beach?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | $21.8 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| 1 to 2 | $15.4 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| 3 to 5 | $22.3 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 | $14.7 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| 11 to 25 | $13.4 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| 26 to 50 | $8.0 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| More than 50 | $4.5 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area: Parks and Recreation Opportunities

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $25.3 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ |
| Very Good | $40.6 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| Good | $26.2 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| Poor | $1.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Funding for Outdoor Activities

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

욮ㅇ "The county should spend more money to: Buy and preserve open spaces"

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly agree | $30.1 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| Agree | $26.8 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ |
| Neutral | $24.9 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| Disagree | $12.3 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $5.8 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

国 "The county should spend more money to: Construct public recreation facilities"

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly agree | $22.3 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| Agree | $39.7 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ |
| Neutral | $26.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| Disagree | $8.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $3.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."
New data not available

## E7 <br> Public Transportation

面 How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area: Public Transportation

## Services

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $14.0 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Very good | $30.1 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| Good | $32.4 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ |
| Fair | $12.3 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| Poor | $11.1 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 592 | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | 502 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Air Quality

Summary: Total Days Exceeding State Air Quality Standards

| Pollutant | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ozone | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Particulate <br> Matter (PM10) | 26 | 17 | 10 | 27 | 32 | 38 | 12 | 11 |

Number of Days Exceeding Safe Levels of Ozone

| Location | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Morro Bay | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grover Beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nipomo | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Paso Robles | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Number of Days Exceeding Safe Levels of Particulate Matter

| Location | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Nipomo | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Paso Robles | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ralcoa Way | 16 | 12 | 5 | 16 | 17 | 26 | NA | NA |
| Morro Bay | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Guadalupe Road <br> (Nipomo) | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report 2005.
Note: The table shows the number of days when monitoring stations found air quality exceeded the State Ambient Air Quality Standards(SAAQS), not federal standards. Ozone monitoring takes place every day, while particulate matter is tested every sixth day. County-wide totals are the number of days a standard was exceeded at any monitoring station. Because a standard can be exceeded at more than one station on a given day, the county-wide total can be less than the sum of the individual monitoring stations. The Nipomo South Wilson Monitoring station closed in 1997 and reopened nearby at the Regional Park (Teftt and Pomeroy) site in 1998.

Particulate Matter (PM10) refers to the mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets found in the air that are less than 10 microns in size. Studies have linked high levels of particulate matter to aggravated asthma and acute respiratory symptoms, chronic bronchitis, decreased lung function, and premature death. In San Luis Obispo County, the single largest source of PM 10 is dust from vehicles driving on unpaved roads. Other sources can be grinding operations, fuel combustion, agricultural burning, and wood stoves.

## Water Supply

## Per Capita Water Consumption (in gallons per day)

| Water District | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero | 212 | 209 | 213 | 205 | 206 | 188 | $-11.3 \%$ |
| Cambria | 114 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | - |
| Morro Bay | 103 | 107 | 108 | 108 | 105 | 106 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Oceano | 121 | 103 | 108 | 109 | 113 | 112 | $-12.9 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 251 | 238 | 254 | 247 | 242 | 236 | $-6.0 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | NA | 199 | 201 | 205 | 207 | 202 | - |
| City of San Luis Obispo | 124 | 119 | 121 | 120 | 126 | 122 | $-1.6 \%$ |

Source: Atascadero Mutual Water Company; Cambria Community Services District; City of Morro Bay, Water Services; Oceano Community Services District, City of Paso Robles, Water Division; City of Pismo Beach; City of San Luis Obispo, Uitilities Department, 2006.

Community Water Supply and Distribution, 2005

| Community | RLOS $^{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Supply | System |  |
| Avila Beach | None | None |  |
| Cambria | III | III | Seasonal supply shortage, storage capacity |
| Cayucos | II | II | LOS II for supply certified by Board of Supervisors <br> LOS II for system based on CSA\#10A allocation |
| Garden Farms | None | III | Inadequate pumping capacity during drought |
| Heritage Ranch | None | None |  |
| Los Ranchos/Edna | III | None | Possible basin overdraft (SLO Creek) |
| Nipomo | III | None |  |
| Oceano | None | None | LOS II certified by Board of Supervisors |
| San Miguel | None | II | Demand is approaching pumping capacity |
| San Simeon | III | III | CSD moratorium; supply uncertainty during drought |
| Santa Margarita | III | III |  |
| Shandon | None | None | Supply uncertainty; backup deficiency |
| Los Osos | III | III |  |
| Templeton | None | III |  |

[^8]
## Water Quality <br> Environmental Health Violation Compliance Rate: Bacteriological Water Quality Compliance Program Summary

## Routine Samples from State Small Water Systems ${ }^{1}$ and Small Public Water Systems ${ }^{2}$

| Fiscal Year Activity Dates | Number of Violations | Number of Regular Inspection Visits or Samples | Items Checked at Each Inspection | Inspection Visits Times Items Checked | Violation Rate | Compliance Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 2000- \\ & 6 / 30 / 2001 \end{aligned}$ | 96 | 1,963 | 1 | 1,963 | 4.9\% | 95.1\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 1 / 2001- \\ & 6 / 30 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | 87 | 1,800 | 1 | 1,800 | 4.8\% | 95.2\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 2002- \\ & 6 / 30 / 2003 \end{aligned}$ | 89 | 1,843 | 1 | 1,843 | 4.8\% | 95.2\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 1 / 2003- \\ & 6 / 30 / 2004 \end{aligned}$ | 88 | 1,858 | 1 | 1,858 | 4.7\% | 95.3\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 2004- \\ & 6 / 30 / 2005 \end{aligned}$ | 86 | 1,824 | 1 | 1,824 | 4.7\% | 95.3\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 1 / 2005- \\ & 6 / 30 / 2006 \end{aligned}$ | 75 | 1,767 | 1 | 1,767 | 4.2\% | 95.8\% |
| Totals | 521 |  |  | 11,055 | 4.7\% | 95.3\% |

Source: California Department of Public Health, San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Health, Environmental Health Division, 2007.
'5-14 Service Connections.
${ }^{2} 15-199$ Service Connections, Non-community, and Non-transient-Non-community (NTNC) Systems.

Routine Samples from State Large Water Systems

| Fiscal Year <br> Activity Dates | Number of <br> Violations | Total Samples <br> collected | Items Checked <br> at Each <br> Inspection | Inspection <br> Visits Times <br> Items Checked | Violation <br> Rate | Compliance <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7 / 1 / 2000-$ <br> $6 / 30 / 2001$ | 11 | 5,397 | 1 | 5,397 | $0.2 \%$ | $99.8 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2001-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 30 / 2002$ | 8 | 5,398 | 1 | 5,398 | $0.1 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2002-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 30 / 2003$ | 3 | 5,400 | 1 | 5,400 | $0.1 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2003-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 30 / 2004$ | 5 | 5,400 | 1 | 5,400 | $0.1 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2004-$ <br> $6 / 30 / 2005$ | 9 | 5,400 | 1 | 5,400 | $0.2 \%$ | $99.8 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2005-$ <br> $6 / 30 / 2006$ | 15 | 5,400 | 1 | 5,400 | $0.3 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 2 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Public Health, San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Health, Environmental Heath Division, 2007.

## F11 Energy Use

Per Capita Electricity Consumption, Residential (in kilowatt hours)

| Sector | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential | 2,452 | 2,487 | 2,130 | 2,437 | 2,463 | 2,462 | $0.4 \%$ |

Source: California Energy Commission, Electricity Resources Data Group, 2006.

Per Account Electricity Consumption, by Sector (in kilowatt hours)

| Sector | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial | 53,629 | 53,933 | 51,897 | 53,777 | 55,774 | 56,504 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Industrial | 138,122 | 126,059 | 128,796 | 109,519 | 114,169 | 111,220 | $-19.5 \%$ |
| Agricultural | 38,548 | 37,546 | 38,885 | 36,581 | 37,130 | 36,052 | $-6.5 \%$ |

Source: California Energy Commission, Electricity Resources Data Group, 2006.
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## Public Safety Issues

The ACTION for Healthy Communities
Comprehensive Report explores issues related to public safety in order to provide a snapshot of the perceptions, as well as the realities, of public safety issues in San Luis Obispo County.

Whether or not residents of San Luis Obispo County feel safe in their neighborhood indicates an important measure of the overall quality of life in the County. When survey respondents were asked how safe they felt in their neighborhood in 2006, 80\% reported feeling "very safe". These percentages are higher than 1999 figures, but lower than 2003 figures. Nineteen percent reported feeling "somewhat safe." Less than $1.0 \%$ of respondents felt "not at all safe."

From 2000 to 2005, the overall crime rate has increased from 14.0 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 to 15.1 per 1,000 residents in 2005 . The violent crime rate in the County increased from 2.8 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 to 2.9 crimes per 1,000 in 2005. The highest increases were in homicide and robbery. Property crimes have also increased during the same time period, with 11.2 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 to 12.1 per 1,000 residents in 2005. The highest increase was in auto theft.

In Arroyo Grande, aggravated assault increased from 10 crimes in 2000 to 34 crimes in 2005. Auto theft also increased from 15 crimes in 2000 to 29 crimes in 2005. In Atascadero, rape increased from 5 crimes in 2000 to 12 crimes in 2005, while arson decreased from 12 crimes in 2000 to 2 crimes in 2005. Overall, Atascadero's total crime rate decreased from 29.6 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 to 26.1 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2005. In Morro Bay, aggravated assault decreased from 48 crimes in 2000 to 15 crimes in 2005 . The total crime rate decreased from 21.8 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 to 18.6 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2005.

Paso Robles saw an increase in reported rapes, from 1 reported rape in 2000 to 14 rapes in 2005. Larceny, however, decreased from 617 crimes to 388 crimes during the same time period. Pismo

Beach saw an increase in aggravated assault, from 14 crimes in 2000 to 25 crimes in 2005. The total crime rate of Pismo Beach increased from 47.2 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 and reached a high of 58.7 in 2004. In 2005, the crime rate dropped to 47.7 crimes per 1,000 residents.

The City of San Luis Obispo's overall crime rate decreased from 49.1 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2000 to 44.8 crimes per 1,000 residents in 2005. The city also saw a decrease in arson, from 89 crimes in 2000 to 38 crimes in 2005 . However, the number of reported rapes increased $35 \%$ during the same time period, from 17 in 2000 to 23 in 2005.

Hate crimes in the County decreased, from 12 offenses in 2001 to 10 offenses in 2005. Domestic Violence calls, which are an indicator of a serious public safety issue in San Luis Obispo County, have increased $14 \%$ from 2000 to 2004. Child abuse referrals have also increased 10\% from 2000 to 2005. Many types of referrals of child abuse have decreased - sexual abuse, physical abuse, and severe neglect referrals have all decreased over $47 \%$ from 2000 to 2005 . However, substantiated risk referrals have increased, from 35 referrals in 2000 to 260 referrals in 2005.

In San Luis Obispo County, adult misdemeanor DUI arrests have increased 3.6\% from 2000 to 2005, while California arrests have decreased 1\%. In San Luis Obispo County, felony DUI arrests have increased $48 \%$ from 2000 to 2005, while California arrests have increased $10 \%$. Juvenile misdemeanor DUI arrests in the County have increased $20 \%$ from 2000 to 2005, while California arrests have decreased $5 \%$ during the same time period. Juvenile misdemeanor and felony arrests have decreased from 2000 to 2004 ( $32 \%$ and $12 \%$ respectively).

The number of senior drivers in the County has increased 12\% from 38,566 drivers in 2000 to 43,247 drivers in 2005. This is slightly lower than the California increase of $13 \%$ during the same time period.

## Neighborhood Safety

F1

How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $\mathbf{7 3 . 6 \%}$ | $84.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 8 \%}$ |
| Somewhat safe | $24.2 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $2.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Responses, by Area of Residence, North County

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $76.6 \%$ | $81.7 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | $22.9 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $0.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
'Total respondents not available for 1999, 2001 and 2003 survey.

## 国 Responses, by Area of Residence, North Coast

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $83.2 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | $15.9 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $0.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ Total respondents not available for 1999, 2001 and 2003 survey.

## 国 Responses, by Area of Residence, San Luis Obispo City

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $61.1 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | $32.4 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $6.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey. 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ Total respondents not available for 1999, 2001 and 2003 survey.

缁 Responses, by Area of Residence, South County

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $\mathbf{7 1 . 6 \%}$ | $87.6 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | $26.4 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $2.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
${ }^{1}$ Total respondents not available for 1999, 2001 and 2003 survey.

## School Safety

Percent of Children that Feel Safe at School, San Luis Obispo County

| Response | 2003-2004 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{7}^{\mathbf{t h}^{\text {n }}}$ | $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ |
| Very Safe | $22.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0} \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Safe | $42.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
| Neither Safe nor Unsafe | $30.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ |
| Unsafe | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Very Unsafe | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2003 and 2005.

## Public Safety Concerns

F3

国 How concerned are you about the following issues in your community?

国 Crime

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $35.5 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $46.0 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $18.5 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5} \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

Gangs and Youth Violence

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $33.1 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $34.1 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $32.8 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

요표 How would you rate our County in the area of public safety? (Includes the Sheriff, District Attorney, Probation, and Fire Departments)

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Excellent | $17.7 \%$ |
| Very Good | $38.0 \%$ |
| Good | $28.7 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.3 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.2 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## F4 <br> Disaster Preparedness

Disaster Preparedness - Have you prepared for a disaster in the following ways? (Respondents answering "Yes")

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Stored three days worth of emergency supplies | $62.1 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ |
| Talked about where you would meet and how you would communicate | $44.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## 冝 Disaster Preparedness - People Needing Special Assistance, 2006

Some people would need special assistance if they needed to evacuate their homes, possibly because they do not have a vehicle, or are disabled.

| Response | Total <br> Respondents | Percent <br> Answering <br> "Yes" |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Is there anyone in your household who would need special assistance <br> to evacuate in case of an emergency? | 502 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Has that person made arrangements for someone to help evacuate in <br> an emergency? | 43 | $43.2 \%$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Hate Crimes <br> F5

Number of Hate Crime Offenses by Jurisdiction, County and State

| Location | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 02-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero | NA | NA | 3 | 2 | NA |
| Arroyo Grande | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Cal Poly San Luis Obispo | 1 | 2 | NA | NA | NA |
| Cuesta College | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Grover Beach | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | $-75.0 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 1 | 2 | NA | NA | NA |
| Paso Robles | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA |
| Pismo Beach | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA |
| San Luis Obispo City | 5 | 8 | 11 | 6 | $20.0 \%$ |
| County Total | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 10 | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| California | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Hate Crime in California, 2005.

## Crime Rate for Selected Areas

F6

## Definition of Crimes

Homicide: the willful (non-negligent) killing of one human being by another. Murder and non-negligent manslaughter are included in this definition.

Rape: the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will.

Robbery: the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the custody, care, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force or violence and/or by creating fear in the victim.

Aggravated Assault: an unlawful attack or attempted attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type is usually accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm.

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Profile, 2005.

Burglary: the unlawful entry of a structure to commit a felony or a theft.

Motor Vehicle Theft: the theft or attempted theft of a motor vehicle.

Larceny: the unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession of another (except embezzlement, fraud, forgery, and worthless checks).

Arson: any willful or malicious burning or attempt to burn, with or without intent to defraud, a dwelling house, public building, motor vehicle or aircraft, personal property of another, etc.

San Luis Obispo County-Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 3 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Rape | 69 | 102 | 95 | 79 | 97 | 76 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Robbery | 70 | 67 | 78 | 61 | 81 | 90 | $28.6 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 555 | 567 | 523 | 491 | 597 | 590 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. Califionia Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

## San Luis Obispo County-Property Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 1,351 | 1,371 | 1,493 | 1,642 | 1,582 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 9}$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Larceny | 1,001 | 1,036 | 1,142 | 1,187 | 1,240 | 1,180 | $17.9 \%$ |
| Auto Theft | 402 | 428 | 498 | 494 | 483 | 524 | $30.3 \%$ |
| Arson | 124 | 134 | 68 | 90 | 80 | 62 | $-50.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2 \%}$ |
| Total Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for San Luis Obispo County

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 3,451 | 3,578 | 3,832 | 3,961 | 4,082 | 3,933 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Population | 246,681 | 250,142 | 253,344 | 255,559 | 258,421 | 261,310 | $5.9 \%$ |
| County Crime <br> Rate (per 1,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
'The crime rate is based on the FBI CRIME INDEX, a group of offenses chosen to serve as an index for gauging fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime.
These offenses, chosen because of their seriousness and likelihood of being reported to the police by the public, are wilful homicide, forcible rape, robbery,
aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and arson.

## ARROYO GRANDE

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00005 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - |
| Rape | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | $-25.0 \%$ |
| Robbery | 3 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 10 | 11 | 14 | 21 | 20 | 34 | $240.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. Califormia Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 Califionia County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Property Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 0 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 73 | 54 | 63 | 79 | 79 | 86 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 \%}$ |
| Larceny | 299 | 265 | 302 | 278 | 310 | 300 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 15 | 7 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 29 | $93.3 \%$ |
| Arson | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 4}$ | 416 | $\mathbf{7 . 2 \%}$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Arroyo Grande

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 0 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 405 | 355 | 413 | 409 | 445 | 459 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Population | 15,851 | 16,009 | 16,282 | 16,478 | 16,597 | 16,577 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> $(\text { per } \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0})^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ The crime rate is based on the FBI CRIME INDEX, a group of offenses chosen to serve as an index for gauging fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime.
These offenses, chosen because of their seriousness and likelihood of being reported to the police by the public, are willful homicice, forcible rape, robbery,
aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and arson.

## ATASCADERO

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rape | 5 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 12 | $140.0 \%$ |
| Robbery | 7 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 10 | $42.9 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 122 | 82 | 61 | 53 | 92 | 91 | $-25.4 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 7 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Property Crime

| Crime | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 193 | 140 | 185 | 182 | 150 | 118 | $-38.9 \%$ |
| Larceny | 404 | 493 | 592 | 602 | 502 | 431 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 40 | 57 | 56 | 62 | 44 | 58 | $45.0 \%$ |
| Arson | 12 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 2 | $-83.3 \%$ |
| Total Crime | 649 | $\mathbf{7 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 2}$ | 856 | $\mathbf{7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 9}$ | $-6.2 \%$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 24.6 | 26.4 | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 3}$ | 25.3 | 22.0 | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Atascadero

| Response | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 783 | 800 | 931 | 922 | 811 | 722 | $-7.8 \%$ |
| Population | 26,411 | 26,708 | 26,973 | 27,312 | 27,715 | 27,658 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 29.6 | 30.0 | 34.5 | 33.8 | 29.3 | 26.1 | - |
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## GROVER BEACH

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00005 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rape | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | $-50.0 \%$ |
| Robbery | 4 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 8 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 20 | 34 | 30 | 28 | 20 | 26 | $30.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. Califormia Department of Finance, Demoorraphic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Property Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0} \mathbf{0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 68 | 57 | 63 | 66 | 90 | 66 | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Larceny | 206 | 212 | 235 | 249 | 274 | 188 | $-8.7 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 20 | 26 | 27 | 19 | 46 | 32 | $60.0 \%$ |
| Arson | 1 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | $-100.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | 295 | $\mathbf{3 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 1 \%}$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{2 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Grover Beach

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 323 | 350 | 368 | 372 | 444 | 322 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Population | 13,067 | 13,140 | 13,121 | 13,055 | 13,264 | 13,259 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> $(\text { per } \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0})^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ The crime rate is based on the FBI CRIME INDEX, a group of offenses chosen to serve as an index for gauging fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime. These offenses, chosen because of their seriousness and likelihood of being reported to the police by the public, are willful homicice, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and arson.

## MORRO BAY

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rape | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | $-66.7 \%$ |
| Robbery | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 48 | 42 | 20 | 22 | 15 | 15 | $-68.8 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 8 . 6 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 4.9 | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

## Property Crime

| Crime | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 38 | 30 | 53 | 54 | 39 | 32 | $-15.8 \%$ |
| Larceny | 121 | 163 | 132 | 150 | 139 | 132 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 13 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 13 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Arson | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | 175 | 202 | 197 | 211 | 187 | 180 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000$)$ | 16.9 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 20.1 | 17.8 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1}$ | - |

Source: Califionnia Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Morro Bay

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 226 | 248 | 221 | 237 | 206 | 196 | $-13.3 \%$ |
| Population | 10,350 | 10,420 | 10,502 | 10,490 | 10,531 | 10,535 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> $(\text { per } \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0})^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ The crime rate is based on the FBI CRIME INDEX, a group of offenses chosen to serve as an index for gauging fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime. These offenses, chosen because of their seriousness and likelihood of being reported to the police by the public, are willful homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and arson.

## PASO ROBLES

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | $-100.0 \%$ |
| Rape | 1 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 14 | $1,300.0 \%$ |
| Robbery | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 11 | $10.0 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 46 | 49 | 93 | 92 | 99 | 85 | $84.8 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 7 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. Califormia Department of Finance, Demoorraphic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Property Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0} \mathbf{0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 154 | 183 | 321 | 376 | 250 | 194 | $26.0 \%$ |
| Larceny | 617 | 514 | 483 | 483 | 364 | 388 | $-37.1 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 48 | 55 | 58 | 74 | 55 | 85 | $77.1 \%$ |
| Arson | 3 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 6 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{8 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 . 1 \%}$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Paso Robles

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0}-\mathbf{0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 880 | 825 | 985 | 972 | 801 | 783 | $-11.0 \%$ |
| Population | 24,297 | 25,015 | 25,790 | 26,782 | 27,239 | 28,030 | $15.4 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 9}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Staistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
${ }^{1}$ The crime rate is based on the FBI CRIME INDEX, a group of offenses chosen to serve as an index for gauging fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime. These offenses, chosen because of their seriousness and likelihood of being reported to the police by the public, are wilful homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-thett, and arson.

## PISMO BEACH

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rape | 0 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 3 | - |
| Robbery | 9 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 5 | $-44.4 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 14 | 22 | 43 | 17 | 33 | 25 | $78.6 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 5 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

## Property Crime

| Crime | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 62 | 67 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 90 | $45.2 \%$ |
| Larceny | 297 | 246 | 278 | 332 | 370 | 272 | $-8.4 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 19 | 12 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 17 | $-10.5 \%$ |
| Arson | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | $-66.7 \%$ |
| Total Crime | 381 | 326 | 373 | 427 | 467 | 380 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 44.6 | 38.0 | 43.1 | 49.2 | 53.5 | 43.9 | - |

Source: Califiomia Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Pismo Beach

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 404 | 357 | 429 | 456 | 512 | 413 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Population | 8,551 | 8,581 | 8,664 | 8,685 | 8,724 | 8,662 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 47.2 | 41.6 | 49.5 | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 7}$ | - |
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## SAN LUIS OBISPO CITY

## Violent Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00005 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Rape | 17 | 23 | 32 | 20 | 25 | 23 | $35.3 \%$ |
| Robbery | 25 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 29 | 35 | $40.0 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 92 | 129 | 109 | 99 | 128 | 110 | $19.6 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9 \%}$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. Califormia Department of Finance, Demoorraphic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Property Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0} \mathbf{0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 342 | 393 | 318 | 344 | 399 | 361 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Larceny | 1,480 | 1,336 | 1,489 | 1,469 | 1,442 | 1,335 | $-9.8 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 126 | 132 | 126 | 131 | 131 | 97 | $-23.0 \%$ |
| Arson | 89 | 85 | 35 | 41 | 42 | 38 | $-57.3 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 1 \%}$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for San Luis Obispo City

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0}-\mathbf{0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 2,171 | 2,115 | 2,128 | 2,124 | 2,197 | 2,001 | $-7.8 \%$ |
| Population | 44,179 | 44,313 | 44,396 | 44,245 | 44,213 | 44,619 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 49.1 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 7}$ | 47.9 | 48.0 | 49.7 | 44.8 | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Staistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.
${ }^{\prime}$ 'The crime rate is based on the FBI CRIME INDEX, a group of offenses chosen to serve as an index for gauging fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime. These offenses, chosen because of their seriousness and likelihood of being reported to the police by the public, are wilful homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-thett, and arson.

## UNINCORPORATED

## Violent Crime

| Crime | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Rape | 35 | 43 | 25 | 20 | 27 | 16 | $-54.3 \%$ |
| Robbery | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 18 | 15 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Aggravated <br> Assault | 170 | 173 | 119 | 125 | 152 | 177 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Total Crime | 219 | 228 | 156 | 156 | 197 | 210 | $-4.1 \%$ |
| Total Violent <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

## Property Crime

| Crime | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 379 | 405 | 377 | 438 | 488 | 507 | $33.8 \%$ |
| Larceny | 881 | 895 | 990 | 910 | 1,052 | 1,045 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Auto theft | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 6 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Arson | 11 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 11 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Crime | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 6}$ | 1,314 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0 \%}$ |
| Total <br> Property <br> Crime Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 12.6 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ | - |

Source: Califiomia Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Combined Total Crime Rate for Unincorporated San Luis Obispo County

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Crimes | 1,495 | 1,542 | 1,533 | 1,524 | 1,762 | 1,779 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 \%}$ |
| Population | 103,975 | 105,956 | 107,616 | 108,512 | 110,138 | 111,970 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Crime Rate <br> $($ per 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Domestic Violence Concerns

F7

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community?

## 国 Family Violence

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $33.6 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat <br> concerned | $35.0 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $31.4 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Heathy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Senior Abuse

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $34.9 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat <br> concerned | $32.1 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 7 \%}$ | $38.2 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $33.0 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Domestic Violence Calls

## Number of Calls, San Luis Obispo County

| Jurisdiction | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 00-04 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 26 | 55 | 59 | 102 | 57 | 119.2\% |
| Atascadero | 100 | 157 | 152 | 155 | 152 | 52.0\% |
| Grover Beach | 51 | 66 | 54 | 45 | 38 | -25.5\% |
| Morro Bay | 36 | 36 | 36 | 33 | 36 | 0.0\% |
| Paso Robles | 133 | 190 | 209 | 176 | 161 | 21.1\% |
| Pismo Beach | 27 | 28 | 60 | 50 | 54 | 100.0\% |
| San Luis Obispo | 98 | 106 | 87 | 84 | 107 | 9.2\% |
| Unincorporated Areas | 197 | 241 | 191 | 204 | 152 | -22.8\% |
| Other Jurisdictions | 1 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 600.0\% |
| San Luis Obispo County Total | 671 | 887 | 852 | 857 | 764 | 13.9\% |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Domestic Violence-Related Calls for Assistance, 2000-2004.

## Number of Cases with Weapons, San Luis Obispo County

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 26 | 55 | 34 | 21 | 19 | $-26.9 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 79 | 105 | 96 | 99 | 119 | $50.6 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 51 | 66 | 54 | 45 | 26 | $-49.0 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 36 | 31 | 26 | 27 | 27 | $-25.0 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 85 | 132 | 79 | 77 | 84 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | 27 | 26 | 39 | 40 | 41 | $51.9 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 32 | 88 | 66 | 50 | 56 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Unincorporated <br> Areas | 29 | 37 | 22 | 19 | 16 | $-44.8 \%$ |
| Other <br> Jurisdictions | 3 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | $66.7 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo <br> County Total | $\mathbf{3 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: Califormia Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Domestic Violence-Related Calls for Assistance, 2000-2004.

## Domestic Violence Shelters
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## Shelter Occupants, by Age

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Women | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |
| Percent aged 18-29 | $43.5 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ |
| Percent aged 30-50 | $55.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ |
| Percent aged 50+ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Number of Children | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| Percent Children | $64 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| Total Shelter Occupants | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ |

Source: North County Women's Shelter and Resource Center, 2006.

## Shelter Occupants, by Family Status

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent Married with children | $42.0 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ |
| Percent Single with children | $48.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Percent Single - no children | $4.0 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Percent Married - no children | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Source: North County Women's Shelter and Resource Center, 2006.

## Shelter Occupants, by Income Source

| Response | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent Private Income <br> Source | $18.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Percent Public Assistance <br> Income | $61.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| Percent No Income Source | $21.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |

Source: North County Women's Shelter and Resource Center, 2006.

## Shelter Occupants, by Ethnicity

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent Caucasian | $48.0 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ |
| Percent Hispanic | $41.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ |
| Percent Native American | $5.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Percent African American | $3.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Percent Other | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

## Prevention and Outreach

## Number of Services Provided, North County Women's Shelter and Resource Center Prevention and Outreach

| Service | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Low-cost Counseling | 327 | 319 | 290 |
| Teens attending Domestic <br> Violence Presentations | 1,396 | 752 | 768 |
| School-based Counseling | 520 | 504 | 572 |
| Restraining Orders | 250 | 281 | 233 |

[^12]
## Emergency Response Child Abuse Referrals
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Substantiated Cases of Child Abuse by Type of Abuse, San Luis Obispo County

| Type of Abuse | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sexual Abuse | 63 | 71 | 56 | 81 | 39 | 31 | $-50.8 \%$ |
| Physical Abuse | 75 | 108 | 83 | 88 | 65 | 40 | $-46.7 \%$ |
| Severe Neglect | 28 | 33 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 12 | $-57.1 \%$ |
| General Neglect | 391 | 478 | 638 | 626 | 481 | 374 | $-4.3 \%$ |
| Exploitation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Emotional Abuse | 106 | 159 | 214 | 218 | 144 | 125 | $17.9 \%$ |
| Caretaker Absence/Incapacity | 78 | 56 | 60 | 44 | 52 | 54 | $-30.8 \%$ |
| At Risk, Sibling Abused | 40 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 1 | NA |
| Substantial Risk | 35 | 235 | 181 | 317 | 458 | 260 | $642.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 \%}$ |

Source: Needell, B, et al. Child Welfare Senvices Reports for Califomia. University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research, 2006.
'Types of abuse with less than 5 substantiated cases have been masked to protect confidentiality.

## Juvenile Crime

## Juvenile Arrests-Felonies

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 262 | 223 | 267 | 228 | 177 | $-32.4 \%$ |
| Juvenile Population (10-17) | 26,315 | 26,804 | 27,052 | 27,040 | 27,033 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Juvenile Felony Arrest Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050, Sacramento, May 2004.

Note: The juvenile population used for arrest rates are for those age 10-17.

Juvenile Arrests-Misdemeanors

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4}$ <br> $\%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 936 | 967 | 915 | 877 | 824 | $-12.0 \%$ |
| Juvenile Population (10-17) | 26,315 | 26,804 | 27,052 | 27,040 | 27,033 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Juvenile Misdemeanor Arrest Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{3 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050, Sacramento, May 2004.

Note: The juvenile population used for arrest rates are for those age 10-17.

Section 602 Petitions

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4 - \mathbf { 2 0 0 5 - 0 6 }}$ <br> $\%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Referrals | 1,261 | 1,208 | 1,278 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Filed with Court | 408 | 388 | 390 | $-4.4 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo Probation Department, 2006.
Note: Section 602 Petitions specifically refer to children/teens who have violated the law.

602 Court Filings by Jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 | 2003-2005 \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 83 | 87 | 114 | 37.3\% |
| Atascadero | 206 | 166 | 164 | -20.4\% |
| Avila Beach | 0 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Cambria/Cayucos | 47 | 24 | 30 | -36.2\% |
| Creston | 7 | 3 | 2 | -71.4\% |
| Grover Beach | 97 | 110 | 119 | 22.7\% |
| Los Osos | 61 | 69 | 49 | -19.7\% |
| Morro Bay | 51 | 56 | 29 | -43.1\% |
| Nipomo | 100 | 96 | 91 | -9.0\% |
| Oceano | 58 | 51 | 57 | -1.7\% |
| Paso Robles | 217 | 210 | 262 | 20.7\% |
| Pismo Beach | 37 | 19 | 25 | -32.4\% |
| San Luis Obispo | 114 | 127 | 148 | 29.8\% |
| San Miguel | 39 | 24 | 20 | -48.7\% |
| Santa Margarita | 15 | 9 | 19 | 26.7\% |
| Shandon | 20 | 15 | 10 | -50.0\% |
| Shell Beach | 6 | 4 | 6 | 0.0\% |
| Templeton | 50 | 30 | 40 | -20.0\% |
| Total | 1,208 | 1,101 | 1,187 | -1.7\% |

Source: San Luis Obispo Probation Department, 2003-2006.
Note: Section 602 Petitions specifically refer to children/ teens who have violated the law.

## Juvenile Probation

|  | 2003-2004 | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 | 2003-2005 \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minors Court Diversion | 142 | 99 | 99 | $-30.3 \%$ |
| Minors Declared a Ward | 157 | 224 | 209 | $33.1 \%$ |
| Probation Violation | 341 | 266 | 254 | $-25.5 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo Probation Department, 2003-2006.

## Gun Sales <br> F12

## Annual Gun Sales

| Type of Gun | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Handgun | 1,572 | 1,369 | 1,709 | 1,278 | 1,107 | 1,494 | $-5.0 \%$ |
| Long Gun | 2,342 | 2,645 | 2,719 | 2,670 | 2,700 | 2,876 | $22.8 \%$ |
| Total Gun Sales | $\mathbf{3 , 9 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Justice, Firearms Division, 2000-2006.

## Firearms <br> F13

Do you have any firearms kept in or around your house? Include those kept in a garage, outdoor storage area, truck, or other motor vehicles.

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $29.5 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| No | $70.5 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

Are any of the firearms in or around your home handguns, such as pistols or revolvers?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $63.6 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ |
| No | $36.4 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |

Source: ACTION for Heathy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

## 国 How many of the firearms are currently stored under lock and key?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All of them | $61.0 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ |
| Some of them | $7.1 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| None of them | $31.9 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."
New data not available

## Driving Under the Influence

Adult Misdemeanor DUI Arrests, San Luis Obispo County

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Arrests | 2,123 | 2,203 | 2,268 | 2,151 | 2,234 | 2,199 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Population | 167,846 | 171,326 | 174,224 | 176,662 | 179,556 | 182,359 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Misdemeanor <br> Arrest Rate <br> (per 1,000) | 12.6 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 12.4 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/E:thnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2005.

Note: The adult population used for arest rates are for those age 18-69.

Adult Misdemeanor DUI Arrests, California

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> $\%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of <br> Arrests | 176,974 | 171,679 | 172,266 | 178,561 | 175,653 | 175,004 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| Population | $22,141,359$ | $22,644,174$ | $23,084,951$ | $23,515,664$ | $23,906,564$ | $24,316,140$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Misdemeanor <br> Arrest Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2005.
Note: The adult population used for arrest rates are for those age 18-69.

Adult Felony DUI Arrests, San Luis Obispo County ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Arrests | 40 | 57 | 37 | 46 | 55 | 59 | $47.5 \%$ |
| Population | 167,846 | 171,326 | 174,224 | 176,662 | 179,556 | 182,359 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Felony Arrest <br> Rate (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2005.
Note: The adult population used for arest rates are for those age 18-69.
'Due to extremely small numbers, felony juvenile DU's's are not reported.

Adult Felony DUI Arrests, California

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of <br> Arrests | 5,434 | 5,620 | 5,832 | 5,827 | 5,617 | 5,963 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Population | $22,141,359$ | $22,644,174$ | $23,084,951$ | $23,515,664$ | $23,906,564$ | $24,316,140$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Felony <br> Arrest <br> Rate (per <br> $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ ) | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2005.
Note: The adult population used for arrest rates are for those age 18-69.

## Juvenile Misdemeanor DUI Arrests, San Luis Obispo County

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of <br> Arrests | 25 | 47 | 41 | 53 | 33 | 30 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Population | 26,315 | 26,804 | 27,052 | 27,040 | 27,033 | 26,968 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Misdemeanor <br> Arrest Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | - |

Source: California Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2005.
Note: The juvenile population used for arrest rates are for those age 10-17.

## Juvenile Misdemeanor DUI Arrests, California

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 0 0 5}$ <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of <br> Arrests | 1,457 | 1,560 | 1,482 | 1,513 | 1,403 | 1,380 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| Population | $4,064,987$ | $4,188,078$ | $4,299,655$ | $4,394,236$ | $4,450,640$ | $4,493,439$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Misdemeanor <br> Arrest Rate <br> (per 1,000) | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | - |

Source: Califionia Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Crime and Crime Rates by Category and Crime, 2000-2005. California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2005.
Note: The juvenile population used for arrest rates are for those age 10-17.

## Senior Drivers

## Number of Senior Drivers, San Luis Obispo County

| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5} \%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $60-64$ | 8,883 | 11,797 | $32.8 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | 8,452 | 9,024 | $6.8 \%$ |
| $70-74$ | 8,344 | 7,733 | $-7.3 \%$ |
| $75-79$ | 7,009 | 6,919 | $-1.3 \%$ |
| $80-84$ | 3,936 | 4,998 | $27.0 \%$ |
| $85-89$ | 1,603 | 2,180 | $36.0 \%$ |
| $90-99$ | 339 | 596 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 8} \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 , 5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2005.

## Number of Senior Drivers, State

| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 5} \%$ Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $60-64$ | $1,002,644$ | $1,264,467$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | 823,373 | 908,794 | $10.4 \%$ |
| $70-74$ | 697,152 | 695,958 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| $75-79$ | 554,278 | 543,534 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| $80-84$ | 300,547 | 377,817 | $25.7 \%$ |
| $85-89$ | 117,484 | 154,066 | $31.1 \%$ |
| $90-99$ | 25,780 | 41,906 | $62.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 5 2 1 , 2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 8 6 , 5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 \%}$ |

Source: California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2005.

## County Parolees <br> F16

Felons Paroled From an Institution, San Luis Obispo County

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 00-05 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Parolees | 872 | 683 | 649 | 735 | 758 | 851 | $-2.4 \%$ |
| County <br> Population | 246,681 | 250,142 | 253,344 | 255,559 | 258,421 | 261,310 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Parolees (per <br> 1,000 people) | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.3 | - |

Source: California Department of Corrections, Offender Information Sevices, 2000-2005. Califormia Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change, 2006.

Note: The data indicate parolees who have been paroled to San Luis Obispo County.
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## Social Environment Issues

A healthy social environment in a community depends on the perceived safety of its residents, their contributions to and participation in the community, and their involvement in the local government.

Discrimination is an issue that affects the quality of life of all residents in San Luis Obispo County. Respondents to the ACTION for Healthy Communities telephone survey were asked if they had been discriminated against in their community. In 2006, approximately $8 \%$ of respondents felt discriminated against in San Luis Obispo County in the last 12 months, a slight decrease from 2001 ( $10 \%$ ) and 2003 ( $9 \%$ ). The top two reasons for discrimination were ethnicity/race and disability. When telephone survey respondents were asked how concerned they were about racism in San Luis Obispo County, $26 \%$ said they were "very concerned" in 2006, a decrease from 32\% in 2003. Thirty-nine percent of respondents were "somewhat concerned" about racism, an increase from $34 \%$ in 2003.

When residents make charitable contributions and volunteer in their community, they show a personal commitment to the social environment. Eighty-one percent of telephone survey respondents reported giving money or other property to any charitable organization in 2006. In addition, 34\% have volunteered at an organization in the past month. Nationally, the median number of volunteer hours per week has dropped from 3.6 hours per week in 2001, to 1 hour per week in 2005.

The ability to participate in the art and culture of one's community is an important opportunity that adds to one's quality of life. In 2006, over $61 \%$ of residents reported going to local performing, visual, or literary art events, which was a slight increase from $59 \%$ in 2003. During this same time period, there was a small decrease in the percentage of respondents who reported donating their time or money to an event, from $27 \%$ in 2003 to $21 \%$ in 2006. In 2006, over 61\% of telephone survey respondents had children who were taking visual, performing, or literary art classes at school. Around 10\% of San Luis Obispo County residents donated blood within the last 12 months, which is slightly down from 12\% in 2003.

Voting is important because it allows citizens to weigh in on important issues in their community, state, and nation. In the November 2004 general election, $80 \%$ of registered voters in San Luis Obispo County showed up to the polls. This is an increase in voter turnout from the November 2000 general election, which had a $77 \%$ voter turnout. The turnouts at primary elections were lower than at the general elections, with $58 \%$ of registered voters participating in March 2004, and 43\% of registered voters participating in June 2006.

This drop in interest in local and state elections is not unique to San Luis Obispo County; on the national scale, voter turnout tends to decrease in non-presidential election years.

## Discrimination

## G1

## 国 Have you felt discriminated against in San Luis Obispo County in the last 12 months?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $14.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| No | $85.8 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

国 If yes, for what reason?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ethnicity or Race | $41.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ | NA | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3} \%$ |
| Disability | $24.1 \%$ | NA | $22.9 \%$ |  |
| Age | NA | N | NA | $22.3 \%$ |
| Income | NA | NA | $4.4 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ |
| Weight | $27.6 \%$ | NA | NA | $13.2 \%$ |
| Gender | NA | $8.6 \%$ | NA | $9.1 \%$ |
| Language | NA | NA | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%}$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| Other | 54 | NA | NA | $5.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 55 | NA | $9.7 \%$ |  |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Racism

요․ How concerned are you about racism in your community?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | $25.2 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2 \%}$ |
| Somewhat concerned | $37.3 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ |
| Not at all concerned | $37.5 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Voting

G3

San Luis Obispo County Voter Registration and Turnout

| Election | Number of <br> Eligible Voters | Number of <br> Registered Voters | Number of <br> Registered <br> Voters Who <br> Voted | Percent of <br> Registered <br> Voters Who <br> Voted |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March 2000 Primary | 177,922 | 130,828 | 84,425 | $64.5 \%$ |
| November 2000 General | 178,707 | 142,633 | 109,761 | $76.9 \%$ |
| March 2002 Primary | 184,684 | 135,476 | 58,590 | $43.3 \%$ |
| November 2002 General | 182,892 | 140,659 | 83,903 | $59.7 \%$ |
| March 2004 Primary | 185,306 | 139,830 | 80,949 | $57.9 \%$ |
| November 2004 General | 185,569 | 162,459 | 130,234 | $80.2 \%$ |
| June 2006 Primary | 188,046 | 152,974 | 65,939 | $43.1 \%$ |
| November 2006 General | 188,646 | 155,495 | 99,209 | $63.8 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Elections Department, Elections Division, California Secretary of State, 2000-2006.

Voter Profile (General Elections)

| Registered Voters | 2000 |  | 2002 |  | 2004 |  | 2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number |  | Percent | Democrat | 51,472 | $36.1 \%$ | 48,852 | $34.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 57,903 | $35.6 \%$ | 54,540 | $35.1 \%$ |  |
| Republican | 62,002 | $43.5 \%$ | 61,866 | $44.0 \%$ |
| 68,547 | $42.2 \%$ | 65,055 | $41.8 \%$ |  |
| Other | 9,699 | $6.8 \%$ | 9,603 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 9,546 | $5.9 \%$ | 9,019 | $5.8 \%$ |  |
| Declined to State | 19,460 | $13.6 \%$ | 20,338 | $14.5 \%$ |
| 26,463 | $16.3 \%$ | 26,881 | $17.3 \%$ |  |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Elections Department, Elections Division, Califionia Secretary of State, 2000-2006.

## Hotline Calls for Assistance

Number of Calls (1998 through 2004 Classification System)

| Category | 1998-1999 | 1999-2000 | 2001-2002 | 2003-2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 953 | 801 | 610 | 470 |
| Child Abuse | 100 | 88 | 90 | 94 |
| Counseling Resources | 397 | 450 | 566 | 515 |
| Day Care/Childcare ${ }^{1}$ | NA | NA | NA | 95 |
| Developmental Disabilities/ Neurological Handicaps | 89 | 70 | 92 | 550 |
| Donations Information ${ }^{1}$ | NA | NA | NA | 132 |
| Emergency Material Assistance | 494 | 391 | 688 | 149 |
| Family Planning/Issues of Sexuality | 162 | 136 | 166 | 571 |
| Family Care Network | 201 | 146 | 94 | 2,223 |
| Homeless Shelter-EOC | 2,783 | 3,217 | 3,292 | 383 |
| HOTLINE Staff/Resources | 3,700 | 3,512 | 2,034 | 3,212 |
| Housing Issues | 241 | 196 | 306 | 386 |
| Information and Referral | 1,048 | 1,186 | 2,780 | 771 |
| Interpersonal Relationship | 501 | 434 | 464 | 1,488 |
| Legal/Consumer Issue | 578 | 422 | 490 | 982 |
| Mental Illness | 1,242 | 1,035 | 868 | 2,123 |
| Misc/Problem Defies Classification | 1,472 | 1,835 | 1,806 | 170 |
| Physical/Health/Disabilities | 329 | 313 | 498 | 486 |
| Safehouse - Lifesteps Foundation | NA | NA | 48 | 1,409 |
| Senior I \& R | 3,774 | 3,360 | 3,294 | NA |
| Sexual Assault | 71 | 61 | 98 | 144 |
| Special Olympics | 69 | 63 | 8 | 1,305 |
| Suicide Issues | 235 | 224 | 202 | 161 |
| Support | 1,122 | 1,128 | 1,532 | 1,084 |
| Telecare/Phone Friends | 4,595 | 5,229 | 5,584 | NA |
| Transportation ${ }^{1}$ | NA | NA | NA | 28 |
| Women's Shelter Domestic Violence | 1,610 | 1,749 | 1,782 | 144 |
| Total | 25,766 | 26,046 | 27,392 | 12,507 |

Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 1998-2006.
Note: Some of the classifications changed between 1999-2000 and 2003-2004, therefore some numbers may not be directly comparable. Data for 2000-2001 and 2002-2003 not available
${ }^{1}$ New classifications added in 2003-2004.

Number of Calls (2004-2005 Classification System)

| Category | 2004-2005 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abuse/Neglect | 138 |
| Active Caller | 276 |
| Adoption | 3 |
| Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 353 |
| Animals and Animal Control | 15 |
| Business | 12 |
| Case Management | 3 |
| Clothing | 3 |
| Consumer | 2 |
| Counseling Resources | 423 |
| Crisk Line/l\&R other Cities | 28 |
| Day Care | 8 |
| Developmental Disabilities | 28 |
| Donations Information | 105 |
| Education | 4 |
| Emergencies | 80 |
| Employment | 28 |
| Environment | 1 |
| Financial Assistance and Support | 227 |
| Food | 96 |
| Funding Agencies | 6 |
| Gangs, related problems | 1 |
| Government/Taxes | 6 |
| Handicaps | 19 |
| Hang-ups | 555 |
| Health/Medical | 401 |
| Holiday Assistance | 2 |
| Home Health | 27 |
| Hotline Staff Call | 328 |
| Household Furniture | 8 |
| Housing | 197 |
| Information | 1,349 |
| Insurance | 7 |
| Interpersonal Relationship | 344 |
| Legal | 283 |
| Mental Health | 949 |
| Miscellaneous | 165 |
| Physical Disabilities | 145 |
| Rape/Sexual Assault | 49 |
| Recreation/Social Clubs | 15 |

Number of Calls (2004-2005 Classification System) (continued)

| Category | 2004-2005 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Runaways/Missing Persons | 5 |
| Senior Calls | 728 |
| Shelter | 2,231 |
| Substance Abuse | 7 |
| Suicide | 152 |
| Support Group | 209 |
| Support | 1,354 |
| Translating/Interpreting | 48 |
| Transportation | 51 |
| Veterans | 4 |
| Volunteerism | 35 |
| Women's Shelter Domestic Violence | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 8}$ |
| Wrong Number | 225 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 3 6}$ |

Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 2006.

Hotline Calls, by Age Group of Caller

| Age | 2003-2004 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $0-9$ | 375 |
| $10-19$ | 853 |
| $20-29$ | 1,281 |
| $30-39$ | 3,011 |
| $40-49$ | 3,186 |
| $50-59$ | 1,741 |
| $60-69$ | 746 |
| $70-79$ | 640 |
| $80-89+$ | 462 |
| Unknown | 1,012 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 0 7}$ |

Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 2006.

Hotline Calls, by Caller Area of Residence

| Area | 2003-2004 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Atascadero | 610 |
| Arroyo Grade | 717 |
| Cambria | 228 |
| Cayucos | 69 |
| Grover Beach | 373 |
| Los Osos | 360 |
| Nipomo | 196 |
| Oceano | 111 |
| Paso Robles | 634 |
| Pismo Beach | 235 |
| Santa Margarita | 83 |
| San Luis Obispo | 5,185 |
| Templeton | 563 |
| Other | 531 |
| Unknown | 1,895 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 0 7}$ |

Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 2006.

## Blood Donations

国 Do you regularly donate blood?

| Response | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $12.7 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| No | $87.3 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 1999, 2001, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

## Arts

G6

Do you go to local performing, visual, or literary art events or shows?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $59.4 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |
| No | $40.6 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

용 If no, why not?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| No way to get there | $4.2 \%$ |
| Not offered at convenient times | $5.7 \%$ |
| Don't hear/know about them | $4.7 \%$ |
| Don't know how to get tickets | $0.5 \%$ |
| Too expensive/price too high | $33.5 \%$ |
| No interest/don't like what is available | $34.9 \%$ |
| Other | $15.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available

융 Do you donate your time or money to local performing, visual or literary arts organizations or events?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $26.6 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ |
| No | $72.0 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

绝 If no, why not?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| No interest | $26.4 \%$ |
| Too busy/no time available | $23.9 \%$ |
| No money available to donate | $15.1 \%$ |
| Don't hear about them/don't know how they are | $9.5 \%$ |
| They never asked | $8.3 \%$ |
| Too far away/too far to travel | $4.1 \%$ |
| Donate to other organizations | $3.7 \%$ |
| Age/health reasons | $2.9 \%$ |
| No way to get to them | $2.2 \%$ |
| Other | $8.8 \%$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Multiple response question with 392 respondents offering 445 responses.

요T Do your child's school's visual or literary or performing arts programs make your child more interested in school?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $60.1 \%$ |
| No | $26.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to ressondents answering "Don't know."

## New data not available

요요 Is your child currently taking any visual, performing, or literary art classes at school?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $60.8 \%$ |
| No | $27.8 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

## Giving and Volunteering

Did you or any member of your family contribute any money or other property to any charitable organization in the past year?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $77.0 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ |
| No | $21.8 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

욜 Have you done volunteer work at any organization in the past month?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $37.4 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| No | $64.9 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

National Comparison, Volunteering

Individuals Who Volunteer, United States

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | $44.0 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Average Hours per Week | 3.6 | 1 |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Giving and Volunteering in the United States, 2001 and 2005.

## Community Information

覴 Where do you get information about the local community?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Television | $63.8 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| Newspapers | $73.0 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| Friends/Family/Other People | $37.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| Internet/Email | $22.2 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| Meetings | $10.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Newsletters | $16.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Radio | $29.3 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Magazines | $14.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Other | $2.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 522 | 502 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2003 and 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don"t know."

## Media Shift: The Use of Traditional and New Media for Advertisers

## Provided by Barnett Cox \& Associates, Inc.

## Advantages of each type of medium:

## Television

- Most widely used medium
- More time is spent with TV than all other mediums combined (On average about 49 hours viewing per household per week)
- Sight and sound to reinforce your message
- Ability to target specific audiences based on programming
- Audience levels differ from program to program
- Be sure your message is being presented in appropriate programs

The following is an example of current audience levels for local news programming on local television stations. (Nielsen ratings/July 2003, persons 25-54)

| M-F/6-7am News |  | Ratings/Share |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KSBY | $2.9 / 3.4$ |  |
| KCOY | $0.7 / 9$ |  |
| KEYT | $0.5 / 6$ |  |
| M-F/5-5:30pm News |  | Ratings/Share |
| KSBY | $2.8 / 13$ |  |
| KCOY | $1.9 / 9$ |  |
| KEYT | $1.8 / 8$ |  |
| M-F/11-11:30pm News | $\underline{R a t i n g s / S h a r e ~}$ |  |
| KCOY | $2.0 / 14$ |  |
| KSBY | $2.0 / 14$ |  |
| KEYT | $0.5 / 3$ |  |

Note: Nielsen data and estimates are copyrighted and subject to all qualifications and limitations as stated in the Nielsen Report.

## Radio

- $99 \%$ of households have radios
- 8 in 10 persons listen to radio five or more days a week
- The average listener tunes in for almost 25-hours per week
- Listening is seasonal except for teens during summer vacation
- Easy to target specific audiences based on station program formats
- Audience levels differ by format. Ratings are only one criterion for reaching advertising goals

The following is an example of current audience levels for top five rated local radio stations. (Arbitron ratings/Spring 2003, persons $12+$, $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{F} /$ morning drive time)

|  | Rating/Cume |
| :--- | :--- |
| KKJG FM (Country) | $1.8 / 240$ |
| KVEC AM (News) | $1.2 / 155$ |
| KSLY FM (Adult Contemporary) | $1.0 / 143$ |
| KSTT FM (Adult Contemporary) | $.9 / 137$ |
| KRUQ FM (Top 40) | $.9 / 129$ |

Note: Arbitron Company data are copyrighted and subject to all qualifications and limitations as stated.

## Print/Newspapers

- Provides announcement value
- Provides environment for descriptive copy
- Readership is consistent throughout the week and seasons
- Ability to reach community leaders and unique audiences

The following is an example of current circulation levels for local publications in San Luis County. (Data are from various sources and are subject to interpretation.)

|  | Circulation |
| :--- | :--- |
| The Tribune (Sunday) | 46,940 |
| The Tribune (Weekdays) | 42,710 |
| New Times(Weekly) | 42,000 |
| San Luis Journal/Plus Magazine(Monthly) | 20,000 |

Notes: Circulation figures for The Tribune are sourced to the Circulation Reports, September 2002 and are subject to audit. The publisher supplies circulation figures for San Luis Journa/Plus. The publisher supplies circulation figures for New Times.

## Outdoor

- Excellent reminder medium when used with other media
- Excellent directional medium for specific businesses (hotels, restaurants)
- Usually inexpensive
- Can target audience by location selection


## Internet

- Excellent information carrier to virtually unlimited audience
- Good for niche marketing, but sites must be maintained and updated constantly
- Difficult to measure viewers except where on-line sales occur

Note: Barnett Cox \& Associates does not certify any of the aforementioned data and recommend potential advertisers due diligence in verifying all data prior to use of any medium.

## New data not available

## Rating of County Government

How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following areas?

County government, including major units such as the Sheriff, Social Services, County Planning and Building, Elections Office, Health Department, Assessor, Tax Collector, roads, and the County Board of Supervisors?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $8.2 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Very Good | $26.3 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| Good | $43.8 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ |
| Fair | $14.3 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Poor | $7.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003. Note: Totals may not equal 100\% due to respondents answering "Don't know."
New data not available
How would you rate our county in the following areas?

| Response | Excellent | Very <br> Good | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't <br> know | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parks \& recreation <br> opportunities | $33.9 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 502 |
| Public transportation services | $7.3 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | 502 |
| Library services | $15.5 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | 502 |
| Public safety, which includes <br> the Sheriff, District Attorney, <br> Probation and Fire <br> Departments | $17.7 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | 502 |
| Welfare and Social Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Health Services | $5.1 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | 502 |
| Roads | $5.4 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | 502 |
| Land use and zoning | $2.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | 502 |

Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by San Luis Obispo County government?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Excellent | $6.8 \%$ |
| Very Good | $22.9 \%$ |
| Good | $41.4 \%$ |
| Fair | $17.0 \%$ |
| Poor | $3.9 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."

융. In your opinion, what do you think is the ONE most important issue facing San Luis Obispo County in the next few years?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Growing too fast/uncontrolled growth/overpopulation | $41.6 \%$ |
| Housing costs/cost of living | $19.9 \%$ |
| Traffic | $11.5 \%$ |
| Water | $4.5 \%$ |
| Roads need repair | $3.5 \%$ |
| Need better local government | $3.3 \%$ |
| Access to health care | $2.5 \%$ |
| Schools/overcrowding at schools | $2.0 \%$ |
| Employment for everyone who needs it | $1.7 \%$ |
| The sewer issue | $1.3 \%$ |
| Drug and alcohol use | $1.3 \%$ |
| Crime | $0.9 \%$ |
| Preserving open space | $0.9 \%$ |
| Immigration | $0.9 \%$ |
| Homeless issues | $0.7 \%$ |
| Other | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | 427 |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.
Note: Totals may not equal $100 \%$ due to respondents answering "Don't know."
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## 2000 Census of Population and Housing

The Census provides hundreds of pieces of information about the County and its geographic components. This Appendix shows selected San Luis Obispo County data from the 2000 Census of Population and Housing.

In the accompanying tables, the four county regions are not Bureau of the Census designations.
ACTION calculated these data by combining the data for the ZIP Codes in each region. However, ZIP Codes do not follow County boundaries. We have included all ZIP Codes where most of the people of that ZIP Code live in San Luis Obispo County. In the north county, we included ZIP Code 93451, which includes San Miguel but also the Parkfield area in Monterey County, and included 93426 which includes Camp Roberts but also Bradley. In the south county, we omitted ZIP Code 93454, which includes a few San Luis Obispo County residents, because it includes many more Santa Maria residents.

The following provides some definitions of terms used in the tables.

## Household

A household includes all of the people who occupy a housing unit. (People not living in households are classified as living in group quarters.) The occupants may be a single family, one person living alone, two or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated people who share living quarters.

## Census Designated Place (CDP)

Census designated places (CDPs) are areas with concentrations of population, housing, and commercial structures that are identifiable by name but are not within an incorporated place. The CDP boundaries usually coincide with visible features, or the boundary of an adjacent incorporated place, or other legal entity boundary. CDP boundaries may change from one decennial census to the next.

| Geography |  | Total <br> population | Percent <br> Hispanic <br> or <br> Latino | Percent <br> under 19 <br> years of <br> age | Percent <br> 65 years <br> of age <br> and <br> older |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County, total | $\mathbf{2 4 6 , 6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3 \%}$ | Total <br> population <br> living in <br> hoseholds |  |  |
| Seven incorporated cities, total | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 6 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 0 6 5}$ |
| Arroyo Grande city | 16,050 | $11.3 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 , 8 1 5}$ |
| Atascadero city | 26,431 | $10.5 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | 24,840 |
| Paso Robles city | 24,211 | $27.9 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 23,241 |
| Grover Beach city | 13,006 | $22.6 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 12,879 |
| Morro Bay city | 10,308 | $11.9 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | 10,114 |
| Pismo Beach city | 8,537 | $7.2 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | 8,510 |
| San Luis Obispo city | 44,148 | $11.5 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | 42,259 |
| Unincorporated portion of County, <br> total | $\mathbf{1 0 3 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 , 2 5 0}$ |

(continued)

| Geography | Total <br> population | Percent <br> Rispanic <br> or Latino | Percent <br> under 19 <br> years of <br> age | Percent <br> 65 years <br> of age <br> and <br> older | Total <br> population <br> living in <br> households |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Census Designated Places: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baywood-Los Osos CDP | 14,154 | $8.0 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | 14,082 |  |
| Cambria CDP | 6,218 | $14.4 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | 6,216 |  |
| Cayucos CDP | 2,890 | $5.9 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | 2,872 |  |
| Lake Nacimiento CDP | 2,132 | $12.6 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2,132 |  |
| Nipomo CDP | 12,600 | $34.9 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | 12,586 |  |
| Oceano CDP | 7,228 | $45.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | 7,212 |  |
| San Miguel CDP | 1,497 | $21.2 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | 1,488 |  |
| Shandon CDP | 9886 | $48.9 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | 979 |  |
| Templeton CDP | 4,907 | $13.3 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | 4,809 |  |
| County Regions (designated by ACTION): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North County | 82,863 | $16.1 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | 80,124 |  |
| North Coast | 36,064 | $11.0 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | 35,705 |  |
| San Luis Obispo area | 59,897 | $14.1 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | 47,818 |  |
| South County | 68,629 | $20.9 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | 68,206 |  |

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, 2003.

| Geography | Number of <br> households | Percent <br> HHs <br> speak <br> Spanish <br> at home | Median <br> household <br> income in <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | Percent <br> Per <br> capita <br> income <br> in 1999 <br> income in <br> 1999 below <br> poverty <br> level |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County, total | $\mathbf{9 2 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 4 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 8 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 \%}$ |
| Seven incorporated cities, total | $\mathbf{5 7 , 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ | NA | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ |
| Arroyo Grande city | 6,478 | $7.5 \%$ | 48,236 | 24,311 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Atascadero city | 9,498 | $8.0 \%$ | 48,725 | 20,029 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Paso Robles city | 8,581 | $17.7 \%$ | 39,217 | 17,974 | $13.6 \%$ |
| Grover Beach city | 5,000 | $15.7 \%$ | 38,087 | 18,812 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Morro Bay city | 5,045 | $9.4 \%$ | 34,379 | 21,687 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach city | 4,216 | $5.6 \%$ | 46,396 | 30,835 | $9.0 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo city | 18,656 | $8.9 \%$ | 31,926 | 20,386 | $26.6 \%$ |
| Unincorporated portion of <br> County, total | $\mathbf{3 5 , 2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ | NA | $\mathbf{8 . 9 \%}$ |

## (continued)

| Geography |  | Number of <br> households | Percent <br> HHs <br> speak <br> Spanish <br> at home | Median <br> household <br> income in <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | Percent <br> Per <br> capita <br> income <br> in 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| income in |  |  |  |  |  |
| i999 below |  |  |  |  |  |
| poverty |  |  |  |  |  |
| level |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

County Regions (designated by ACTION):

| North County | 29,809 | $11.1 \%$ | NA | NA | $10.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North Coast | 15,949 | $7.6 \%$ | NA | NA | $9.7 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo area | 20,905 | $8.8 \%$ | NA | NA | $24.7 \%$ |
| South County | 26,395 | $13.1 \%$ | NA | NA | $9.0 \%$ |

[^13]
## 2005 Census Profile

## San Luis Obispo County

| Subject | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 239,638 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Sex and Age | 12,179 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Under 5 years | 45,752 | $19.1 \%$ |
| 5 to 19 years | 145,826 | $60.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 64 years | 35,881 | $15.0 \%$ |
| 65 years and over | 39.2 |  |
| Median age (years) |  |  |
| Race | 234,056 |  |
| One Race | 41,653 | $97.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino (of any race) |  | $17.4 \%$ |
| Households | 2.35 |  |
| Average household size | 2.82 |  |
| Average family size |  |  |
| Educational attainment | 157,954 |  |
| Population 25 years and over | 6,924 |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 11,007 |  |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 36,200 | $7.4 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 40,415 |  |
| Some college, no degree | 16,038 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Associate's degree | 30,748 | $25.6 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree | 16,622 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Graduate or professional degree |  | $19.5 \%$ |
| Language spoken at home | 227,459 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Population 5 years and over | 197,345 |  |
| English only | 30,114 | $86.8 \%$ |
| Language other than English | 22,606 | $13.2 \%$ |
| Spanish |  | $9.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

U.S. Census Bureau, 2005 American Community Survey, 2005.

## 2006 ACTION Telephone Survey

## 2006 ACTION Telephone Survey

My name is ___ I am calling on behalf of San Luis Obispo County, the United Way, the Community Foundation and several other local organizations. We are conducting a survey of the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County. Your opinions are crucial to help develop plans for our community during the next five years. You will not be asked to buy anything or make a donation of any kind. Mayl please ask the first question?
(If they ask for names of other sponsoring organizations, say: San Luis Obispo County Community Foundation and Economic Opportunify Commission.)

1. (Do not ask) Respondent is:
2. Male
3. Female
4. Don't know (Don't Read)
5. Refused (Don't Read)
6. What city or town do you live in or live closest to?
(01) 0
(02) Arroyo Grande
(03) Atascadero
(04) Avila Beach
(05) Baywood Park
(06) California Valley
(07) Cambria
(08) Camp Roberts
(09) Cayucos
(10) Cholame
(11) Creston
(12) 0
(13) Grover Beach
(14) Halcyon
(15) Harmony
(31) Rinconada
(32) Nacimiento
(33) Klau
(34) Bee Rock
[If response is $02,03,13,18,21,22,24$ or 29 , Continue]
[If response is $04,05,06,07,08,09,10,11,14,15,17,19,20,23,25,26,27,28,30,31,32,33$. or 34 skip to Q4]
7. Do you live inside or outside of the city limits of $\qquad$ [insert Q2 answer]
8. Inside city limits
9. Outside city limits
10. Don't know (Don't Read)
4.Refused (Don't Read)
(16) 0
(17) Los Osos
(18) Morro Bay
(19) Nipomo
(20) Oceano
(21) Paso Robles
(22) Pismo Beach
(23) Pozo
(24) San Luis Obispo
(25) San Miguel
(26) Santa Margarita
(27) San Simeon
(28) Shandon
(29) Shell Beach $=>$ (City is Pismo Beach)
(30) Templeton
11. Which of the following age groups are you in? (Read list and check one response)
1.18 to 24 years
12. 25 to 34 years
3.35 to 44 years
13. 45 to 54 years
14. 55 to 59 years
15. 60 to 64 years
16. 65 to 69 years
17. 70 to 79 years
18. 80 years and over
19. Don't know (Don't Read)
20. Refused (Don't Read)
21. In your opinion, what do you think is the ONE most important issue facing San Luis Obispo County in the next few years? (PROBE FOR DETAILS)
22. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood? Do you feel . . . (READ LIST) 1. Very safe
23. Somewhat safe
24. Not at all safe
25. Don't know (Don't Read)
26. Refused (Don't Read)
27. Have you felt you have been discriminated against or treated unfairly in San Luis Obispo County in the last twelve months?
28. Yes [IF YES, CONTINUE WITH Q8]
29. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q9]
30. Don't know (Don't Read)
31. Refused (Don't Read)
32. For what reason? (Do not read list. Enter up to two responses)
33. Ethnicity or race
34. Gender
35. Age
36. Language
37. Sexual orientation
38. Income
39. Disability
40. Other (please specify)
41. Don't know (Don't Read)
42. Refused (Don't Read)
43. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?
\# people
$\qquad$
[IF ONE, SKIP TO Q27]
[IF TWO OR MORE, ASK:]
44. Do you have children over the age of 18 , or anyone else outside of your immediate family, living in your household? (Immediate family = children \& parents of respondent and respondent's spouse)
45. Yes
46. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q13]
47. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q13]
48. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q13]
[IF YES, ASK:]
49. Who is living with you? (Check all that apply)
50. My/spouses child over 18 years
51. Other relative 18 years or less
52. Other relative over 18 years
53. Unrelated individual
54. Don't know (Don't Read)
55. Refused (Don't Read)
56. Why is this person living with you? (Do not read. Check all that apply.)
57. He/she needs care
58. He/she can not afford to live on own/expensive housing/ittle income
59. I need care
60. I need income from the person who pays rent
61. I want someone around/companionship
62. Other reasons
63. Don't know (Don't Read)
64. Refused (Don't Read)
65. Do you have children 18 years of age or younger living with you?
66. Yes
67. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q27]
68. Don't know (Don't Read)
69. Refused (Don't Read)
70. What are the ages of your children living at home? (enter all that apply)
71. 2 and under
72. 3 to 5
73. 6 to 11
74. 12 to 14
75. 15 to 18
76. Don't know (Don't Read)
77. Refused (Don't Read)
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[If youngest child is age 5 or under, continue. If youngest child is between the ages of 6 to 14, skip to Q17. If youngest child is 15 or older, skip to Q19]
15. In the past year, have you taken part in any parent or family education programs or classes?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know (Don't Read)
4. Refused (Don't Read)
5. In a usual week, about how many days do you or any other family members read stories or look at picture books with your child or children? (Read categories)
6. Every day
7. 3 to 6 times a week
8. Once or twice a week
9. Never
10. Don't know (Don't Read)
11. Refused (Don't Read)
12. Now, thinking about your childcare needs, is there a kind of childcare you need for your children but cannot get?
13. Yes [IF YES, ASK Q17a,b and c ( PROMPT WITH LIST BELOW]
14. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q19]
15. Don't know (Don't Read)
16. Refused (Don't Read)
(Check all that apply)

| 17A. $0-2$ years | 17B. $3-5$ years | $17 \mathrm{C} .6-14$ years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17A1. Part time (0-5hrs/day) | 17B1. Part time (0-5hrs/day) | 17C1.Part time (0-5hrs/day) |
| 17A2. Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 17B2. Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 17C2. Full time (6-8hrs/day) |
| 17A3. Non traditional hours <br> (weekends, holidays, <br> evenings) | 17B3. Non traditional hours <br> (weekends, holidays, <br> evenings) | 17 C 3. Non traditional hours <br> (weekends, holidays, <br> evenings) |
| 17A4. Child care for a child <br> who is sick | 17B4. Child care for a child <br> who is sick | 17 C 4. Child care for a child <br> who is sick |
| 17A5. Don't know (Don't <br> Read) | 17B5. Don't know (Don't <br> Read) | 17C5. Don't know (Don't <br> Read) |
| 17A6. Refused (Don't Read) | 17B6. Refused (Don't Read) | 17C6. Refused (Don't <br> Read) |

18. Where is childcare needed? (check all that apply)
19. Near home
20. At or near school
21. Near work
22. Don't know (Don't Read)
23. Refused (Don't Read)
24. Do you have children in San Luis Obispo County schools?
25. Yes [IF YES, CONTINUE WITH Q20]
26. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q27]
27. Don't know (Don't Read)
28. Refused (Don't Read)
29. Are they in: (Check all that apply)
30. Elementary School
31. Middle Sch ool/Junior High
32. High School
33. Don't know (Don't Read)
34. Refused (Don't Read)
35. How often do you participate in your [enter previous response(s)] child's educational and extra curricular activities?

| Elementary (K-5) | Junior High/Middle School(6-8) | High School(9-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Often | 1.Often | 1.Often |
| 2.Sometimes | 2.Sometimes | 2. Sometimes |
| 3.Never | 3. Never | 3 . Never |
| 4. Don't know (Don't Read) | 4. Don't know (Don't Read) | 4.Don't know (Don't Read) |
| 5.Refused (Don't Read) | 5.Refused (Don't Read) | 5. Refused (Don't Read) |

22. How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your [enter previous response(s)] child's school?

| Elementary(K-5) | Junior High/Middle School (6-8) |  |  | High School(9-12) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Very serious | 1.Very serious |  |  | 2.Very serious |
| 2. Somewhat serious | 2. Somewhat serious |  | 2. Somewhat serious |  |
| 3. Not at all serious | 3. Not at all serious |  | 3. Not at all serious |  |
| 4.Don't know (Don't Read) | 4.Don't know (Don't Read) |  | 4.Don't know (Don't Read) |  |
| 5. Refused (Don't Read) | 5. Refused (Don't Read) |  | 5.Refused (Don't Read) |  |

23. Do(es) your child or children have enough activities after school and on weekends, including recreational and cultural activities?

| Elementary(K-5) | Junior High/Middle School(6-8) | High School (9-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Yes | 1.Yes | 1.Yes |
| 2.No | 2.No | 2.No |
| 3.Don't know (Don't Read) | 3. Don't know (Don't Read) | 3. Don't know (Don't Read) |
| 4.Refused (Don't Read) | 4.Refused (Don't Read) | 4.Refused (Don't Read) |

24. Are you aware of any efforts at your child's school to provide alternatives healthier food alternativesand increased physical activity?

| Elementary(K-5) | Junior High/Middle School(6-8) |  |  | High School (9-12) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1.Yes |  |  | 1.Yes |
| 2. No | 2.No |  | 2.No |  |
| 3.Don't know (Don't Read) | 3. Don't know (Don't Read) |  | 3.Don't know (Don't Read) |  |
| 4.Refused (Don't Read) | 4.Refused (Don't Read) |  | 4.Refused (Don't Read) |  |

25. Is your child currently taking any visual, performing, or literary arts classes at school? (This includes music, band, art, graphic design, and theatre classes. If more than one child in schools, ask about youngest.)
26. Yes [SKIP TO Q27]
27. No [ASK Q26]
28. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q27]
29. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q27]
30. Why is your child not currently taking any visual, performing, or literary arts classes at school? (Do not read list. Record up to 2 answers.)
31. School does not offer such classes
32. Too busy/no time available
33. No interest/not like what is offered
34. Has taken all such classes offered
35. Other (specify)
36. Don't know (Don't Read)
37. Refused (Don't Read)
[IF 55 or older, continue with Q27. If younger than age 55 , SKIP TO Q28]
38. Please tell me if each of the following items is a "Major Problem," a "Minor Problem," or "Not a Problem" for you personally: Do Not Read Don't Know or Refused

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Housing | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Transportation | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 3. Loneliness | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 4. Not Having Enough Money | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 5. Taking Care of Yourself Physicall | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 6. Getting Prescription Drug | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 7. Dental Care | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 8. Eating Nutritious Food | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refused |
| 9. In-home Care | Major Minor Not a Problem Don't know Refus |

28. Do you or does anyone in your household, have a permanent physical or mental impairment that substantially limits a major life activity, such as bathing, dressing, stair climbing, shopping, or managing one's money?
29. Yes [IF YES, ASK Q29]
30. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q30]
31. Don't know (Don't Read)
32. Refused (Don't Read)
33. Who helps that person perform these activities? (ACCEPT MULTIPLE ANSWERS)
34. Relative/family member
35. Neighbor/friend/church member
36. Someone from a government agency
37. Someone from a private or non-profit organization
38. Other (write in)
39. Don't know (Don't Read)
40. Refused (Don't Read)
41. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the care giver to a disabled child, disabled adult or older person?
42. Yes [IF YES, ASK Q31]
43. No [SKIP TO Q32]
44. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q32]
45. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q32]
46. Is that a... (Read list and enter all that apply)
47. Disabled child
48. Disabled adult
49. Older person
50. Don't know (Don't Read)
51. Refused (Don't Read)
52. Do you feel you are better off this year than last year economically?
53. Yes
54. No
55. About the same
56. Don't know (Don't Read)
57. Refused (Don't Read)
58. Is the amount of money you use to pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity ... (READ LIST)?
59. Less than one-third of your income
60. Between one-third and one-half of your income
61. More than one-half of your income
62. Don't know (Don't Read)
63. Refused (Don't Read)
64. In any given month during the past year, did you not have enough money to pay for basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?
65. Yes [CONTINUE WITH Q35]
66. No [SKIP TO Q36]
67. Don't know (Don't Read)
68. Refused (Don't Read)
69. What do you go without? (check all that apply)
70. Food
71. Childcare
72. Health Care
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
73. Clothing $\qquad$
74. Housing $\qquad$
75. Other $\qquad$
76. Don't know (Don't Read)
77. Refused (Don't Read)
78. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?
79. Yes
80. No
81. Don't know (Don't Read)
82. Refused (Don't Read)
83. Have you ever felt the need to discuss problems or situations with a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?
84. Yes
85. No
86. Don't know (Don't Read)
87. Refused (Don't Read)
88. Do you have health insurance?
```
1. Yes [Skip to Q40]
2. No [ASK Q39 THEN SKIP TO Q42]
3. Don't know (Don't Read)
4. Refused (Don't Read)
```

39. Why don't you have health insurance? (Accept multiple answers. Code answers into list or write in)
40. Too expensive/can't afford it
41. Employer does not offer health insurance
42. Covered by MediCal/Medicare/NA
43. Other (write in) $\qquad$
44. Don't know (Don't Read)
45. Refused (Don't Read)
46. Does that include insurance through: (Read list, and enter all that apply)
47. Your employer or spouse's employer
48. State or Federal program (such as MediCal or MediCare)
49. Private insurance you purchased on your own
50. Other
51. Don't know (Don't Read)
52. Refused (Don't Read)

[IF 65 OR OLDER, ASK Q42-44. OTHERS SKIP TO Q45]
53. Are you enrolled in Medicare?
1.Yes
54. No [SKIP TO Q45]
55. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q45]
56. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q45]
[IF YES, ASK:]
43a. Have you enrolled in Part D, the new Prescription Drug Plan?
57. Yes [SKIP TO Q44]
58. No [ASK Q43b THEN SKIP TO Q45]
59. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q45]
60. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q45]

43b. Why haven't you enrolled in Part D?
44. Has Part D saved you money buying your drugs?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know (Don't Read)
4. Refused (Don't Read)
5. Is there a place you USUALLY go when you are sick or need advice about your health?
6. Yes (Ask 46 a and b)
7. No [SKIP TO Q47]
8. Don't know (Don't Read)
9. Refused (Don't Read)

46a. Do you usually go to a: (READ LIST)

1. Private doctor
2. Clinic
3. Emergency room at a hospital
4. A different place each time
5. Don't know (Don't Read)
6. Refused (Don't Read)

46b How satisfied are you with your medical care? (Read list)
7. Very satisfied (Skip to 48)
2. Somewhat satisfied (Skip to 48)
3. Not at all satisfied (Skip to 48)
4. Don't know (Don't Read) (Skip to 48 )
5. Refused (Don't Read) (Skip to 48)
47. What is the ONE main reason you don't have a usual source of health care?

1. Provider didn't accept insurance or insurance problem
2. No insurance or lost insurance
3. Cost of medical care
4. Don't want or need
5. Other reason
6. Don't know (Don't Read)
7. Refused (Don't Read)
8. In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen a medical doctor?
___ times [range 0-365]
9. Don't know (Don't Read)
10. Refused (Don't Read)
11. In the past 12 months, has anyone in your household needed urgent medical care?
12. Yes [IF YES, CONTINUE WITH 50-51b]
13. No [IF NO, SKIP TO Q52]
14. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q52]
15. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q52]
16. At that time, did the person go to his or her usual source of health care, or some other place to get medical care?
17. Usual source of medical care
18. Some other place
19. Don't know (Don't Read)
20. Refused (Don't Read)

51a. When seeking urgent medical care, how long was it until this person saw a doctor or other medical professional? (use answer categories as needed)

1. Less than 4 hours
2. More than 4 hours but the same day
3. The next day
4. After the next day
5. Don't know (Don't Read)
6. Refused (Don't Read)

51b. Was the length of time that it took to see a doctor or other medical professional acceptable or not acceptable?

1. Acceptable
2. Not acceptableftoo long
3. Don't know (Don't Read)
4. Refused (Don't Read)
[lf youngest child is age 11 or younger, ask Q52 and Q53; otherwise, skip to Q54]
5. During the past 12 months, how many times has your child seen any kind of medical doctor? (If more than one child, ask about youngest)
times [range $0-365$ ]
6. Don't know (Don't Read)
7. Refused (Don't Read)
8. Have you ever heard of any government-sponsored programs that offer low-cost health insurance specifically for kids?

## 1. Yes

2. No
3. Don't know (Don't Read)
4. Refused (Don't Read)
5. Do you have a regular source of dental care?
6. Yes
7. No
8. Don't know (Don't Read)
9. Refused (Don't Read)
10. How long has it been since you last visited a dentist for a routine check up? (read list)
11. Within the past year
12. 1-2 years
13. 3-5 years
14. More than 5 years ago
15. Never
16. Don't know (Don't Read)
17. Refused (Don't Read)
[If youngest child is age 14 or younger, ask Q56; otherwise, skip to Q57. IF NO CHILDREN, SKIP TO Q57]
18. How long has it been since any of your children visited a dentist for a routine check up? (read list)
1.Within the past year
19. 1-2 years
20. 3-5 years
21. More than 5 years ago
22. Never
23. Don't know (Don't Read)
24. Refused (Don't Read)
25. Within the past week, did you participate in any physical activities, such as brisk walking and gardening, or any exercise, or sports?
26. Yes
27. No [SKIP TO Q59]
28. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q59]
29. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q59]
30. How many times during the past week did you participate in these physical activities, exercise. or sports? (If exercised more than once a day, include each time.)
times
31. Don't know (Don't Read)
32. Refused (Don't Read)
33. Do you eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?
34. Yes
35. No
36. Don't know (Don't Read)
37. Refused (Don't Read)

## [IF CHILD UNDER 11 YEARS OF AGE, ASK 61-64, OTHERWISE SKIP TO Q64]

60. Within the past week, did your child participate in any physical activities, or any exercise, such as Physical Education class, recess, or active play, or sports? (If more than one child under 11, ask for youngest child)
61. Yes
62. No
63. Don't know (Don't Read) (Skip to 62)
64. Refused (Don't Read) (Skip to 62)
65. How many times during the past week did your child participate in these physical activities, exercise, and sports? (If more than one child under 11, ask for youngest child)

## times

98. Don't know (Don't Read)
99. Refused (Don't Read)
100. How concerned are you about helping your child to eat healthy, would you say you are . . . ?
101. Very concerned
102. Somewhat concerned
103. Not very concerned
104. Don't know (Don't Read)
105. Refused (Don't Read)
106. Does your child eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?
107. Yes
108. No
109. Don't know (Don't Read)
110. Refused (Don't Read)
[IF CHILD BETWEEN 12 AND 18 YEARS OF AGE, ASK 64-66]
111. Within the past week, did your teen participate in any physical activities, or any exercise, such as Physical Education class, recess, or active play, or sports? (If more than one child 12-18, ask for youngest)
112. Yes
113. No
114. Don't know (Don't Read) (Skip to 66)
115. Refused (Don't Read) (Skip to 66)
116. How many times during the past week did your teen participate in these physical activities. exercise, and sports? (If more than one teen 12 to 18, ask for youngest)
$\qquad$ times
117. Don't know (Don't Read)
118. Refused (Don't Read)
119. Does your teen eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?
120. Yes
121. No
122. Don't know (Don't Read)
123. Refused (Don't Read)
124. Do you smoke cigarettes everyday, some days, or not at all?
1.Everyday
125. Some days
126. Not at all [Skip to 69]
127. Don't know (Don't Read) [Skip to 69]
128. Refused (Don't Read) (Skip to 69)
129. In the past 12 months, have you:

Quit smoking for 1 day or longer?

| 1.Yes | 2. No | 3.Don't <br> know <br> (Don't <br> Read) | 4.Refused <br> (Don't Read) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

68a. Atten ded any class or participated in any program to help you stop smoking?

| 1.Yes | 2.No | 3.Don't <br> know <br> (Don't <br> Read) | 4.Refused <br> (Don't Read) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

69. In the past 30 days has anyone, including yourself, smoked cigarettes, cigars, or pipes anywhere inside your home?
70. Yes
71. No [if No, Skip to 71]
72. Don't know (Don't Read) [if Refused, Skip to 71]
73. Refused (Don't Read) [if Don't know, Skip to 71]
74. Have there been any non-smokers present in your home while someone was smoking?
75. Yes
76. No
77. Don't know (Don't Read)
78. Refused (Don't Read)
79. Do you currently: (READ LIST)

Use smokeless tobacco products, such as chewing tobacco or snuff?

| 1.Yes | 2.No | 3.Don't know <br> (Don't Read) | 4.Refused <br> (Don't <br> Read) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

71a. Smoke cigars or a pipe?

| 1.Yes | 2.No | 3.Don't know <br> (Don't Read) | 4.Refused <br> (Don't <br> Read) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

72. Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, in the past 30 days about how many times did you have 4 [ 5 if male] or more drinks on an occasion?
73. None
74. 1-2
75. 3-5
76. 6 or more
77. Don't know (Don't Read)
78. Refused (Don't Read)
79. In general, would you say your physical health is . . (READ LIST):
80. Excellent
81. Very good
82. Good
83. Fair
84. Poor
85. Don't know (Don't Read)
86. Refused (Don't Read)
87. Would you say, in general, your mental health, which includes stress, depression and problems with emotions, is . . . (READ LIST):
88. Excellent
89. Very good
90. Good
91. Fair
92. Poor
93. Don't know (Don't Read)
94. Refused (Don't Read)
95. Have you, yourself, completed an Advance Health Care Directive or other legal health care document, such as a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care, Living Will, or Health Care Proxy?
96. Yes [CONTINUE WITH Q76]
97. No [SKIP TO Q77]
98. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q77]
99. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q77]
100. Has it been updated within the past 5 years?
101. Yes
102. No
103. Don't know (Don't Read)
104. Refused (Don't Read)
105. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned" or "Not at All" concerned. [Rotate order] Do Not Read Don't Know or Refused

|  | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Traffic congestion | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 2. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 3. Family violence | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 4. Child abuse | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 5. Senior abuse | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 6. Racism | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know R | Refused |
| 7. Crime | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know R | Refused |
| 8. Homelessness | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 9. Jobs that pay enough to support a family | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Refused |
| 10. Gangs or teen violence | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know R | Refused |
| 11. Housing costs | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know R | Refused |


| 12. Building in open space | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13. Loss of wildlife habitat | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| 14. Water quality | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| 15. Air pollution | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| 16. Local public schools | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| 17. Access to cultural opportunities | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| 18. Access to mental health services Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |  |
| 19. Disaster planning | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |
| 20. Pesticide use near homes |  |  |  |
| and schools | Very Somewhat | Not at all Don't know Refused |  |

78. Now I want to ask about charitable giving. I'm going to read you examples of the many different areas in which households contribute money or other property for charitable purposes. By contributing, I mean making a voluntary contribution with no intention of making a profit. The types of organizations households contribute to include those involved in: religion, youth development, education, health, human services, the environment, animal welfare, adult recreation, arts and cultural activities, politics, and community improvement. Did you or any other member of your household contribute any money or other property to any such organizations in 2005? (IF ASKED: Include payroll deductions.)
79. Yes
80. No [SKIP TO Q81]
81. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q81]
82. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q81]
[IF YES, ASK:]
83. Approximately how much money, or the cash equivalent of property, did you and the members of your household contribute to such organizations, in 2005? (IF UNSURE, PROBE FOR BEST ESTIMATE. VERIFY THE AMOUNT.)
$1 . \$$
84. Don't know (Don't Read)
85. Refused (Don't Read)
86. I am going to read you 9 types of organizations that people sometimes give money to and ask if you and other members of your household contributed to such organizations in 2005. Did you give to any organizations in the . . (READ LIST)
87. Arts, culture and humanities
88. Environment and animals
89. Public society benefit which would include community building and civic involvement
90. Education, including schools
91. Health
92. Human services
93. Religion
94. International affairs
95. Foundations
96. Don't know (Don't Read)
97. Refused (Don't Read)
98. I'd like to ask about your volunteer activity. By volunteer activity, I mean not just belonging to a service organization, but actually working in some way to help others. Have you done volunteer work for any organization in the past month? (Excludes other household members)
```
1. YES
2. NO...............................................................[SKIP TO Q83]
3. DON'T KNOW (DON'T READ)
[SKIP TO Q83]
```

4. REFUSED (DON'T READ)
[SKIP TO Q83]
[IF YES, ASK:]
5. How many hours did you spend in the past month working for this or these organizations?
.\# hours $\qquad$
6. Don't know (Don't Read)
7. Refused (Don't Read)
8. Do you regularly donate blood?
9. Yes
10. No
11. Don't know (Don't Read)
12. Refused (Don't Read)
13. In planning for a disaster, has your household . . . (READ STATEMENTS)?

84A. Stored three-days worth of emergency supplies?

1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know (Don't Read) 4. Refused (Don't Read)

84B. Talked about where you meet and how you would communicate with each other?

1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know (Don't Read) 4. Refused (Don't Read)
2. Some people would need special assistance if they needed to evacuate their homes, possibly because they do not have a vehicle or are disabled. Is there anyone in your household who would need special assistance to evacuate in case of an emergency?
3. Yes
4. No [SKIP TO Q87]
5. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q87]
6. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q87]
[IF YES, ASK:]
7. Has that person made arrangements for someone to help evacuate in an emergency?
8. Yes
9. No
10. Don't know (Don't Read)
11. Refused (Don't Read)
12. In the past 3 months, how many times have you:

87A.Visited a public library building in SLO County

1. Never
2. 1-2 times
3. 3-5 times
4. 6-10 times
5. 11-25 times
6. 26-50 times
7. Over 50 times
8. Don't know (Don't Read)
9. Refused (Don't Read)

87B. Used the Internet to access a County library database while you were outside of the library?

1. Never
2. 1-2 times
3. 3-5 times
4. 6-10 times
5. 11-25 times
6. 26-50 times
7. Over 50 times
8. Don't know (Don't Read)
9. Refused (Don't Read)
10. Do you go to local performing, visual, or literary art events or shows in San Luis Obispo County?
11. Yes
12. No
13. Don't know (Don't Read)
14. Refused (Don't Read)
15. Do you donate your time or money to local performing, visual or literary arts organizations or events?
16. Yes [SKIP TOQ91]
17. No [CONTINUE WITH Q90]
18. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q91]
19. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q91]
20. Why not? (CODE UP TO 2 ANSWERS IN FOLLOWING LIST, OR WRITE IN)
21. No way to get to them
22. Don't hear about them/Don't know (Don't Read) who they are
23. Too far away/too far to travel
24. They never asked
25. No money available to donate
26. Too busy/no time available
27. No interest/don't like organizations
28. Other (specify)
29. Don't know (Don't Read)
30. Refused (Don't Read)
31. In the past three months, how many times have you visited any outdoor recreation facility in SLO County such as a park, trail, or beach? (Read list)
32. None
33. 1-2
34. 3-5
35. $6-10$
36. 11-25
37. 26-50
38. More than 50
39. Don't know (Don't Read)
40. Refused (Don't Read)
41. How would you rate our county in the following areas:
42. Excellent 2. Very Good 3. Good 4. Fair 5. Poor 6. Don't know (Don't Read) 7. Refused (Don't Read)
43. Parks \& recreation opportunities
44. Public transportation services
45. Library services
46. Public safety, which includes the Sheriff, District Attorney, Probation and Fire Departments
47. Welfare and Social Services
48. Public Health Services
49. Roads
50. Land use and zoning
51. Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by . . ?
52. Excellent 2. Very Good 3. Good 4.Fair 5. Poor 6. Don't know (don't read) 7. Refused (Don't Read)
53. San Luis Obispo County Government
[IF LIVE IN INCORPORATED CITY \{Q3\}, ASK:]
54. Your city government
55. In general, where do you get most of your information about local community issues and news? (DO NOT READ. CODE ANSWERS IN FOLLOWING LIST. ACCEPTMULTIPLE ANSWERS)
56. TV/cable news programs/KSBY/Charter
57. Newspapers/Tribune
58. Family/friends/talking to other people
59. Internet/e-mail/blogs
60. Meetings
61. Newsletters
62. Radio
63. Magazines
64. Other
65. Don't know (Don't Read)
66. Refused (Don't Read)
67. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?

| 1.African American | 6. Native American |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.Asian | 7.Other (specify) |
| 3.Caucasian | 8.Don't know (Don't Read) |
| 4.Latino | 9.Refused (Don't Read) |
| 5.Multi-racial |  |

96. How many wage earners, age 18 and over, live in your household?
97. None
98. One
99. Two
100. Three
101. Four
102. Five
103. Over 5
104. Don't know (Don't Read)
105. Refused (Don't Read)
106. Which income range best describes your household income? (Read list)
107. Less than $\$ 15,000$ per year
108. $\$ 15,000-\$ 25,000$ per year
109. $\$ 25,000-\$ 35,000$ per year
110. $\$ 35,000-\$ 45,000$ per year
111. $\$ 45,000-\$ 65,000$ per year
112. $\$ 65,000-\$ 80,000$ per year
113. $\$ 80,000-\$ 100,00$ per year
114. $\$ 100,00-\$ 125,000$ per year
115. $\$ 125,000-\$ 150,000$ per year
116. Over $\$ 150,000$ per year
117. Don't know (Don't Read)
118. Refused (Don't Read)
119. Excluding Social Security retirement checks, are you, or is anyone in your household, now receiving regular payments or benefits from any government program? This would include programs such as Medicare, food stamps, and rent subsidies as well as others.
120. Yes [If Yes, Continue with Q99]
121. No [Skip to Q100]
122. Don't know (Don't Read) [Skip to Q100]
123. Refused (Don't Read) [Skip to Q100]
124. What government programs are those payments or benefits from? (Select all that apply)
125. Medicare
126. Medical
127. CalWORKS, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
128. Food stamps
129. Social Security retirement payments
130. Social Security survivors payments (payments to family members when a worker dies)
131. Social Security disability payments (for adult or child with a disability)
132. General Assistance
133. Section 8 Housing, rent assistance
134. Women Infants and Children (WIC)
135. Other (write in) $\qquad$
136. Don't know (Don't Read)
137. Refused (Don't Read)
138. What is your highest level of education? (Read list and check one response)
139. No formal education
140. Elementary School
141. Junior High School
142. High School
143. Vocational/Trade School
144. Community College / Junior College
145. Four-year College
146. Graduate School
147. Don't know (Don't Read)
148. Refused (Don't Read)
149. Do you own or rent your primary residence?
150. Own
151. Rent
152. Neither
153. Don't know (Don't Read)
154. Refused (Don't Read)
155. What is your employment status? (Read list and check up to two responses)
156. Employed full-time [ASK Q103]
157. Employed part - time [SKIP TO Q104]
158. Unemployed [SKIP TO Q104]
159. Self-employed [SKIP TO Q104]
160. Retired [SKIP TO Q104]
161. Student [SKIP TO Q104]
162. Homemaker [SKIP TO Q104]
163. Disabled [SKIP TO Q104]
164. Casual or temporary labor [SKIP TO Q104]
165. Don't know (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q104]
166. Refused (Don't Read) [SKIP TO Q104]
[IF EMPLOYED FULL TIME, ASK:]
167. Does your employer try to help employees with healthier eating and physical activity, which might include providing stress management classes, subsidizing health club memberships, or paying for weight reduction programs?
168. Yes, help employees with healthier eating and physical activity 2. No
169. Don't know (Don't Read)
170. Refused (Don't Read)
171. What is the zip code where you live?

Closing script: Thank you for taking the time to talk with me today.
105. Interview conducted in:

1. English
2. Spanish

Interview Date

## 2006 ACTION Telephone Survey Results

## Overall

## 1. Gender

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 251 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Female | 251 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

2. What city or town do you live in or live closest to?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo | 114 | $22.7 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | 91 | $18.1 \%$ |
| Arroyo Grande | 71 | $14.2 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 55 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Los Osos | 33 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Nipomo | 28 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 26 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 26 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Templeton | 15 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Oceano | 9 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Cambria | 8 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Cayucos | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | 5 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Shell Beach / Pismo Beach | 4 | $0.8 \%$ |
| San Miguel | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Shandon | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Avila Beach | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Creston | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| San Simeon | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

3. Do you live inside or outside of the city limits of:

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Inside city limits | 311 | $79.2 \%$ |
| Outside city limits | 77 | $19.6 \%$ |
| Don't know | 5 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

4. Which of the following age groups are you in?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 18 to 24 years | 25 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 34 years | 54 | $10.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 44 years | 68 | $13.6 \%$ |
| 45 to 54 years | 102 | $20.3 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 62 | $12.4 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 63 | $12.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 27 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 70 to 79 years | 63 | $12.6 \%$ |
| 80 years and over | 36 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 5. In your opinion, what do you think is the ONE most important issue facing San Luis Obispo County in the next few years?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Growing too fast / uncontrolled growth / over <br> population | 178 | $41.6 \%$ |
| Housing costs / cost of living | 85 | $19.9 \%$ |
| Traffic | 49 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Water | 19 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Roads need repair | 15 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Need better local government | 14 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Access to health care | 11 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Schools / overcrowding at schools | 9 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Employment for everyone who needs it | 7 | $1.7 \%$ |
| The sewer issue | 6 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Drug \& Alcohol use | 6 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Crime | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Preserving open space | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Immigration | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Homeless issues | 3 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Other | 14 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | 427 | $100.0 \%$ |

6. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | 400 | $79.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | 95 | $18.9 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

7. Have you felt you have been discriminated against or treated unfairly in San Luis Obispo County in the last twelve months?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 41 | $8.2 \%$ |
| No | 456 | $90.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

8. For what reason?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Ethnicity or race | 8 | $22.9 \%$ |
| Disability | 8 | $22.3 \%$ |
| Age | 6 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Income | 5 | $13.2 \%$ |
| Weight | 3 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Gender | 2 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Language | 2 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Other | 3 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $2.6 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 34 respondents offering 39 responses.

## 9. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 98 | $19.5 \%$ |
| 2 | 201 | $40.0 \%$ |
| 3 | 83 | $16.6 \%$ |
| 4 | 82 | $16.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 26 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 6 | 11 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

10. Do you have children over the age of 18, or anyone else outside of your immediate family, living in your household?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 68 | $16.9 \%$ |
| No | 335 | $82.8 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 11. Who is living with you?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| My / spouse child over 18 years | 40 | $58.6 \%$ |
| Other relative over 18 years | 19 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Other relative 18 years or less | 5 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Unrelated individual | 10 | $14.5 \%$ |

## Multiple response question with 68 respondents offering 74 responses.

12. Why is this person living with you?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| He/she can not afford to live on <br> own/expensive housing/little income | 27 | $40.0 \%$ |
| I need income from the person who pays rent | 8 | $11.6 \%$ |
| He/she needs care | 2 | $2.7 \%$ |
| I need care | 1 | $1.4 \%$ |
| I want someone around/companionship | 4 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Other reasons | 31 | $47.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Multiple response question with 66 respondents offering 72 responses.
13. Do you have children 18 years of age or younger living with you?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 136 | $33.6 \%$ |
| No | 267 | $66.1 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | 404 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## 14. What are the ages of your children living at home?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 and under | 26 | $18.9 \%$ |
| 3 to 5 | 23 | $16.9 \%$ |
| 6 to 11 | 69 | $50.7 \%$ |
| 12 to 14 | 38 | $27.7 \%$ |
| 15 to 18 | 38 | $28.3 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $1.3 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 136 respondents offering 196 responses.
15. In the past year, have you taken part in any parent or family education programs or classes?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 13 | $32.0 \%$ |
| No | 27 | $65.3 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

16. In a usual week, about how many days do you or any other family members read stories or look at picture books with your child or children?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Every day | 32 | $77.4 \%$ |
| 3 to 6 times a week | 6 | $14.9 \%$ |
| Once or twice a week | 1 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Never | 2 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

17. Now, thinking about your childcare needs, is there a kind of childcare you need for your children but cannot get?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 10 | $9.0 \%$ |
| No | 98 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

17a. Thinking about your childcare needs (0-2 years), is there a kind of childcare you need for your children but cannot get?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Part time (0-5hrs/day) | 5 | $73.1 \%$ |
| Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 3 | $43.3 \%$ |
| Non traditional hours (weekends, holidays, <br> evenings) | 3 | $43.3 \%$ |
| Childcare for a child who is sick | 2 | $29.8 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 7 respondents offering 13 responses.
17b. Thinking about your childcare needs (3-5 years), is there a kind of childcare you need for your children but cannot get?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No respondents | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 0 responses.

17c. Thinking about your childcare needs (6-14 years), is there a kind of childcare you need for your children but cannot get?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Part time (0-5hrs/day) | 2 | $52.6 \%$ |
| Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 1 | $23.7 \%$ |
| Non traditional hours (weekends, holidays, <br> evenings) | 1 | $23.7 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 4 respondents offering 4 responses.

## 18. Where is childcare needed?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Near home | 9 | $90.8 \%$ |
| At or near school | 1 | $9.2 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 10 respondents offering 10 responses.

## 19. Do you have children in San Luis Obispo County schools?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 98 | $72.2 \%$ |
| No | 38 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 20. Are they in:

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary School | 57 | $58.3 \%$ |
| High School | 44 | $44.7 \%$ |
| Middle School / Junior High | 34 | $34.3 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 98 respondents offering 135 responses.
21a. How often do you participate in your Elementary (K-5) child's educational and extra curricular activities?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Often | 39 | $68.6 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 13 | $22.4 \%$ |
| Never | 4 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

21b. How often do you participate in your Junior High / Middle School (6-8) child's educational and extra curricular activities?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Often | 19 | $58.0 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 13 | $38.7 \%$ |
| Never | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

21c. How often do you participate in your elementary High School (9-12) child's educational and extra curricular activities?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Often | 21 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 17 | $37.8 \%$ |
| Never | 4 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

22a. How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your Elementary (K-5) child's school?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very serious | 2 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat serious | 3 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Not at all serious | 47 | $82.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 5 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

22b. How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your Junior High / Middle School (6-8) child's school?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very serious | 2 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat serious | 10 | $30.6 \%$ |
| Not at all serious | 15 | $43.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 7 | $20.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

22c. How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your High School (9-12) child's school?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very serious | 11 | $24.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat serious | 19 | $44.5 \%$ |
| Not at all serious | 8 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 6 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

23a. Do(es) your child or children have enough activities after school and on weekends, including recreational and cultural activities? Elementary (K-5)

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 48 | $84.3 \%$ |
| No | 7 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

23b. Do(es) your child or children have enough activities after school and on weekends, including recreational and cultural activities? Junior High / Middle School(6-8)

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 26 | $78.7 \%$ |
| No | 6 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

23c. Do(es) your child or children have enough activities after school and on weekends, including recreational and cultural activities? High School (9-12)

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 32 | $72.4 \%$ |
| No | 12 | $27.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

24a. Are you aware of any efforts at your child's school to provide healthier food alternatives and increased physical activity? Elementary (K-5)

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 43 | $75.3 \%$ |
| No | 12 | $21.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

24b. Are you aware of any efforts at your child's school to provide healthier food alternatives and increased physical activity? Junior High / Middle School (6-8)

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 24 | $70.6 \%$ |
| No | 6 | $16.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 4 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

24c. Are you aware of any efforts at your child's school to provide healthier food alternatives and increased physical activity? High School (9-12)

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 26 | $59.4 \%$ |
| No | 15 | $33.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

25. Is your child currently taking any visual, performing, or literary arts classes at school?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 60 | $60.8 \%$ |
| No | 27 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 4 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Refused | 7 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

26. Why is your child not currently taking any visual, performing, or literary arts classes at school?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| School does not offer such classes | 10 | $37.1 \%$ |
| No interest / not like what is offered | 7 | $26.6 \%$ |
| Too busy / no time available | 2 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Other | 2 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 6 | $21.6 \%$ |

[^14]27. Please tell me if each of the following items is a "Major Problem," a "Minor Problem," or "Not a Problem" for you personally:

| Response | Major | Minor | Not a <br> Problem | Don't know | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Housing | 27 | 13 | 212 | 0 | 252 |
|  | $10.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 2. Transportation | 16 | 23 | 212 | 1 | 252 |
|  | $6.5 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 3. Loneliness | 15 | 26 | 205 | 6 | 252 |
|  | $6.0 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 4. Not Having Enough Money | 32 | 60 | 157 | 3 | 252 |
|  | $12.7 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 5. Taking Care of Yourself <br> Physically | 14 | 41 | 195 | 2 | 252 |
|  | $5.6 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 6. Getting Prescription Drugs | 11 | 30 | 210 | 1 | 252 |
|  | $4.4 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 7. Dental Care | 36 | 33 | 182 | 1 | 252 |
|  | $14.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 8. Eating Nutritious Food | 7 | 26 | 218 | 1 | 252 |
|  | $2.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 9. In-home Care | 8 | 13 | 224 | 7 | 252 |
|  | $3.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

28. Do you or does anyone in your household, have a permanent physical or mental impairment that substantially limits a major life activity, such as bathing, dressing, stair climbing, shopping, or managing one's money?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 60 | $11.9 \%$ |
| No | 440 | $87.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

29. Who helps that person perform these activities?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Relative / family member | 41 | $68.0 \%$ |
| Someone from a private or non-profit <br> organization | 7 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Neighbor / friend / church member | 3 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Someone from a government agency | 1 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $1.7 \%$ |
| I don't need anyone to help me | 11 | $17.6 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 60 respondents offering 63 responses.
30. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the care giver to a disabled child, disabled adult or older person?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 42 | $8.3 \%$ |
| No | 460 | $91.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## 31. Is that a...

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Disabled adult | 22 | $53.6 \%$ |
| Older person | 11 | $26.6 \%$ |
| Disabled child | 11 | $26.3 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 42 respondents offering 45 responses.

## 32. Do you feel you are better off this year than last year economically?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 161 | $32.2 \%$ |
| No | 113 | $22.6 \%$ |
| About the same | 224 | $44.6 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

33. Is the amount of money you use to pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity ...

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than one-third of your income | 205 | $40.8 \%$ |
| Between one-third and one-half of your <br> income | 164 | $32.7 \%$ |
| More than one-half of your income | 84 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 41 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Refused | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

34. In any given month during the past year, did you not have enough money to pay for basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 64 | $12.7 \%$ |
| No | 436 | $86.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

35. What do you go without?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Health Care | 33 | $52.1 \%$ |
| Food | 24 | $38.3 \%$ |
| Clothing | 23 | $35.6 \%$ |
| Childcare | 7 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Housing | 6 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $4.6 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 64 respondents offering 106 responses.
36. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 50 | $9.9 \%$ |
| No | 451 | $89.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

37. Have you ever felt the need to discuss problems or situations with a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 32 | $6.3 \%$ |
| No | 469 | $93.4 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

38. Do you have health insurance?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 444 | $88.3 \%$ |
| No | 57 | $11.4 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $100.0 \%$ |

39. Why don't you have health insurance?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Too expensive / can't afford it | 33 | $57.9 \%$ |
| Employer does not offer health insurance | 8 | $14.4 \%$ |
| Covered by Medi-Cal / Medicare / VA | 7 | $11.4 \%$ |
| Don't need / want insurance | 5 | $8.6 \%$ |
| In between jobs now | 4 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Self employed | 3 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Other | 3 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $3.5 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 57 respondents offering 65 responses.

## 40. Does that include insurance through:

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Your employer or spouse's employer | 255 | $57.5 \%$ |
| State or Federal program (such as Medi-Cal <br> or MediCare) | 141 | $31.7 \%$ |
| Private insurance you purchased on your own | 126 | $28.4 \%$ |
| Other | 15 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 444 respondents offering 539 responses.

## 41. Does it cover:

| Response | Yes | No | Don't know | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Vision care | 287 | 140 | 17 | 444 |
|  | $64.7 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 2. Dental care | 281 | 155 | 7 | 444 |
|  | $63.4 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 3. Mental health benefits | 238 | 55 | 151 | 444 |
|  | $53.7 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 4. Substance abuse treatment | 161 | 56 | 226 | 444 |
|  | $36.2 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 5. Prescriptions | 404 | 33 | 6 | 444 |
|  | $91.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 6. Dependent spouse and <br> children | 280 | 116 | 47 | 444 |
|  | $63.2 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

42. Are you enrolled in Medicare?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 121 | $95.5 \%$ |
| No | 6 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

43a. Have you enrolled in Part D, the new Prescription Drug Plan?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 45 | $36.9 \%$ |
| No | 69 | $57.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{7}$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

43b. Why haven't you enrolled in Part D?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Have alternative coverage / insurance | 45 | $66.3 \%$ |
| Don't need it (unspecified reason) | 9 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Advised by insurance company that don't <br> need it | 6 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Pay for it ourselves | 2 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Other | 6 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

44. Has Part D saved you money buying your drugs?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 31 | $69.6 \%$ |
| No | 9 | $19.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 5 | $10.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

45. Is there a place you USUALLY go when you are sick or need advice about your health?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 446 | $88.9 \%$ |
| No | 48 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 7 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

46a. Do you usually go to a:

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Private doctor | 356 | $79.8 \%$ |
| Clinic | 62 | $13.8 \%$ |
| A different place each time | 16 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Emergency room at a hospital | 8 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## 46b. How satisfied are you with your medical care?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very satisfied | 277 | $62.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat satisfied | 147 | $33.0 \%$ |
| Not at all satisfied | 13 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 8 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

47. What is the ONE main reason you don't have a usual source of health care?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Don't want or need | 19 | $40.0 \%$ |
| Cost of medical care | 11 | $23.5 \%$ |
| No insurance or lost insurance | 4 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Provider didn't accept insurance or insurance <br> problem | 1 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Other reason | 12 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

48. In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen a medical doctor?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Never | 66 | $13.2 \%$ |
| 1 time | 93 | $18.4 \%$ |
| 2 times | 86 | $17.1 \%$ |
| 3 times | 67 | $13.3 \%$ |
| 4 times | 47 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 5 times | 31 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 6 times | 26 | $5.1 \%$ |
| $7-12$ times | 49 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Over 12 times | 27 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 9 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

49. In the past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months, has anyone in your household needed urgent medical care?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 166 | $33.0 \%$ |
| No | 334 | $66.6 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

50. At that time, did the person go to his or her usual source of health care, or some other place to get medical care?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Usual source of medical care | 56 | $33.7 \%$ |
| Some other place | 107 | $64.6 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

51a. When seeking urgent medical care, how long was it until this person saw a doctor or other medical professional?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 4 hours | 126 | $75.8 \%$ |
| More than 4 hours but the same day | 18 | $10.9 \%$ |
| The next day | 8 | $4.9 \%$ |
| After the next day | 10 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

51b. Was the length of time that it took to see a doctor or other medical professional acceptable or not acceptable?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Acceptable | 144 | $86.6 \%$ |
| Not acceptable / too long | 21 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | 166 | $100.0 \%$ |

52. During the past 12 months, how many times has your child seen any kind of medical doctor?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Never | 3 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 1 time | 21 | $22.7 \%$ |
| 2 times | 20 | $21.1 \%$ |
| 3 times | 14 | $15.2 \%$ |
| 4 times | 10 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 5 times | 4 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 6 times | 7 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 7 times and over | 7 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 7 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Total | 94 | $100.0 \%$ |

53. Have you ever heard of any government-sponsored programs that offer low-cost health insurance specifically for kids?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 66 | $70.1 \%$ |
| No | 28 | $29.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

54. Do you have a regular source of dental care?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 395 | $78.7 \%$ |
| No | 106 | $21.1 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

55. How long has it been since you last visited a dentist for a routine check up?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | 366 | $72.9 \%$ |
| $1-2$ years | 67 | $13.3 \%$ |
| 3-5 years | 35 | $7.0 \%$ |
| More than 5 years ago | 31 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Never | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

56. How long has it been since any of your children visited a dentist for a routine check up?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | 89 | $81.0 \%$ |
| 1-2 years | 3 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 3-5 years | 2 | $1.8 \%$ |
| More than 5 years ago | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Never | 13 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

57. Within the past week, did you participate in any physical activities, such as brisk walking and gardening, or any exercise, or sports?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 349 | $78.3 \%$ |
| No | 97 | $21.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

58. How many times during the past week did you participate in these physical activities, exercise, or sports?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 34 | $9.8 \%$ |
| 2 | 61 | $17.3 \%$ |
| 3 | 87 | $25.0 \%$ |
| 4 | 38 | $10.8 \%$ |
| 5 | 46 | $13.2 \%$ |
| 6 | 10 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 7 | 64 | $18.4 \%$ |
| Over 7 | 5 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

59. Do you eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 185 | $41.6 \%$ |
| No | 257 | $57.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

60. Within the past week, did your child participate in any physical activities, or any exercise, such as Physical Education class, recess, or active play, or sports?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 83 | $88.1 \%$ |
| No | 10 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

61. How many times during the past week did your child participate in these physical activities, exercise, and sports?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2 | 6 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 3 | 17 | $19.9 \%$ |
| 4 | 10 | $12.2 \%$ |
| 5 | 12 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 6 | 7 | $8.4 \%$ |
| 7 | 22 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Over 7 | 6 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

62. How concerned are you about helping your child to eat healthy, would you say you are . . . ?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | 72 | $75.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat concerned | 16 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Not very concerned | 5 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

63. Does your child eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 37 | $38.9 \%$ |
| No | 55 | $58.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $100.0 \%$ |

64. Within the past week, did your teen participate in any physical activities, or any exercise, such as Physical Education class, recess, or active play, or sports?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 56 | $83.5 \%$ |
| No | 11 | $16.5 \%$ |
| Total | 67 | $100.0 \%$ |

65. How many times during the past week did your teen participate in these physical activities, exercise, and sports?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 4 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 3 | 8 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 4 | 8 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 15 | $27.7 \%$ |
| 6 | 5 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 7 | 10 | $17.4 \%$ |
| Over 7 | 5 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | 56 | $100.0 \%$ |

66. Does your teen eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables every day?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 19 | $29.1 \%$ |
| No | 43 | $64.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 4 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

67. Do you smoke cigarettes everyday, some days, or not at all?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Everyday | 43 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Some days | 15 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Not at all | 443 | $88.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

68. In the past 12 months, have you quit smoking for $\mathbf{1}$ day or longer?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 36 | $61.9 \%$ |
| No | 22 | $38.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

68a. In the past 12 months, have you attended any class or participated in any program to help you stop smoking?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 7 | $11.9 \%$ |
| No | 51 | $88.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

69. In the past 30 days has anyone, including yourself, smoked cigarettes, cigars, or pipes anywhere inside your home?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 32 | $6.3 \%$ |
| No | 469 | $93.5 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

70. Have there been any non-smokers present in your home while someone was smoking?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 21 | $66.6 \%$ |
| No | 11 | $33.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

71. Do you currently use smokeless tobacco products, such as chewing tobacco or snuff?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 8 | $1.5 \%$ |
| No | 494 | $98.3 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

71a. Do you currently smoke cigars or a pipe?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 20 | $3.9 \%$ |
| No | 482 | $95.9 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

72. Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, in the past 30 days about how many times did you have 4 or more drinks on an occasion?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| None | 374 | $74.4 \%$ |
| $1-2$ | 74 | $14.8 \%$ |
| $3-5$ | 28 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 6 or more | 24 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

73. In general, would you say your physical health is ...

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 94 | $18.8 \%$ |
| Very good | 181 | $36.0 \%$ |
| Good | 150 | $29.8 \%$ |
| Fair | 53 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Poor | 23 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

74. Would you say, in general, your mental health, which includes stress, depression and problems with emotions, is . . .

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 141 | $28.2 \%$ |
| Very good | 179 | $35.7 \%$ |
| Good | 125 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Fair | 40 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Poor | 14 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

75. Have you, yourself, completed an Advance Health Care Directive or other legal health care document, such as a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care, Living Will, or Health Care Proxy?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 202 | $40.2 \%$ |
| No | 296 | $58.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Refused | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

76. Has it been updated within the past 5 years?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 150 | $74.4 \%$ |
| No | 49 | $24.1 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

77. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned" or "Not at All" concerned. (Total)

| Response | Very | Somewhat | Not at all | Don't know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Traffic congestion | 207 | 208 | 87 | 1 | 502 |
|  | 41.2\% | 41.3\% | 17.3\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 2. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 169 | 212 | 118 | 3 | 502 |
|  | 33.6\% | 42.2\% | 23.6\% | 0.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 3. Family violence | 155 | 190 | 146 | 11 | 502 |
|  | 31.0\% | 37.8\% | 29.0\% | 2.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 4. Child abuse | 241 | 179 | 72 | 10 | 502 |
|  | 47.9\% | 35.8\% | 14.3\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 5. Senior abuse | 165 | 192 | 131 | 14 | 502 |
|  | 32.9\% | 38.2\% | 26.1\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 6. Racism | 131 | 195 | 174 | 2 | 502 |
|  | 26.2\% | 38.8\% | 34.6\% | 0.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 7. Crime | 148 | 255 | 99 | 1 | 502 |
|  | 29.4\% | 50.7\% | 19.7\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 8. Homelessness | 174 | 238 | 85 | 5 | 502 |
|  | 34.6\% | 47.4\% | 16.9\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| 9. Jobs that pay enough to support a family | 288 | 151 | 60 | 4 | 502 |
|  | 57.3\% | 30.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 10. Gangs or teen violence | 172 | 207 | 123 | 0 | 502 |
|  | 34.2\% | 41.3\% | 24.4\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 11. Housing costs | 305 | 136 | 58 | 3 | 502 |
|  | 60.7\% | 27.1\% | 11.6\% | 0.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 12. Building in open space | 160 | 207 | 125 | 10 | 502 |
|  | 31.9\% | 41.3\% | 24.8\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 13. Loss of wildlife habitat | 185 | 207 | 105 | 5 | 502 |
|  | 36.8\% | 41.2\% | 21.0\% | 0.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 14. Water quality | 195 | 182 | 123 | 2 | 502 |
|  | 38.8\% | 36.3\% | 24.5\% | 0.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 15. Air pollution | 155 | 196 | 150 | 1 | 502 |
|  | 30.9\% | 39.1\% | 29.9\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 16. Local public schools | 163 | 188 | 135 | 17 | 502 |
|  | 32.4\% | 37.4\% | 26.8\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 17. Access to cultural opportunities | 69 | 225 | 190 | 17 | 502 |
|  | 13.8\% | 44.8\% | 37.9\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 18. Access to mental health services | 95 | 187 | 198 | 22 | 502 |
|  | 19.0\% | 37.3\% | 39.4\% | 4.3\% | 100.0\% |
| 19. Disaster planning | 143 | 254 | 97 | 8 | 502 |
|  | 28.5\% | 50.5\% | 19.4\% | 1.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 20. Pesticide use near homes and schools | 157 | 186 | 151 | 8 | 502 |
|  | 31.2\% | 37.1\% | 30.1\% | 1.6\% | 100.0\% |

78. Did you or any other member of your household contribute any money or other property to any charitable organization in 2005?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 404 | $80.5 \%$ |
| No | 85 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 12 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

79. Approximately how much money, or the cash equivalent of property, did you and the members of your household contribute to such organizations, in 2005?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than $\$ 50$ | 15 | $3.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 100$ | 34 | $8.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 101-\$ 500$ | 109 | $27.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 501-\$ 1000$ | 55 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Over $\$ 1000$ | 103 | $25.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 54 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Refused | 33 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

80. I am going to read you 9 types of organizations that people sometimes give money to and ask if you and other members of your household contributed to such organizations in 2005. Did you give to any organizations in the . . .

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Religion | 202 | $50.1 \%$ |
| Education, including schools | 184 | $45.4 \%$ |
| Human services | 159 | $39.3 \%$ |
| Environment and animals | 152 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Health | 130 | $32.2 \%$ |
| Foundations | 111 | $27.4 \%$ |
| Public society benefit which would include <br> community building | 105 | $26.1 \%$ |
| Arts, culture and humanities | 99 | $24.4 \%$ |
| International affairs | 46 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Don't know | 8 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Refused | 3 | $0.8 \%$ |

[^15]81. Have you done volunteer work for any organization in the past month?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 169 | $33.6 \%$ |
| No | 333 | $66.4 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

82. How many hours did you spend in the past month working for this or these organizations?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 5 hours | 50 | $29.8 \%$ |
| $6-10$ hours | 47 | $28.1 \%$ |
| $11-20$ hours | 38 | $22.6 \%$ |
| $21-30$ hours | 15 | $9.1 \%$ |
| $31-40$ hours | 6 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Over 40 hours | 8 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 83. Do you regularly donate blood?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 48 | $9.6 \%$ |
| No | 454 | $90.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

84a. In planning for a disaster, has your household stored three-days worth of emergency supplies?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 296 | $58.9 \%$ |
| No | 200 | $39.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 6 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

84b. In planning for a disaster, have you talked about where you meet and how you would communicate with each other?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 251 | $50.0 \%$ |
| No | 243 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Don't know | 8 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

85. Some people would need special assistance if they needed to evacuate their homes, possibly because they do not have a vehicle or are disabled. Is there anyone in your household who would need special assistance to evacuate in case of an emergency?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 43 | $8.5 \%$ |
| No | 456 | $90.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

86. Has that person made arrangements for someone to help evacuate in an emergency?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 18 | $43.2 \%$ |
| No | 24 | $56.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

87a. In the past 3 months, how many times have you visited a public library building in San Luis Obispo county?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Never | 262 | $52.3 \%$ |
| $1-2$ times | 98 | $19.4 \%$ |
| $3-5$ times | 74 | $14.7 \%$ |
| $6-10$ times | 34 | $6.8 \%$ |
| $11-25$ times | 29 | $5.8 \%$ |
| $26-50$ times | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

87b. In the past 3 months, have you used the Internet to access a county library database while you were outside of the library?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Never | 395 | $78.7 \%$ |
| $1-2$ times | 52 | $10.4 \%$ |
| $3-5$ times | 24 | $4.7 \%$ |
| $6-10$ times | 16 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $11-25$ times | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| $26-50$ times | 5 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Over 50 times | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

88. Do you go to local performing, visual, or literary art events or shows in San Luis Obispo County?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 304 | $60.6 \%$ |
| No | 197 | $39.3 \%$ |
| Refused | $\mathbf{1}$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

89. Do you donate your time or money to local performing, visual or literary arts organizations or events?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 107 | $21.2 \%$ |
| No | 393 | $78.3 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

90. If no, why not?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No interest / don't like organizations | 103 | $26.4 \%$ |
| Too busy / no time available | 94 | $23.9 \%$ |
| No money available to donate | 59 | $15.1 \%$ |
| Don't hear about them / Don't know who they <br> are | 37 | $9.5 \%$ |
| They never asked | 33 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Too far away / too far to travel | 16 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Donate to other organizations | 15 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Age / health reasons | 11 | $2.9 \%$ |
| No way to get to them | 9 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Other | 34 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 29 | $7.5 \%$ |
| Refused | 4 | $1.0 \%$ |

[^16]91. In the past three months, how many times have you visited any outdoor recreation facility in San Luis Obispo County such as a park, trail, or beach?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| None | 68 | $13.6 \%$ |
| $1-2$ | 79 | $15.7 \%$ |
| $3-5$ | 125 | $24.9 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | 79 | $15.7 \%$ |
| $11-25$ | 85 | $16.9 \%$ |
| $26-50$ | 33 | $6.5 \%$ |
| More than 50 | 29 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

92. How would you rate our county in the following areas:

| Response | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Parks \& recreation opportunities | 170 | 179 | 116 | 23 | 5 | 10 | 502 |
|  | 33.9\% | 35.6\% | 23.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 2. Public transportation services | 37 | 89 | 122 | 68 | 58 | 129 | 502 |
|  | 7.3\% | 17.6\% | 24.3\% | 13.6\% | 11.5\% | 25.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 3. Library services | 78 | 140 | 158 | 39 | 8 | 79 | 502 |
|  | 15.5\% | 27.8\% | 31.5\% | 7.9\% | 1.6\% | 15.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 4. Public safety, which includes the Sheriff, District Attorney, Probation and Fire Departments | 89 | 191 | 144 | 32 | 11 | 36 | 502 |
|  | 17.7\% | 38.0\% | 28.7\% | 6.3\% | 2.2\% | 7.1\% | 100.0\% |
| 5. Welfare and Social Services | 31 | 69 | 113 | 53 | 19 | 217 | 502 |
|  | 6.1\% | 13.7\% | 22.4\% | 10.6\% | 3.8\% | 43.3\% | 100.0\% |
| 6. Public Health Services | 27 | 84 | 142 | 62 | 23 | 165 | 502 |
|  | 5.4\% | 16.8\% | 28.2\% | 12.3\% | 4.5\% | 32.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 7. Roads | 10 | 60 | 196 | 136 | 94 | 6 | 502 |
|  | 2.0\% | 12.0\% | 39.1\% | 27.0\% | 18.8\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| 8. Land use and zoning | 7 | 49 | 158 | 155 | 58 | 75 | 502 |
|  | 1.4\% | 9.7\% | 31.5\% | 30.8\% | 11.5\% | 15.0\% | 100.0\% |

93a. Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by San Luis Obispo County government?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 34 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Very Good | 115 | $22.9 \%$ |
| Good | 208 | $41.4 \%$ |
| Fair | 85 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Poor | 20 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 39 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

93b. Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by your city government?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 27 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Very Good | 72 | $23.0 \%$ |
| Good | 126 | $40.6 \%$ |
| Fair | 54 | $17.4 \%$ |
| Poor | 17 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Don't know | 16 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 94. In general, where do you get most of your information about local community

 issues and news?| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Newspapers / Tribune | 360 | $71.6 \%$ |
| TV / cable news programs / KSBY / Charter | 241 | $48.0 \%$ |
| Family / friends / talking to other people | 66 | $13.2 \%$ |
| Radio | 63 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Internet / email / blogs | 61 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Newsletters | 19 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Meetings | 12 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Magazines | 9 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Other | 25 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |

[^17]95. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 401 | $79.9 \%$ |
| Latino | 40 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | 24 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Asian | 15 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Native American | 10 | $2.1 \%$ |
| African American | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Refused | 8 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

96. How many wage earners, age 18 and over, live in your household?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| None | 146 | $29.2 \%$ |
| One | 153 | $30.4 \%$ |
| Two | 162 | $32.2 \%$ |
| Three | 33 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Four | 5 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Over 5 | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

97. Which income range best describes your household income?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than $\$ 15,000$ per year | 39 | $7.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 15,000-\$ 25,000$ per year | 54 | $10.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 35,000$ per year | 35 | $6.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 35,000-\$ 45,000$ per year | 41 | $8.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 45,000-\$ 65,000$ per year | 79 | $15.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 65,000-\$ 80,000$ per year | 54 | $10.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 80,000-\$ 100,00$ per year | 48 | $9.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,00-\$ 125,000$ per year | 32 | $6.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 125,000-\$ 150,000$ per year | 15 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Over $\$ 150,000$ per year | 27 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 12 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Refused | 65 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Total | 502 | $100.0 \%$ |

98. Excluding Social Security retirement checks, are you, or is anyone in your household, now receiving regular payments or benefits from any government program?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 105 | $20.8 \%$ |
| No | 393 | $78.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 4 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## 99. What government programs are those payments or benefits from?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Medicare | 59 | $60.2 \%$ |
| Social Security disability payments (for adult <br> or child with a disability) | 18 | $18.4 \%$ |
| Medi-Cal | 14 | $14.3 \%$ |
| Section 8 Housing, rent assistance | 11 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Food stamps | 7 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Military / Government Pension | 6 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Veteran's benefits | 4 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Social Security survivors payments (payments <br> to family members when a worker dies) | 3 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Women Infants and Children (WIC) | 3 | $3.1 \%$ |
| CalWORKS, Temporary Assistance for Needy <br> Families (TANF) | 2 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Supplemental Security Income | 2 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Other | 5 | $5.1 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 98 respondents offering 135 responses.
100. What is your highest level of education?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary School | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Junior High School | 12 | $2.4 \%$ |
| High School | 94 | $18.7 \%$ |
| Vocational / Trade School | 17 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Community College / Junior College | 136 | $27.2 \%$ |
| Four-year College | 129 | $25.7 \%$ |
| Graduate School | 105 | $21.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## 101. Do you own or rent your primary residence?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Own | 357 | $71.1 \%$ |
| Rent | 134 | $26.8 \%$ |
| Neither | 8 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 102. What is your employment status?

| Response | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Employed full-time | 171 | $34.1 \%$ |
| Retired | 166 | $33.0 \%$ |
| Employed part - time | 53 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Self-employed | 49 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 32 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Homemaker | 31 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Disabled | 22 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Student | 15 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |

Multiple response question with 502 respondents offering 541 responses.
103. Does your employer try to help employees with healthier eating and physical activity, which might include providing stress management classes, subsidizing health club memberships, or paying for weight reduction programs?

| Response | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes, help employees with healthier eating and <br> physical activity | 80 | $46.8 \%$ |
| No | 87 | $50.9 \%$ |
| Don't know | 3 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Refused | 1 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total | 171 | $100.0 \%$ |

## 2006 ACTION Target Group Survey

## 7673010789

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTYWIDE SURVEY


Schools, government agencies, and non-profit organizations throughout San Luis Obispo County are conducting a survey of the quality of life of County residents. Your opinions are crucial to help develop plans for the community during the next few years. Please take a few minutes to give us your opinions. Please, only adult residents of San Luis Obispo County should complete this survey. Just fill in the bubble next to your answer or answers.


1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concemed," "Somewhat Concemed" or "Not at All Concemed".
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { Concerned }\end{array} & \text { Somewhat } \\ \text { Concerned }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Not at all } \\ \text { Concerned }\end{array}\right]$
2. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?
O Very safe O Somewhat safe O Not at all safe
3. Do you, or does anyone in your household, have a permanent or chronic physical or mental medical condition that substantially limits a major life activity, such as bathing, dressing, stair climbing, shopping, or managing one's money?

0 Yes Continue with question 4
O No skip to question 5
4. Who helps that person perform these activities? (Mark all that apply)
O Relativeffamily member
O Neighbor/friend/church member
O Someone from a government agency O Someone from a private or non-profit organization O Other (write in) $\qquad$
5. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the

| caregiver to a...? | No | Yes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5a. Disabled child | O | O |
| 5b Disabled adult | O | O |
| 5c. Older person (over $\mathbf{6 0}$ years of age) | O | O |

6. Is the amount you pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity . . ?

O Less than one-third of your income
O Between one-third and one-half of your income
O More than one-half of your income
7. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?

0 Yes continue with question $7 a$ and 8
O No skip to question 9
7a. If yes, what do you go without?(Mark all that apply)
O Food
O Childcare
O Health care
O Clothing
O Housing
8. For each of the basic needs you go without (prior question), why do you go without it?

|  | 8 . Food | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8b. Child } \\ & \text { care } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \mathrm{c} . \text { Health } \\ & \text { care } \end{aligned}$ | 8d. Clothing | 8e. Housing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - No money to pay for it | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Don't know where to get it | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - No transportation available to get to it | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Unable to travel to get it/home bound | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| - Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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How long has it been since you last visited a docto
for a routine check up?
O Within the past year O More than 5 years ago

| O 1-2 years |
| :--- |
| O 3-5 years |

10. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?
OYes ONo
11. Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so? OYes ONo
12. Do you have health insurance?

O Yes Skip to question 13
O No Continue with question 12a
12a. If no, why not?
O Too expensive/can't afford it
O Employer does not offer health insurance
O Covered by MediCal/Medicare $/ \mathrm{N} A$
O Other (wite in) $\qquad$

13. In general, would you say your physical health is?
O Excellent O Very good O Good O Fair O Poor

IF YOU ARE LESS THAN 55 YEARS OLD, PLEASE SKIP DIRECTLY TO QUESTION 16.
14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate if each of the following items is a "Major Problem," a "Minor Problem," or "Not a Problem" for you personally:

|  | Major <br> Problem | Minor <br> Problem | Mot a <br> Problem |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Transportation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Loneliness | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e. Taking Care of Yourself |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Physically | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| g. Dental Care | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| i. In-Home Care | 0 | 0 | 0 |

15. If you are 65 or older, are you enrolled in Medicare?

0 Yes Continue with question 15a
O No skip to question 16
O I'm not 65 years or older Skip to question 16

15a. Have you enrolled in Medicare Part D, the new Prescription Drug Plan?
O Yes skip to question 15c
ONo continue with question 15b
15b. Why haven't you enrolled in Part D?

## Continue with Question 16

15c. Has Part D saved you money buying your drugs?

> OYes ONo

15d. Are you satisfied with Part D coverage?
OYes ONo
16. What city or town do you live in or live closest to?

17. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?

| O Caucasian/white | O Native American |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Latino/Hispanic | O African American |
| O Asian | O Other | O Asian O Other O Multi-racial

18. Including yourself, how many people live in your household, and what are their relationships to you?

Total number people living in household: $\qquad$
Number who are family
Number who are friends $\qquad$ Number tho are friend
$\qquad$
Number who are others $\qquad$

19. How many wage eamers, age 18 and over, live in your household?

Number of wage earners $\qquad$

20. Are you currently homeless? O Yes ONo
21. Is there a working telephone where you live?

OYes ONo
22. Are you .. ? O Male O Female
23. How old are you? Age: $\qquad$

24. What language do you use most?

O English OOther
O Spanish 24a. If you mostly speak Spanish, are you also fluent in English?
OYes ONo

## 2006 ACTION Target Group Survey Results

Overall and by Agency
Percentage of Respondents, by Agency

| Agency | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AIDS Support Network | $1.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Community Health Centers | $4.0 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| County Drug and Alcohol | $2.9 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| County Mental Health | $3.8 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| In-Home Supportive Services | $10.7 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Life Steps | $4.3 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Senior Legal Services | $1.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Senior Peer Counseling | $0.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Transitions-Mental Health Association | $9.5 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Victim Witness Elder Abuse | $0.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| El Camino Homeless Organization | $5.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| EOC Homeless Case Management | $12.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Prado Day Center | $7.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Maxine Lewis Memorial Shelter | $10.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Head Start/Early Head Start | $6.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ |
| State Preschool Programs | $4.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| Migrant/Seasonal Head Start | $3.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Ombudsman | $9.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| Teen Academic Parenting Program | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? (Total)

|  | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not at all concerned | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Traffic congestion | 175 | 264 | 175 | 614 |
|  | 28.5\% | 43.0\% | 28.5\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 310 | 183 | 128 | 621 |
|  | 49.9\% | 29.5\% | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Family violence | 332 | 158 | 128 | 618 |
|  | 53.7\% | 25.6\% | 20.7\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Child abuse | 382 | 121 | 115 | 618 |
|  | 61.8\% | 19.6\% | 18.6\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Senior abuse | 352 | 141 | 119 | 612 |
|  | 57.5\% | 23.0\% | 19.4\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Racism | 293 | 173 | 148 | 614 |
|  | 47.7\% | 28.2\% | 24.1\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Crime | 354 | 149 | 107 | 610 |
|  | 58.0\% | 24.4\% | 17.5\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Homelessness | 400 | 141 | 76 | 617 |
|  | 64.8\% | 22.9\% | 12.3\% | 100.0\% |
| i. Employment opportunities | 343 | 165 | 109 | 617 |
|  | 55.6\% | 26.7\% | 17.7\% | 100.0\% |
| j. Gangs or teen violence | 331 | 169 | 118 | 618 |
|  | 53.6\% | 27.3\% | 19.1\% | 100.0\% |
| k. Housing costs | 461 | 100 | 57 | 618 |
|  | 74.6\% | 16.2\% | 9.2\% | 100.0\% |
| I. Building in open space | 202 | 215 | 194 | 611 |
|  | 33.1\% | 35.2\% | 31.8\% | 100.0\% |
| m. Loss of wildlife habitat | 256 | 191 | 166 | 613 |
|  | 41.8\% | 31.2\% | 27.1\% | 100.0\% |
| n. Water quality | 349 | 172 | 93 | 614 |
|  | 56.8\% | 28.0\% | 15.1\% | 100.0\% |
| o. Air pollution | 350 | 167 | 101 | 618 |
|  | 56.6\% | 27.0\% | 16.3\% | 100.0\% |
| p. Local public schools | 263 | 189 | 159 | 611 |
|  | 43.0\% | 30.9\% | 26.0\% | 100.0\% |
| q. Access to cultural opportunities | 142 | 255 | 206 | 603 |
|  | 23.5\% | 42.3\% | 34.2\% | 100.0\% |

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? (Dependent Adults)

|  | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not at all concerned | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Traffic congestion | 82 | 103 | 60 | 245 |
|  | 33.5\% | 42.0\% | 24.5\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 129 | 62 | 54 | 245 |
|  | 52.7\% | 25.3\% | 22.0\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Family violence | 128 | 69 | 48 | 245 |
|  | 52.2\% | 28.2\% | 19.6\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Child abuse | 148 | 50 | 46 | 244 |
|  | 60.7\% | 20.5\% | 18.9\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Senior abuse | 142 | 61 | 41 | 244 |
|  | 58.2\% | 25.0\% | 16.8\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Racism | 104 | 77 | 63 | 244 |
|  | 42.6\% | 31.6\% | 25.8\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Crime | 138 | 63 | 41 | 242 |
|  | 57.0\% | 26.0\% | 16.9\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Homelessness | 143 | 67 | 35 | 245 |
|  | 58.4\% | 27.3\% | 14.3\% | 100.0\% |
| i. Employment opportunities | 111 | 75 | 58 | 244 |
|  | 45.5\% | 30.7\% | 23.8\% | 100.0\% |
| j. Gangs or teen violence | 128 | 64 | 50 | 242 |
|  | 52.9\% | 26.4\% | 20.7\% | 100.0\% |
| k. Housing costs | 181 | 42 | 21 | 244 |
|  | 74.2\% | 17.2\% | 8.6\% | 100.0\% |
| I. Building in open space | 97 | 74 | 68 | 239 |
|  | 40.6\% | 31.0\% | 28.5\% | 100.0\% |
| m. Loss of wildlife habitat | 121 | 69 | 53 | 243 |
|  | 49.8\% | 28.4\% | 21.8\% | 100.0\% |
| n. Water quality | 147 | 65 | 29 | 241 |
|  | 61.0\% | 27.0\% | 12.0\% | 100.0\% |
| o. Air pollution | 146 | 63 | 35 | 244 |
|  | 59.8\% | 25.8\% | 14.3\% | 100.0\% |
| p. Local public schools | 94 | 77 | 71 | 242 |
|  | 38.8\% | 31.8\% | 29.3\% | 100.0\% |
| q. Access to cultural opportunities | 57 | 105 | 79 | 241 |
|  | 23.7\% | 43.6\% | 32.8\% | 100.0\% |

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? (Homeless)

|  | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not at all concerned | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Traffic congestion | 66 | 82 | 63 | 211 |
|  | 31.3\% | 38.9\% | 29.9\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 102 | 72 | 45 | 219 |
|  | 46.6\% | 32.9\% | 20.5\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Family violence | 121 | 51 | 44 | 216 |
|  | 56.0\% | 23.6\% | 20.4\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Child abuse | 137 | 43 | 36 | 216 |
|  | 63.4\% | 19.9\% | 16.7\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Senior abuse | 122 | 51 | 40 | 213 |
|  | 57.3\% | 23.9\% | 18.8\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Racism | 104 | 62 | 48 | 214 |
|  | 48.6\% | 29.0\% | 22.4\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Crime | 114 | 64 | 36 | 214 |
|  | 53.3\% | 29.9\% | 16.8\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Homelessness | 173 | 32 | 10 | 215 |
|  | 80.5\% | 14.9\% | 4.7\% | 100.0\% |
| i. Employment opportunities | 131 | 56 | 26 | 213 |
|  | 61.5\% | 26.3\% | 12.2\% | 100.0\% |
| j. Gangs or teen violence | 101 | 73 | 43 | 217 |
|  | 46.5\% | 33.6\% | 19.8\% | 100.0\% |
| k. Housing costs | 168 | 34 | 14 | 216 |
|  | 77.8\% | 15.7\% | 6.5\% | 100.0\% |
| I. Building in open space | 71 | 71 | 72 | 214 |
|  | 33.2\% | 33.2\% | 33.6\% | 100.0\% |
| m. Loss of wildlife habitat | 97 | 61 | 55 | 213 |
|  | 45.5\% | 28.6\% | 25.8\% | 100.0\% |
| n. Water quality | 114 | 71 | 31 | 216 |
|  | 52.8\% | 32.9\% | 14.4\% | 100.0\% |
| o. Air pollution | 109 | 74 | 33 | 216 |
|  | 50.5\% | 34.3\% | 15.3\% | 100.0\% |
| p. Local public schools | 91 | 63 | 58 | 212 |
|  | 42.9\% | 29.7\% | 27.4\% | 100.0\% |
| q. Access to cultural opportunities | 59 | 75 | 73 | 207 |
|  | 28.5\% | 36.2\% | 35.3\% | 100.0\% |

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? (Spanish speaking)

|  | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not at all concerned | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Traffic congestion | 27 | 79 | 52 | 158 |
|  | 17.1\% | 50.0\% | 32.9\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 79 | 49 | 29 | 157 |
|  | 50.3\% | 31.2\% | 18.5\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Family violence | 83 | 38 | 36 | 157 |
|  | 52.9\% | 24.2\% | 22.9\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Child abuse | 97 | 28 | 33 | 158 |
|  | 61.4\% | 17.7\% | 20.9\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Senior abuse | 88 | 29 | 38 | 155 |
|  | 56.8\% | 18.7\% | 24.5\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Racism | 85 | 34 | 37 | 156 |
|  | 54.5\% | 21.8\% | 23.7\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Crime | 102 | 22 | 30 | 154 |
|  | 66.2\% | 14.3\% | 19.5\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Homelessness | 84 | 42 | 31 | 157 |
|  | 53.5\% | 26.8\% | 19.7\% | 100.0\% |
| i. Employment opportunities | 101 | 34 | 25 | 160 |
|  | 63.1\% | 21.3\% | 15.6\% | 100.0\% |
| j. Gangs or teen violence | 102 | 32 | 25 | 159 |
|  | 64.2\% | 20.1\% | 15.7\% | 100.0\% |
| k. Housing costs | 112 | 24 | 22 | 158 |
|  | 70.9\% | 15.2\% | 13.9\% | 100.0\% |
| I. Building in open space | 34 | 70 | 54 | 158 |
|  | 21.5\% | 44.3\% | 34.2\% | 100.0\% |
| m. Loss of wildlife habitat | 38 | 61 | 58 | 157 |
|  | 24.2\% | 38.9\% | 36.9\% | 100.0\% |
| n. Water quality | 88 | 36 | 33 | 157 |
|  | 56.1\% | 22.9\% | 21.0\% | 100.0\% |
| o. Air pollution | 95 | 30 | 33 | 158 |
|  | 60.1\% | 19.0\% | 20.9\% | 100.0\% |
| p. Local public schools | 78 | 49 | 30 | 157 |
|  | 49.7\% | 31.2\% | 19.1\% | 100.0\% |
| q. Access to cultural opportunities | 26 | 75 | 54 | 155 |
|  | 16.8\% | 48.4\% | 34.8\% | 100.0\% |

2. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $42.1 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | $48.2 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $9.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ |

3. Do you, or does anyone in your household, have a permanent or chronic physical or mental medical condition that substantially limits a major life activity, such as bathing, dressing, stair climbing, shopping, or managing one's money?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $38.5 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| No | $61.5 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |

## 4. Who helps that person perform these activities?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Relative/family member | $50.0 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| Someone from a government agency | $29.4 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ |
| Someone from a private or nonprofit <br> organization | $13.2 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Neighbor/friend/church member | $11.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Other | $14.9 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |

5. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the caregiver to a . . ? (Total)

| Response | No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5a. Disabled child | 520 | 34 | $\mathbf{5 5 4}$ |
|  | $93.9 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5b. Disabled adult | 481 | 78 | $\mathbf{5 5 9}$ |
|  | $86.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |
| 5c. Older person (over 60 years of age) | 491 | 54 | $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ |
|  | $90.1 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

5. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the caregiver to a . . . ? (Dependent Adults)

| Response | No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5a. Disabled child | 203 | 11 | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ |
|  | $94.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5b. Disabled adult | 184 | 47 | $\mathbf{2 3 1}$ |
|  | $79.7 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5c. Older person (over 60 years of age) | 195 | 25 | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ |
|  | $88.6 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

5. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the caregiver to a . . ? (Homeless)

| Response | No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5a. Disabled child | 189 | 7 | $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ |
|  | $96.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5b. Disabled adult | 172 | 23 | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ |
|  | $88.2 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5c. Older person (over 60 years of age) | 176 | 13 | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ |
|  | $93.1 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

5. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the caregiver to a . . . ? (Spanish speaking)

| Response | No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5a. Disabled child | 128 | 16 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ |
|  | $88.9 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |
| 5b. Disabled adult | 125 | 8 | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ |
|  | $94.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5c. Older person (over 60 years of age) | 120 | 16 | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ |
|  | $88.2 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

6. Is the amount you pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity..?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than one-third of your income | $24.5 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Between one-third and one-half of your <br> income | $33.0 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ |
| More than one-half of your income | $42.5 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ |

7. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $52.0 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ |
| No | $48.0 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |

7a. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Food | $63.0 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| Childcare | $20.2 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ |
| Health care | $53.2 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |
| Clothing | $50.8 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ |
| Housing | $47.1 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ |

8a. Why do you go without food?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No money to pay for it | $96.4 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Don't know where to get it | $10.3 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| No transportation available to get to it | $16.0 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Unable to travel to get it/home bound | $9.3 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Other | $6.2 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ |

8b. Why do you go without childcare?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No money to pay for it | $89.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ |
| Don't know where to get it | $13.8 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| No transportation available to get to it | $10.3 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Unable to travel to get it/home bound | $8.6 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Other | $6.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## 8c. Why do you go without health care?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No money to pay for it | $89.1 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ |
| Don't know where to get it | $12.8 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| No transportation available to get to it | $13.5 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Unable to travel to get it/home bound | $3.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | $11.5 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |

## 8d. Why do you go without clothing?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No money to pay for it | $94.6 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ |
| Don't know where to get it | $10.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| No transportation available to get to it | $11.6 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unable to travel to get it/home bound | $8.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | $6.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |

## 8e. Why do you go without housing?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No money to pay for it | $95.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ |
| Don't know where to get it | $23.6 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| No transportation available to get to it | $12.9 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unable to travel to get it/home bound | $5.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | $9.3 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |

9. How long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine check up?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | $69.1 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ |
| 1-2 years | $16.6 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ |
| $3-5$ years | $6.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| More than 5 years ago | $6.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Never | $1.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ |

10. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $35.1 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| No | $64.9 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |

11. Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $35.5 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ |
| No | $64.5 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ |

12. Do you have health insurance?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $40.3 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| No | $59.7 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ |

12a. Why don't you have health insurance?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Too expensive/can't afford it | $46.5 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ |
| Covered by Medi-Cal/Medicare/VA | $36.1 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
| Employer does not offer health insurance | $16.0 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Other | $9.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ |

13. In general, would you say your physical health is?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $8.3 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Very good | $16.7 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Good | $36.3 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ |
| Fair | $24.6 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| Poor | $14.2 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |

14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate in each of the following items if it is a "Major Problem", a "Minor Problem", or "Not a Problem" for you personally: (Total)

|  | Major problem | Minor problem | Not a problem | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Housing | 48 | 28 | 67 | 143 |
|  | 33.6\% | 19.6\% | 46.9\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Transportation | 51 | 41 | 49 | 141 |
|  | 36.2\% | 29.1\% | 34.8\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Loneliness | 36 | 46 | 59 | 141 |
|  | 25.5\% | 32.6\% | 41.8\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 79 | 38 | 27 | 144 |
|  | 54.9\% | 26.4\% | 18.8\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Taking Care Of Yourself Physically | 42 | 46 | 53 | 141 |
|  | 29.8\% | 32.6\% | 37.6\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 34 | 41 | 67 | 142 |
|  | 23.9\% | 28.9\% | 47.2\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Dental Care | 64 | 14 | 61 | 139 |
|  | 46.0\% | 10.1\% | 43.9\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 28 | 54 | 59 | 141 |
|  | 19.9\% | 38.3\% | 41.8\% | 100.0\% |
| i. In-Home Care | 24 | 42 | 69 | 135 |
|  | 17.8\% | 31.1\% | 51.1\% | 100.0\% |

14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate in each of the following items if it is a "Major Problem", a "Minor Problem", or "Not a Problem" for you personally: (Dependent Adults)

|  | Major <br> problem | Minor <br> problem | Not a <br> problem | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a. Housing | 19 | 19 | 62 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | $19.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| b. Transportation | 26 | 31 | 43 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | $26.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| c. Loneliness | 15 | 40 | 43 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
|  | $15.3 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 44 | 32 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | $44.0 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| e. Taking Care Of Yourself Physically | 34 | 26 | 38 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
|  | $34.7 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $38.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 19 | 27 | 53 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |
|  | $19.2 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| g. Dental Care | 42 | 8 | 48 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
|  | $42.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 14 | 33 | 51 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
|  | $14.3 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| i. In-Home Care | 18 | 30 | 50 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
|  | $18.4 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate in each of the following items if it is a "Major Problem", a "Minor Problem", or "Not a Problem" for you personally: (Homeless)

|  | Major <br> problem | Minor <br> problem | Not a <br> problem | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a. Housing | 28 | 1 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
|  | $87.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| b. Transportation | 14 | 9 | 6 | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |
|  | $48.3 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| c. Loneliness | 12 | 4 | 15 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
|  | $38.7 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 24 | 5 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
|  | $75.0 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| e. Taking Care Of Yourself Physically | 5 | 12 | 14 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
|  | $16.1 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 13 | 4 | 14 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
|  | $41.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| g. Dental Care | 16 | 2 | 12 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | $53.3 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 14 | 11 | 6 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
|  | $45.2 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| i. In-Home Care | 6 | 1 | 18 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
|  | $24.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate in each of the following items if it is a "Major Problem", a "Minor Problem", or "Not a Problem" for you personally: (Spanish speaking)

|  | Major <br> problem | Minor <br> problem | Not a <br> problem | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a. Housing | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
|  | $9.1 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| b. Transportation | 11 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $91.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| c. Loneliness | 9 | 2 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $75.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 11 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $91.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| e. Taking Care Of Yourself Physically | 3 | 8 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $25.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 2 | 10 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $16.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| g. Dental Care | 6 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
|  | $54.5 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 0 | 10 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $0.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| i. In-Home Care | 0 | 11 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  | $0.0 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

15. If you are $\mathbf{6 5}$ years or older, are you enrolled in Medicare?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $91.0 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| No | $9.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |

15a. Have you enrolled in Medicare Part D, the new Prescription Drug Plan?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $75.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| No | $25.0 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

15c. Has Part D saved you money buying your drugs?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $48.3 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| No | $51.7 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

## 15d. Are you satisfied with Part D coverage?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $54.2 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| No | $45.8 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

16. What city or town do you live in or live closest to?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | $9.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| Atascadero | $13.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| Avila Beach | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Cambria | $0.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Cayucos | $0.4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | $5.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| Los Osos | $2.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | $3.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Nipomo | $6.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| Oceano | $10.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | $12.9 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | $1.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo | $29.7 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| San Miguel | $0.9 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Santa Margarita | $0.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Shandon | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Shell Beach/Pismo Beach | $0.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Templeton | $1.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | 536 | 233 | 186 | 117 |

17. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian/white | $54.7 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Latino/Hispanic | $32.5 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $95.9 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $4.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Native American | $3.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| African American | $1.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | $3.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |

18a. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $36.8 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2 | $18.0 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| 3 | $11.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| 4 | $12.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $9.8 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| 6 | $5.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| Over 6 | $6.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ |

18b. How many are family?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $0.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 1 | $44.5 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| 2 | $17.9 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| 3 | $10.4 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $12.3 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $8.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| 6 | $3.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Over 6 | $2.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ |

18c. How many are friends?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $93.2 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ |
| 1 | $2.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| 2 | $2.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| 3 | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $0.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 5 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Over 6 | $0.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ |

18d. How many are other?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $90.5 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |
| 1 | $2.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2 | $1.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| 3 | $1.5 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $2.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $0.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Over 6 | $0.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ |

19. How many wage earners, age 18 and over, live in your household?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $41.8 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 1 | $30.1 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ |
| 2 | $17.7 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ |
| 3 | $6.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| 4 | $2.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| 6 | $0.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Over 6 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ |

20. Are you currently homeless?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $30.4 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| No | $69.6 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ |

## 21. Is there a working telephone where you live?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $79.8 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ |
| No | $20.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ |

22. Gender

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | $43.9 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| Female | $56.1 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ |
| Total | 567 | 238 | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ |

## 23. How old are you?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 to 24 years | $8.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 34 years | $19.1 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 44 years | $24.8 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| 45 to 54 years | $20.3 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | $7.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | $4.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | $4.9 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| 70 to 79 years | $5.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| 80 years and over | $5.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ |

## 24. What language do you use most?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English | $71.6 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Spanish | $28.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| Other | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ |

24a. If you mostly speak Spanish, are you also fluent in English?

| Response | Total | Dependent <br> Adults | Homeless | Spanish <br> speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $43.6 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
| No | $56.4 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |

## Telephone And Target Group Survey Comparisons

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? (Target Group)

|  | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not at all concerned | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Traffic congestion | 175 | 264 | 175 | 614 |
|  | 28.5\% | 43.0\% | 28.5\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 310 | 183 | 128 | 621 |
|  | 49.9\% | 29.5\% | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Family violence | 332 | 158 | 128 | 618 |
|  | 53.7\% | 25.6\% | 20.7\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Child abuse | 382 | 121 | 115 | 618 |
|  | 61.8\% | 19.6\% | 18.6\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Senior abuse | 352 | 141 | 119 | 612 |
|  | 57.5\% | 23.0\% | 19.4\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Racism | 293 | 173 | 148 | 614 |
|  | 47.7\% | 28.2\% | 24.1\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Crime | 354 | 149 | 107 | 610 |
|  | 58.0\% | 24.4\% | 17.5\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Homelessness | 400 | 141 | 76 | 617 |
|  | 64.8\% | 22.9\% | 12.3\% | 100.0\% |
| i. Employment opportunities | 343 | 165 | 109 | 617 |
|  | 55.6\% | 26.7\% | 17.7\% | 100.0\% |
| j. Gangs or teen violence | 331 | 169 | 118 | 618 |
|  | 53.6\% | 27.3\% | 19.1\% | 100.0\% |
| k. Housing costs | 461 | 100 | 57 | 618 |
|  | 74.6\% | 16.2\% | 9.2\% | 100.0\% |
| I. Building in open space | 202 | 215 | 194 | 611 |
|  | 33.1\% | 35.2\% | 31.8\% | 100.0\% |
| m. Loss of wildlife habitat | 256 | 191 | 166 | 613 |
|  | 41.8\% | 31.2\% | 27.1\% | 100.0\% |
| n. Water quality | 349 | 172 | 93 | 614 |
|  | 56.8\% | 28.0\% | 15.1\% | 100.0\% |
| o. Air pollution | 350 | 167 | 101 | 618 |
|  | 56.6\% | 27.0\% | 16.3\% | 100.0\% |
| p. Local public schools | 263 | 189 | 159 | 611 |
|  | 43.0\% | 30.9\% | 26.0\% | 100.0\% |
| q. Access to cultural opportunities | 142 | 255 | 206 | 603 |
|  | 23.5\% | 42.3\% | 34.2\% | 100.0\% |

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? (Phone)

|  | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not at all concerned | Don't know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Traffic congestion | 207 | 208 | 87 | 1 | 502 |
|  | 41.2\% | 41.3\% | 17.3\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| b. Drug, tobacco and alcohol abuse | 169 | 212 | 118 | 3 | 502 |
|  | 33.6\% | 42.2\% | 23.6\% | 0.6\% | 100.0\% |
| c. Family violence | 155 | 190 | 146 | 11 | 502 |
|  | 31.0\% | 37.8\% | 29.0\% | 2.2\% | 100.0\% |
| d. Child abuse | 241 | 179 | 72 | 10 | 502 |
|  | 47.9\% | 35.8\% | 14.3\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| e. Senior abuse | 165 | 192 | 131 | 14 | 502 |
|  | 32.9\% | 38.2\% | 26.1\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| f. Racism | 131 | 195 | 174 | 2 | 502 |
|  | 26.2\% | 38.8\% | 34.6\% | 0.4\% | 100.0\% |
| g. Crime | 148 | 255 | 99 | 1 | 502 |
|  | 29.4\% | 50.7\% | 19.7\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| h. Homelessness | 174 | 238 | 85 | 5 | 502 |
|  | 34.6\% | 47.4\% | 16.9\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| i. Employment opportunities | 288 | 151 | 60 | 4 | 502 |
|  | 57.3\% | 30.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.7\% | 100.0\% |
| j. Gangs or teen violence | 172 | 207 | 123 | 0 | 502 |
|  | 34.2\% | 41.3\% | 24.4\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| k. Housing costs | 305 | 136 | 58 | 3 | 502 |
|  | 60.7\% | 27.1\% | 11.6\% | 0.6\% | 100.0\% |
| I. Building in open space | 160 | 207 | 125 | 10 | 502 |
|  | 31.9\% | 41.3\% | 24.8\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| m. Loss of wildlife habitat | 185 | 207 | 105 | 5 | 502 |
|  | 36.8\% | 41.2\% | 21.0\% | 0.9\% | 100.0\% |
| n. Water quality | 195 | 182 | 123 | 2 | 502 |
|  | 38.8\% | 36.3\% | 24.5\% | 0.4\% | 100.0\% |
| o. Air pollution | 155 | 196 | 150 | 1 | 502 |
|  | 30.9\% | 39.1\% | 29.9\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| p. Local public schools | 163 | 188 | 135 | 17 | 502 |
|  | 32.4\% | 37.4\% | 26.8\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| q. Access to cultural opportunities | 69 | 225 | 190 | 17 | 502 |
|  | 13.8\% | 44.8\% | 37.9\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |

2. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?

| Response | Target Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very safe | $42.1 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat safe | $48.2 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Not at all safe | $9.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | 502 |

3. Do you, or does anyone in your household, have a permanent or chronic physical or mental medical condition that substantially limits a major life activity, such as bathing, dressing, stair climbing, shopping, or managing one's money?

| Response | Target Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $38.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| No | $61.5 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

4. Who helps that person perform these activities?

| Response | Target Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Relative/family member | $50.0 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |
| Someone from a government agency | $29.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Someone from a private or nonprofit <br> organization | $13.2 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| Neighbor/friend/church member | $11.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Other | $14.9 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |

5. Are you, or is anyone else in your household, the caregiver to a . . ?

| Response | Target Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Disabled child | $6.1 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Disabled adult | $14.0 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ |
| Older person (over 60 years of age) | $\mathbf{9 . 9 \%}$ | $26.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |

6. Is the amount you pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity. . ?

| Response | Target Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than one-third of your income | $24.5 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ |
| Between one-third and one-half of your <br> income | $33.0 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |
| More than one-half of your income | $42.5 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

7. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?

| Response | Target Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $52.0 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| No | $48.0 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

7a. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Food | $63.0 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ |
| Childcare | $20.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| Health care | $53.2 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| Clothing | $50.8 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| Housing | $47.1 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ |

10. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $35.1 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| No | $64.9 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

11. Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $35.5 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| No | $64.5 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |
| Total | 608 | 502 |

12. Do you have health insurance?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $40.3 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| No | $59.7 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

12a. Why don't you have health insurance?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Too expensive/can't afford it | $46.5 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| Covered by Medi-Cal/Medicare/VA | $36.1 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| Employer does not offer health insurance | $16.0 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| Other | $9.8 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ | 57 |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ |

## 13. In general, would you say your physical health is?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | $8.3 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| Very good | $16.7 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Good | $36.3 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| Fair | $24.6 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Poor | $14.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate in each of the following items if it is a "Major Problem," a "Minor Problem," or "Not a Problem" for you personally: (Target Group)

|  | Major <br> problem | Minor <br> problem | Not a <br> problem | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a. Housing | 48 | 28 | 67 | 143 |
|  | $33.6 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| b. Transportation | 51 | 41 | 49 | 141 |
|  | $36.2 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| c. Loneliness | 36 | 46 | 59 | 141 |
|  | $25.5 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 79 | 38 | 27 | 144 |
|  | $54.9 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| e. Taking Care Of Yourself Physically | 42 | 46 | 53 | 141 |
|  | $29.8 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 34 | 41 | 67 | 142 |
|  | $23.9 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| g. Dental Care | 64 | 14 | 61 | 139 |
|  | $46.0 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 28 | 54 | 59 | 141 |
|  | $19.9 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| i. In-Home Care | 24 | 42 | 69 | 135 |
|  | $17.8 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

14. If you are 55 or older, please indicate in each of the following items if it is a "Major Problem," a "Minor Problem," or "Not a Problem" for you personally: (Phone)

|  | Major <br> problem | Minor <br> problem | Not a <br> problem | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a. Housing | 27 | 13 | 212 | 252 |
|  | $10.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| b. Transportation | 16 | 23 | 212 | 252 |
|  | $6.5 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| c. Loneliness | 15 | 26 | 205 | 252 |
|  | $6.0 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| d. Not Having Enough Money | 32 | 60 | 157 | 252 |
|  | $12.7 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| e. Taking Care Of Yourself Physically | 14 | 41 | 195 | 252 |
|  | $5.6 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| f. Getting Prescription Drugs | 11 | 30 | 210 | 252 |
|  | $4.4 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| g. Dental Care | 36 | 33 | 182 | 252 |
|  | $14.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| h. Eating Nutritious Food | 7 | 26 | 218 | 252 |
|  | $2.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| i. In-Home Care | 8 | 13 | 224 | 252 |
|  | $3.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

15. If you are 65 years or older, are you enrolled in Medicare?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $91.0 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ |
| No | $9.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |

## 15a. Have you enrolled in Medicare Part D, the new Prescription Drug Plan?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $75.0 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| No | $25.0 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |

15c. Has Part D saved you money buying your drugs?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $48.3 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |
| No | $51.7 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |

## 16. What city or town do you live in or live closest to?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo | $29.7 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |
| Atascadero | $13.2 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| Paso Robles | $12.9 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| Oceanus | $10.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Arroyo Grande | $9.0 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Nipomo | $6.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | $5.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | $3.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Los Osos | $2.2 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Templeton | $1.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | $1.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| San Miguel | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Cambria | $0.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Santa Margarita | $0.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Shell Beach | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Cayucos | $0.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Shandon | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Avila Beach | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Creston | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| San Simeon | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 536 | 502 |
|  |  |  |

17. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian / white | $54.7 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| Latino / Hispanic | $32.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Multi-racial | $4.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Native American | $3.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| African American | $1.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Other / Refused | $3.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | 579 | 502 |

19. How many wage earners, age 18 and over, live in your household?

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $41.8 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ |
| 1 | $30.1 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ |
| 2 | $17.7 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ |
| 3 | $6.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 4 | $2.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| 5 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Over 5 | $0.7 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 558 | 239 |

22. Gender

| Response | Target <br> Group | Phone |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Male | $43.9 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Female | $56.1 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total | 567 | 502 |

## Additional Sources of Data

This section briefly describes major sources of data about San Luis Obispo County.

California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) is a comprehensive self-report youth survey of students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in the public and private schools in California. The first survey was available in 1998, and was sponsored by the California Department of Education. The CHKS is an important component of California's school accountability system, and is an important tool in developing the district LEAP (Local Education Agency Plan). CHKS is widely regarded as the best available source of data on health-related risk behaviors and asset-based resilience of California youth. CHKS asks questions concerning:

- Exposure to risk prevention and intervention activities
- Resilience strengths and protective factors
- Use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs
- Violence, school safety, gang involvement, and delinquency
- Nutrition and physical activity

Supplementary modules can be administered at the discretion of the school district that provide more detailed information regarding the subjects listed above.

School districts are required to administer the CHKS every other year to meet the program requirements of the SDFSCA (Safe and Drug-Free School and Communities Act), as specified in No Child Left Behind and the state TUPE (Tobacco Use Prevention Education) Program. The County Office of Education coordinates the CHKS for school districts in San Luis Obispo County. The CHKS website (http://www.wested.org/hks) provides statewide data. Data for an individual school or district can be obtained by calling the school district's Title IV/TUPE coordinator.

Countywide data for SLO County can be obtained by contacting:

SLO County Office of Education
Main Administration Bldg
3350 Education Drive
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405
The California Health Interview Survey (CHIS) is a statewide telephone survey about public health topics and access to health care that is conducted every two years. CHIS provides information on where and how people get health care as well as the number of adults and children without health insurance. CHIS also collects information on important health conditions such as cancer, diabetes, and asthma. CHIS is the largest state health survey, and is considered the best source of health related data for the state and its counties.

CHIS provides county level results, but no data for any subgroups within the county

The ACTION for Healthy Communities
Comprehensive Report includes selected results from the latest CHIS survey. In addition, ACTION has changed some of its questions to match those used in CHIS so that data will be comparable, and available for subgroups within the county.

## The San Luis Obispo County Latino Needs

 Survey 2002 reports on the health, education, social service, housing, childcare, and transportation needs of monolingual Latinos in San Luis Obispo County. The data were collected from approximately 164 Latinos who participated in platicas, or community meetings, held in early 2002. The six platicas were held in Paso Robles, Shandon, Cambria, Estero Bay, San Luis Obispo, and Grover Beach. Participants came to the platicas as a result of public invitations distributed in schools and through community organizations. Although the survey results may not perfectlyrepresent the opinions of all monolingual Latinos in the County, they are still useful for policy makers.

The platicas were sponsored by the HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County and the Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC). Results may be obtained from:

HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County
PO Box 5456
San Luis Obispo, CA 93403
The HOTLINE and EOC conducted additional platicas in early 2003. Many of the questions asked of 2003 participants were identical to those asked in the ACTION for Healthy Communities surveys. This provided comparability of results, and an additional way to gauge the needs of the monolingual Latino population in San Luis Obispo County.

## The San Luis Obispo County Economic Outlook

 is an annual publication of the UCSB Economic Forecast Project. The publication is sponsored primarily by local businesses, and is guided by a San Luis Obispo County Board of Directors. The publication includes historic data and forecasts for: total economic activity, employment, population, and community data, with special emphasis on important local industries such as real estate, tourism, retail and agriculture. The Economic Outlook is the definitive chronicle of economic activity in the County. The Economic Forecast Project also provides reports and presentations to cities that desire more local information. The Economic Outlook publication may be purchased online or by contacting:UCSB Economic Forecast Project
Mail Code 3195
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3195
The Senior Substance Abuse Program. In September 2003, the Central Coast Commission for Seniors/Area Agency on Aging released its Elder Substance Abuse (ESA) Report for San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara Counties. This study
describes the problems associated with the use of alcohol and the use/misuse of prescription drugs by the elderly and presents strategies to develop appropriate elder-specific services to both prevent and treat substance abuse.

The ESA Report may be obtained from:
Area Agency on Aging
528 South Broadway
Santa Maria, CA 93454

## The Community Health Status Report for San

 Luis Obispo County, published irregularly, provides an overview of some of the key community health trends in the county. The primary sections of the report are (I) community overview, (II) maternal, child and adolescent health, (III) communicable and infectious disease, and (IV) leading causes of illnesses, injury or death. As much as possible, the county's local health status is compared with that of the State, and when available, to the national Healthy People 2010 objectives. In addition to health or medically related indicators, some socioeconomic factors that may influence the health status of populations have been included.The report is available from:
SLO County Public Health Department
2191 Johnson Avenue
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

## The $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ Census of Population and Housing

 provides detailed data about the residents of San Luis Obispo County, reported at various geographic levels, in some cases down to the Census Block, which often corresponds to a single city block. The Census includes a wealth of data on demographic, socio-economic, and educational characteristics, as well as housing, transportation, languages spoken, migration, and other topics.There are several websites where these census data can be retrieved. The most comprehensive is the U.S. Census Bureau website at: www.census.gov. On that page is a particularly useful link to a search engine called the American

FactFinder: http:// factfinder.census.gov. This site allows the user to select categories of data by geography, or to go directly into one of the four Summary Files the Census Bureau uses to organize data. Another U.S. Census Bureau site that is easier to navigate, but limited to countywide data, is: http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/. Several commercial websites provide census-based data in easier-to-use formats, but with fees.

Locally, the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments is the designated Census Data Affiliate for the County. SLOCOG's website www.slocog.org - has a link to Census data: http://www.slocog.org/maps/census.html - and shows basic 2000 Census data for the County, for each incorporated city, and for nine unincorporated communities in the County. These data include: total population, age, sex, race, type of household, and housing tenure. SLOCOG may be able to provide additional Census data for organizations that have specific data needs. Contact:

San Luis Obispo Council of Governments 1150 Osos Street, Suite 202
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

The Annual Resource Summary Report, by the County Department of Planning and Building, documents the relationship between supply and demand for several resources, including water, sewage treatment capacity, capacity of countymaintained roads, public schools and air quality. The report identifies areas where demand appears to be approaching or exceeding supply and areas where resources are available to accommodate additional population growth. It may be purchased from:

SLO County Department of Planning and Building County Government Center, Room 310
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The San Luis Obispo County Department of Agriculture's Crop Reports include information about the value of various crops, livestock and marine catches, as well as the amounts of, and
acreage devoted to, most crops. The annual Crop Reports may be downloaded (PDF format) from http:// www.sloag.org/agcomm/Crop_Reports.htm - or may be obtained in hardcopy from:

SLO County Department of Agriculture 2156 Sierra Way, Suite A
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405
The Children's Services Network's (CSN) Summary Report provides detailed information from public sources about the health and welfare of San Luis Obispo County children, youth and families in the goal areas of Safe, Healthy, At Home, In School and Out of Trouble. Although some of the same types of data are shown in ACTION's Comprehensive Report, the CSN report often includes more detailed breakdowns. The CSN report may be obtained from:

Children's Services Network
P.O. Box 8119

San Luis Obispo, CA 93403
Additional information about the CSN and the SAFE system of care can be found on the website at www.co.slo.ca.us/csn.

The San Luis Obispo County Administrator's office produced its first San Luis Obispo County Communitywide Results Report in 2001. It includes 27 statistical indicators of whether the County is "safe, healthy, livable, prosperous, and well governed." Some of the indicator data came from earlier ACTION for Healthy Communities surveys. This document may be viewed online or obtained from:

County Administrative Office
admin@co.slo.ca.us
County Government Center, Room 370
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The San Luis Obispo County Hunger Study, 2003 reports the results of a survey of food providers (food pantries, shelters, and kitchens) and a survey of their clients. The latter focused on various aspects of food insecurity,
including: frequency of need, accessibility of services, utilization of different types of providers, impact of food insecurity on other basic needs, housing, health care, and utilization of the food stamp program. The study was conducted collaboratively by the San Luis Obispo County Community Foundation, California Polytechnic State University Political Science Dept, and Food Bank Coalition of SLO County.

A copy of the results may be obtained from:
Janice Fong Wolf
805-543-2323
jwolf@sloccf.org
San Luis Obispo County Community Foundation
P.O. Box 1580

San Luis Obispo, CA 93406
www.sloccf.org
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