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## About the Researcher

Campbell Research is a full-service research firm dedicated to assisting organizations and communities to listen effectively to their constituencies. Systematic forms of listening include surveys, focus groups and collection/analysis of internal and external data. Founder and President Bruce Campbell is a resident of San Luis Obispo County.

With headquarters on the Central Coast, Campbell Research has a stake in the region's quality of life. The ACTION for Healthy Communities project is a prime example of a comprehensive evaluation of the needs of
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## Project Overview

What is the quality of life like in San Luis Obispo County? Do residents feel safe? Are there enough employment opportunities? Do people feel they have access to good schools and services? Is our community healthy?
These are just a few of the questions that a consortium of public and private health, education, business, environmental, human service and civic organizations set out to answer when they began the ACTION for Healthy Communities project in the late 1990s. As defined, the project goals are:
-Raise public awareness of human needs, changing trends, emerging issues and community problems;
-Provide accurate, credible and valid information on an ongoing basis to human services planners and those providing funds;
-Provide information for individual institutions to guide decision-making about creation, management, and redesign of programs;
-Establish community goals using measurable Quality-of-Life Indicators that will lead to positive, healthy development for individuals, families and communities;
-Develop and support collaborative action plans to achieve the community goals.

The first wave of data collection occurred in 1999. This 2001 survey represents the first follow-up, in which many of the measures have been re-examined for changes. In addition, results of the initial wave suggested new areas that could be examined.
ACTION for Healthy Communities will provide survey results and data to the community through this Comprehensive Report and a separate Indicators Report.

## Introduction

ACTION for Healthy Communities believes that by sharing resources and working together, more comprehensive useful planning tools can be developed. ACTION also believes that a more comprehensive approach to assessing the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County will enable organizations that allocate resources to more effectively address critical community concerns.

In December 2000, ACTION selected Campbell Research, a non-profit social research firm, to conduct the assessment for San Luis Obispo County. The Comprehensive Report is considered one step in this comprehensive planning process. The next phase involves identifying goals and action plans.

ACTION celebrates the collaborative spirit of all individuals and organizations involved with this ongoing effort. We especially thank our financial sponsors for their support, encouragement and faith in the process.

## Mission and Guiding Principles

The mission of ACTION is to "develop a comprehensive planning approach to identify and address community needs through collaborative efforts with community partners to implement effective programs that improve community health and well being."

ACTION has adopted these guiding principles:

- Long-term approach to planning and program development
- Creation of a collaborative planning mechanism
- Commitment to a community-driven process with consumer empowerment
- Assessment should result in identification of priorities and action plans


## Desired Outcomes

ACTION has developed the following desired outcomes for this community assessment:
To study the community health and services that exist in order to:

1. Provide accurate, credible and valid information on an ongoing basis to health and human service planners, policy makers, and funders concerning the creation, management and support of programs.
2. Encourage collaboration and partnerships to facilitate improved service delivery that is
coordinated, holistic and consolidated within the community.
3. Set Community Indicators that can serve as the basis for the formulation of Community Goals that will lead to a community-wide vision and plan for improving community health.
4. Re-assess key indicators every two years to track progress and to identify emerging gaps and resources so that strategies for responding to the gaps can be developed.
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## The Community Assessment Process

This community assessment model provides a comprehensive view of the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County. It is based on primary (public opinion) data and secondary (empirical trend) data that are gathered for a series of
indicators in seven areas -basic needs, education, the economy, health, natural environment, public safety, and social environment. These components are described below.

## Methodology

## Primary Data

Measures of community progress depend upon consistent, reliable, and scientifically accurate sources of data. One of the types of data gathered for this project is primary (public opinion) data. There is much to be learned from people's perception of their community, especially when perceptions contradict the empirical evidence about its conditions. For instance, in the area of public safety, crime rates may be going down while perceptions of danger are going up.
For this reason, in February 2001, Campbell Research conducted a random telephone survey of 809 county residents in both English and Spanish.
Telephone numbers were randomly and proportionately selected from all valid exchanges in the County, including unlisted numbers. The intent of the survey is to be representative of the overall demographics of the county while ensuring representation of special population groups. Respondents are asked open-ended questions that elicit opinion as well as questions that present options to choose.

There is a $95 \%$ confidence that the opinions of survey respondents reflect those of the general population within approximately $+/-3.5 \%$. It is important to note that all surveys have some inherent biases. This study's bias probably appears in the area of respondent self-selection and the capturing of opinion of those willing to contribute 17 minutes of their time to the community.

In many cases, questions used in the 1999 ACTION telephone survey were used for tracking purposes. That survey, fielded in March 1999, interviewed 534 County residents using the same random-sampling process.

In addition to the telephone surveying, a community survey was conducted by ACTION member organizations. These organizations surveyed their clients, patients, or members using a four-page, selfadministered survey. These surveys enabled the project to explore in greater depth those groups that have special interests or needs, or who may be under-represented in the telephone survey (such as those who do not have a telephone or who have difficulty with their non-native language). Over 900 such surveys were collected. Copies of the telephone and community questionnaires are included in the Appendix of this report.

## Secondary Data

Another type of data used for this project is secondary or empirical data. Secondary data is collected from a variety of sources including but not limited to: the U.S. Census, federal, state, and local government agencies, academic institutions, economic development groups, health care institutions, libraries, schools, local police, sheriff and fire departments and computerized sources through on-line databases and the internet.

## Data Report

Every two years, the primary and secondary data will be compiled into a Data Report. The intent of this report is to provide an in-depth look at all aspects of life in San Luis Obispo County. Ethnicity, income, senior and geographic breakdowns have been incorporated in many of the primary data items as well. Please refer to the legend for explanations of frequently used symbols and notations throughout the report.

## Legend

Some survey responses are reported by geographic sub-areas. Those sub-areas are organized as follows:

North County Adelaide, Atascadero, California
Valley, Camp Roberts, Cholame, Creston, Lake Nacimiento, Paso Robles, Pozo, San Miguel, Santa

Margarita, Shandon, Templeton, Welsona, Whitley Gardens

North Coast Baywood, Cambria, Cayucos, Harmony, Los Osos, Morro Bay, San Simeon

San Luis Obispo City of San Luis Obispo, Avila Beach

South County Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Halcyon, Huasna, Nipomo, Oceano, Pismo Beach, Shell Beach
\% Change Describes change in value between the current and previous year data

Denotes a telephone survey question

## Suggested Uses of this Report

Because the scope of this project is so broad it may take a while to become familiar with the data and format of the report. The tips shown below are offered to make the report more useful to the user.

For a Broad Overview of Quality of Life Issues in San Luis Obispo County
It is best to review the first page of each of the seven sections of the report- basic needs, education, the economy, health, natural environment, public safety, and social environment. Each of these sections begins with a summary of the research area.

## For Information About Trends that May

 Impact Your Business or OrganizationWithin each of the seven research areas there is a wealth of information on a wide range of issues. One of the most useful features of this report is the display of trend data, where it is available. A tremendous amount of detailed information can be found that may help identify new customers or emerging needs, or explain changes in the local
environment that will have future impacts. In selected areas, demographic breakdowns of data, most commonly by ethnicity, income, seniors or geographic areas have been included.

## For Data to Support or Refine Your Services

 and ProductsThis information is intended to be used in your own reports and proposals, and as a baseline for performance systems. Whenever report data is used, ACTION should be acknowledged. ACTION representatives are available to speak with groups about the information in this report.

## Supplementary Reports Available

In addition to this Comprehensive Report, an Indicators Report is available which highlights and provides analysis of over 42 key Quality of Life Indicators. Separate detailed computer tabulations of the 2001 telephone survey and community surveys are available, as well as, computer tables comparing 1999 and 2001 survey results. These are available from ACTION at nominal prices.
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## Basic Needs Issues

When basic needs go unmet, few other quality-oflife issues seem to matter. Among these key needs are food, shelter, clothing and medical care.

Most San Luis Obispo residents know that affordable housing is a problem in the county, but primary and secondary research reveals the extent of the problem and how rapidly the situation is changing.

Rising home prices are a boon to homeowners but a bane to those seeking to move from renting to owning. From 1999 to 2000, the median home price in San Luis Obispo County rose $22 \%$, far outpacing increases in income.

As a result, affordability has plummeted. In 1998, a family earning the median household income could purchase $42 \%$ of the homes in San Luis Obispo County. That figure dropped to $33 \%$ in 1999, then plunged to $18 \%$ in 2000 - meaning that the average family cannot afford to purchase four out of five homes in the county.

Before the most recent drop, San Luis Obispo was already considered the eighth least-affordable U.S.
housing market (factoring in both home prices and wages). Now the county has taken over sixth place in that category (with the top five markets all in Northern California).

Two-thirds of county residents spend at least a third of their income on housing, and nearly $50 \%$ spend half of their income (or more) on housing. Both of these figures are up substantially since 1999. Fifty-six percent of survey respondents indicate they are "very concerned" about housing costs.

One glimmer of good news on the housing front is that rental rates are stable, rising only $2.2 \%$, on average, in 2000.

With so much income going toward housing, less is available for other basic needs. One in 12 residents indicated they went without a basic need in the past year. Among key subgroups, $17 \%$ of low-income households report going without a basic need, as do $13 \%$ of Hispanics and $4 \%$ of seniors.

## Al Basic Needs

울 Telephone Survey Results
In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, bousing or bealth care?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 42 | 7.7 | 69 | 8.5 |
| No | 500 | 92.3 | 739 | 91.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

유․ Responses, by Respondent Type

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Respondent | Yes |  | No | Yes | No |
| Respondents with <br> income less than | 24.6 | 75.4 | 17.1 | 82.9 |  |
| $\$ 15,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seniors 55 and older | 2.6 | 97.4 | 3.9 | 96.1 |  |
| Latino | 14.1 | 85.9 | 12.8 | 87.2 |  |

[^0]
## Al Basic Needs, continued

## 国 Telephone Survey Results

If yes, what do you go without? (Top 3 responses)

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Health Care (including | 20 | 51.3 | 36 | 52.9 |
| prescriptions) <br> Food | 14 | 35.9 | 21 | 30.9 |
| Clothing | 5 | 12.8 | 20 | 29.4 |

유․ Responses, by Respondent Type
1999

| Respondent | \% Saying Food | \% Saying <br> Health Care | \% Saying <br> Clothing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Respondents with income less than $\$ 15,000$ | 18.2 | 63.6 | 18.2 |
| Latino | 30.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 |

2001

| Respondent | \% Saying Food | \% Saying <br> Health Care | \% Saying <br> Clothing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Respondents with income less than $\$ 15,000$ | 28.6 | 71.4 | 14.3 |
| Latino | 36.4 | 54.5 | 18.2 |

[^1]
## A2 Poverty Guidelines

## Federal Guidelines, 1997-2001

|  | ANNUAL INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FAMILY SIZE | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 00-01 <br> \% CHANGE |
| 1 | $\$ 7,890$ | $\$ 8,050$ | $\$ 8,240$ | $\$ 8,350$ | $\$ 8,590$ | 2.9 |
| 2 | $\$ 10,610$ | $\$ 10,850$ | $\$ 11,060$ | $\$ 11,250$ | $\$ 11,610$ | 3.2 |
| 3 | $\$ 13,330$ | $\$ 13,650$ | $\$ 13,880$ | $\$ 14,150$ | $\$ 14,630$ | 3.4 |
| 4 | $\$ 16,050$ | $\$ 16,450$ | $\$ 16,700$ | $\$ 17,050$ | $\$ 17,650$ | 3.5 |
| 5 | $\$ 18,770$ | $\$ 19,250$ | $\$ 19,520$ | $\$ 19,950$ | $\$ 20,670$ | 3.6 |
| 6 | $\$ 21,490$ | $\$ 22,050$ | $\$ 22,340$ | $\$ 22,850$ | $\$ 23,690$ | 3.7 |
| 7 | $\$ 24,210$ | $\$ 24,850$ | $\$ 25,160$ | $\$ 25,750$ | $\$ 26,710$ | 3.7 |
| 8 | $\$ 26,930$ | $\$ 27,650$ | $\$ 27,980$ | $\$ 28,650$ | $\$ 29,730$ | 3.8 |

Source: Department of Health and Human Services, Poverty Guidelines, 2001.
Note: Poverty income guidelines are for all states except Alaska and Hawaii. For 1997 add \$\$2,720 for each additional member; for 1998 add $\$ 2,800$ for each additional member; in 1999 add $\$ 2,820$; in 2000 add $\$ 2,900$ and in 2001 add $\$ 3,020$ for each additional member.

## A3 Food Bank Services

## Average Number of People Served per Month, 1998

| Jurisdiction Pantries | Persons <br> Served |
| :--- | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 1,049 |
| Atascadero | 1,700 |
| California Valley | 56 |
| Cambria | 373 |
| Cayucos | 73 |
| Grover Beach | 1,123 |
| Heritage Ranch | 21 |
| Los Osos | 82 |
| Morro Bay | 455 |
| Nipomo | 366 |
| Oceano | 1,502 |
| Paso Robles | 888 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1,500 |
| San Miguel | 148 |
| Santa Margarita | 113 |
| Shandon | 158 |
| Templeton | 329 |
| Christ's Kitchen | 457 |
| People's Kitchen (South County) | 3,100 |
| Women's Resource Center (North County) | 21 |
| Women's Shelter Program (SLO) | 16 |
| EOC Homeless Shelter | 302 |
| Total persons served | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 3 2}$ |

Source: Food Bank Coalition of San Luis Obispo County, 1999.
Note: Includes Pantry and United States Department of Agriculture, (USDA) distribution.
Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## A3 Food Bank Services, continued

## Number of Meals Served per Year

| Jurisdiction | Distributor | 1997 | 1998 | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero | North County |  |  |  |
|  | Women's |  |  |  |
|  | Resource Center | 10,971 | 10,701 | -2 5 |
|  | Loaves and |  |  |  |
|  | Fishes | 39,720 | 48,696 | 22.6 |
| Morro Bay/ Nipomo/ Oceano | Salvation Army |  |  |  |
|  |  | 123,267 | 182,862 | 48.3 |
| Oceano | Harvest Bag | 114,852 | 125,103 | 8.9 |
| Paso Robles | Loaves and |  |  |  |
|  | Fishes | 116,881 | 147,858 | 26.5 |
| Pismo Beach | Five Cities Meals | N/A | 23,094 | N/A |
|  | South County People's Kitchen | 36,000 | N/A | N/A |
| San Luis Obispo | Women's Shelter | 7,860 | 7,257 | -7.7 |
|  | Salvation Army | 11,882 | 14,000 | 17.8 |
|  | Grass Roots II | 2,700 | 4,207 | 55.8 |
|  | EOC Homeless |  |  |  |
|  | Shelter | N/A | 54,485 | N/A |
|  | AIDS Support | 6,924 | 10,043 | 45 |
| SLO County-wide | Senior NutritionCongregate Facility |  |  |  |
|  |  | 64,196 | 63,710 | -0.8 |
|  | Senior NutritionHome Delivery | 85,460 | 86,044 | 0.7 |
| Total meals served |  | 620,713 | 778,060 | 25.3 |

Source: United W ay of San Luis Obispo County, 1999.
Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## A4 Concern, Homelessness

요․ Telephone Survey Results
How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "V ery Concerned", "Somewhat Concerned", or "Not at all Concerned."

Homelessness

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very Concerned | 161 | 29.9 | 269 | 33.7 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 230 | 42.7 | 368 | 46.1 |
| Not at all Concerned | 148 | 27.5 | 162 | 20.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## A5 Homeless Shelter Overcapacity

## Shelter Occupants, by Background

| EOC Emergency Shelter (SLO) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Domestic Violence | $32 \%$ |
| Chronic Substance Abuse | $50 \%$ |
| Mentally ill | $45 \%$ |
| HIV/ AIDS | $4 \%$ |
| Elderly | $7 \%$ |
| Total Shelter Occupants | $\mathbf{8 8 3}$ |

Note: Percents do not total, as occupants may fulfill more than one category.
Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## Shelter Over Capacity

| EOC Homeless Services | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1st Quarter- Jan-March | 43 | 28 | 26 | 111 |
| 2nd Quarter- April-June | 351 | 64 | 177 | 253 |
| 3rd Quarter- July -Sept. | 430 | 47 | 129 | $* 291$ |
| 4th Quarter- Oct-Dec. | 290 | 24 | 113 |  |
| Total Overcapacity | $\mathbf{1 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{}^{\mathbf{6 5 5 5}}$ |

* Through August 2001

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC) Homeless Shelter, 2001.
Note: Over capacity refers to the number of individuals seeking shelter that exceed the number of available beds. The shelter has a regular capacity of 49 beds per night, plus overflow capacity of 15-35 beds provided in collaboration with the Interfaith Coalition for the Homeless. Capacity increased in 1999 when the EOC-ICH overflow program expanded from seven to 12 months per year.

## Clients placed in Permanent Housing

| EOC Homeless Services | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Single Adults | 43 | 57 |
| Number of Families | 35 | 30 |
| Number of Parents | 40 | 38 |
| Number of Children | 71 | 38 |
| \% children | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1}$ | 28.6 |
| Number of clients | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC) Homeless Shelter, 2001.

## A6 Fair Market Rents

Fair Market Rents - HUD

| Number of Bedrooms | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 00-01 } \\ \text { \% CHANGE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Studio | \$491 | \$498 | \$507 | \$514 | \$525 | 2.1 |
| 1 | \$555 | \$563 | \$573 | \$580 | \$593 | 2.2 |
| 2 | \$704 | \$714 | \$727 | \$736 | \$752 | 2.2 |
| 3 | \$978 | \$991 | \$1,009 | \$1,022 | \$1,045 | 2.3 |
| 4 | \$1,155 | \$1,171 | \$1,192 | \$1,207 | \$1,234 | 2.2 |

Source: Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2001.

## Average Apartment Rents- San Luis Obispo City

| NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | Units | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Studio | 269 | $\$ 520$ |
| 1 Bedroom, 1 Bath | 57 | $\$ 628$ |
| 2 Bedroom, 1 Bath | 73 | $\$ 762$ |
| 2 Bedroom, 2 Bath | 149 | $\$ 794$ |
| 3 Bedroom, 2 Bath | 21 | $\$ 980$ |
| 2 Bedroom Townhouse | 144 | $\$ 815$ |

[^2]
## A7 Concern, Housing Costs

## 운 Telephone Survey Results

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned", "Somewhat Concerned", or "Not at all Concerned."

Housing Costs

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very Concerned | 447 | 55.7 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 222 | 27.7 |
| Not at all Concerned | 133 | 16.6 |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## A8 Housing Expenses

## 욟 Telephone Survey Results

Does one-third or more of your income go to housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 291 | 54.5 | 519 | 66.4 |
| No | 243 | 45.5 | 263 | 33.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

Does one-half or more of your income go to housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 108 | 37.6 | 234 | 47.4 |
| No | 179 | 62.4 | 260 | 52.6 |
| Total | 287 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.
*Note: The 2001 version of this questionnaire added the phrase "including utilities, such as gas and electricity" - therefore, results may not be directly comparable.

## A9 Housing Affordability

8 Least Affordable Areas in the Nation-1999

|  | Percent of Homes <br> Affordable for <br> Median Income | Median <br> Family Income <br> $(1 s t ~ Q t r 1999)$ | Median <br> Sales Price <br> (1st Qtr1999) | National Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 21.3 | $\$ 72,400$ | $\$ 366,000$ | 181 |
| San Francisco, CA PMSA | 32.0 | $\$ 61,000$ | $\$ 273,000$ | 180 |
| Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA | 37.8 | $\$ 41,200$ | $\$ 128,000$ | 179 |
| PMSA | 40.6 | $\$ 30,200$ | $\$ 104,000$ | 177 |
| Eugene-Springfield, OR MSA | 41.3 | $\$ 49,400$ | $\$ 195,000$ | 175 |
| Laredo, TX MSA | 42.6 | $\$ 52,400$ | $\$ 158,000$ | 174 |
| Salinas, CA MSA | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8}$ | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ \mathbf{1 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ |
| Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA | 45.3 | $\$ 52,500$ | $\$ 196,000$ | 172 |
| San Luis Obispo-Atascadero- <br> Paso Robles, CA. MSA |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego, CA MSA |  |  |  |  |

Source: National Association of Home Builders, 1999.

## 8 Least Affordable Areas in the Nation-2001

|  | Percent of Homes <br> Affordable for <br> Median Income | Median <br> Family Income <br> (1st Qtr2001) | Median <br> Sales Price <br> (1st Qtr2001) | National Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sarea | 6.1 | $\$ 74,900$ | $\$ 530,000$ | 180 |
| Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA | 7.8 | $\$ 61,700$ | $\$ 395,000$ | 179 |
| PMSA | 9.6 | $\$ 50,300$ | $\$ 286,000$ | 178 |
| Salinas, CA MSA | 12.1 | $\$ 58,100$ | $\$ 305,000$ | 176 |
| Santa Rosa, CA PMSA | 12.1 | $\$ 87,000$ | $\$ 469,000$ | 176 |
| San Jose, CA PMSA | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ 236,000$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ |
| San Luis Obispo-Atascadero- <br> Paso Robles, CA. MSA | 20.4 | $\$ 52,300$ | $\$ 200,000$ | 174 |
| Portsmouth-Rochester, NH-ME | 20.7 | $\$ 67,600$ | $\$ 329,000$ | 173 |
| PMSA |  |  |  |  |
| Oakland, CA PMSA |  |  |  |  |

Source: National Association of Home Builders, 2001.
Note: The Housing Opportunity Index is based on the median family income, interest rates, and the price distributions of homes sold for each market in a particular quarter of a year. The price of homes sold is collected from actual court records by First American Real Estate Solutions, a marketing company. The median family income for each market is calculated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
*Metropolitan Area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities that have a bigh degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus.. MSAs are relatively free standing and are not closely associated with other metropolitan areas.

## A 10 Housing Prices

## Median Sales Price (in thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| MSA/PMSA | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C H A N G E}$ |
| Fresno | 105 | 102 | 104 | 109 | 114 | 4.6 |
| Merced | 100 | 102 | 107 | 122 | 130 | 6.6 |
| Ventura/Oxnard | 195 | 198 | 217 | 235 | 259 | 10.2 |
| Santa Cruz | 225 | 243 | 260 | 306 | 371 | 21.2 |
| San Luis Obispo | 160 | 170 | 175 | 192 | 235 | 22.4 |
| Visalia | 90 | 91 | 89 | 98 | 100 | 2.0 |
| National | 122 | 127 | 138 | 141 | 151 | 7.1 |

Source: Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2001.
*Metropolitan Area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities that have a bigh degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus.. MSAs are relatively free standing and are not closely associated with other metropolitan areas.

## Housing Opportunity Index

(\% of homes in area affordable to family with median income)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{RD}} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| MSA/PMSA | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C H A N G E}$ |
| Fresno | 53.2 | 58.7 | 64.9 | 58.5 | 51.7 | -11.6 |
| Merced | 55.0 | 61.6 | 65.3 | 49.4 | 39.7 | -19.6 |
| Ventura/Oxnard | 49.0 | 53.6 | 52.7 | 43.4 | 34.6 | -20.3 |
| Santa Cruz | 25.4 | 28.0 | 32.1 | 19.2 | 8.7 | -54.7 |
| San Luis Obispo | 35.3 | 33.5 | 41.3 | 32.6 | 17.8 | -45.4 |
| Visalia | 58.8 | 59.8 | 72.6 | 63.1 | 57.2 | -9.4 |
| National | 61.2 | 63.7 | 64.4 | 63.4 | 58.1 | -8.4 |

Source: Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2001.
.*Metropolitan Area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities that have a bigh degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus.. MSAs are relatively free standing and are not closely associated with other metropolitan areas.
Note: Index is based on the median family income, interest rates, and the price distributions of homes sold in each market in a particular quarter of a year. The price of homes sold is collected from actual public records by First American Real Estate Solutions, a marketing company. The median family income for each market is calculated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development

## All School Meals Program

## 2000-2001 Countywide Program Enrollment

|  |  |  |  | 2000-2001 <br> School Meal Program Enrollment | 1997-'98 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998-'99 | 1999-'00 | 2000-'01 | \% CHANGE |  |  |
| San Luis Obispo County | $32.1 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $-4.9 \%$ |
| California | $47.4 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001
bttp:// data1.cde.ca.gov/ dataquest/page2.asp?subject=Profilečlevel=Countyersubmit1 = Submit
Note: In 2000-2001, 30.2 percent of San Luis Obispo students were eligible for the program. Since 97 percent of eligible families enroll (at least in 2000-2001), enrollment is a proxy for eligibility (for which bistorical data is unavailable).

## 2000-2001 Program Enrollment by District

| District | Free \& Reduced Price <br> Meal Enrollment | \% of Students <br> Enrolled |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ATASCADERO UNIFIED | 1,178 | 20.2 |
| CAMBRIA UNION ELEMENTARY | NA | NA |
| CAYUCOS ELEMENTARY | 76 | 24.8 |
| COAST UNIFIED | 289 | 30.6 |
| COAST UNION HIGH | NA | NA |
| LUCIA MAR UNIFIED | 4,323 | 36.7 |
| PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED | 2,573 | 38.8 |
| PASO ROBLES JOINT UNION HIGH | NA | NA |
| PASO ROBLES UNION ELEMENTARY | NA | NA |
| PHILLIPS ELEMENTARY | NA | NA |
| PLEASANT VALLEY JOINT UNION EL | 26 | 20.5 |
| SAN LUIS COASTAL UNIFIED | 1,772 | 20.8 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO CO CYA DIST | NA | NA |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO CO. OFFICE OF | 112 | 42.6 |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT UNION ELEMENT | 245 | 52.7 |
| SHANDON JOINT UNIFIED | 192 | 56.0 |
| TEMPLETON UNIFIED | 364 | 13.4 |
| County Totals: | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4}$ |
| State Totals: | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 8 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 8}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001
bttp:/ / data1.cde.ca.gov/ dataquest/Cbeds2.asp?FreeLunch=onercChoice=CoProf2eryear=2000-
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## Education Issues

There are more graduates than ever coming out of San Luis Obispo high schools, the drop-out rate is lower than it has been in the past four years, and student test scores are higher than the state average (and rising).

More good news: parental involvement in schools appears to be rising and student drug use is perceived to be less of a problem.

The assessment measured local education issues by looking at test scores, graduate and drop-out statistics and public perceptions about school issues.

The drop-out rates for local high schools have continued to decrease, to 1.3 percent in 2001. The county drop-out rate is now less than half of the statewide rate. Improvement has been particularly strong among Hispanic students. Through the mid-1990s the dropout rate for Hispanics was five percent or more; in 1999-2000, the percentage was only 1.8 percent.

San Luis Obispo high school students continue to outpace their state counterparts in SAT testing, the standardized aptitude tests taken by many students in their junior or senior year. The difference between San Luis Obispo students and California students average combined math and verbal score has ranged from 50 to 70 points each year since 1995. In 2000 the county combined average score was 1067 ; the state average was 1009.

Among elementary and middle-school students, test results are not only above state and national averages but have also improved since 1999. Average math scores for students between first and eighth grades ranked in the 67th percentile nationally (up from 61 st in 1999). Average language scores ranked in the $65^{\text {th }}$ percentile (up from 60 th in 1999).
The number of Limited English Proficiency (LEP) students in the county continues to edge upward and was nearly 9 percent of the total local student
population in 1999-2000. Coast Unified School District had the largest percentage of LEP students at 18 percent, surpassing Shandon Joint Unified School District, which saw a drop from 20 percent to 16 percent.

Parents' self-assessed involvement has also increased. In 1999 the proportion of parents saying they "often" participate at their children's school declined as children grew older. In the 2001 survey, percentages were high across the board, with at least 57 percent of parents indicating frequent involvement at all levels.

Most parents continue to feel that their children have enough recreational activities available to them during non-school hours: overall 86 percent of parents said their children have enough activities.

Substance abuse concerns among local parents have declined somewhat since 1999. Nine percent of middle school parents say substance abuse is a "serious concern" (down from 26\%). Among high school parents, that percentage has dropped from 41 percent to 29 percent.

In addition, employers who hire local high-school graduates have noted improvement in workpreparedness in several areas. The percentage of new hires rated "excellent" or "very good" has doubled in several areas: Basic Skills (from 18\% to 40\%), Personal Qualities (from 11\% to 23\%), Interpersonal Skills (from 18\% to 38\%) and Technology Skills (from 11\% to 33\%).

Early childhood education is also seeing encouraging signs. Nine out of 10 parents of children age 5 or under report reading to their children three or more times per week.

Nearly half of San Luis Obispo County residents report having visited a public library in the past three months. Two-thirds of library visitors rate county library services "excellent" or "very good." More than half agree that the county should spend more money to expand library services.

## B 1 Family Education

## 畀 Telephone Survey Results

In a typical week, how many times do you or other adults in your housebold read to your child or children? (asked of those with children age 5 or under)

| Number of times | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| None | 2 | 2.5 |
| $1-2$ | 6 | 7.6 |
| $3-5$ | 25 | 31.6 |
| 6-10 | 24 | 30.4 |
| More than 10 | 22 | 27.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

욜 Telephone Survey Results
In the past year, bave you taken part in any parent or family education programs or classes? (asked of those with children age 5 or under)

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Yes | 30 | 38.5 |
| No | 48 | 61.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## B2 Parental Involvement in School

요․ Telephone Survey Results
How often do you participate in activities in your child(ren)'s schools(s) (educational and extra curricular activities) - Often, Sometimes or Never?

19992001

| Elementary School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Often | 66 | 56.9 | 73 | 67.0 |
| Sometimes | 38 | 32.8 | 30 | 27.5 |
| Never | 12 | 10.3 | 6 | 5.5 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Middle School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Often | 20 | 43.5 | 27 | 57.4 |
| Sometimes | 19 | 41.3 | 17 | 36.2 |
| Never | 7 | 15.2 | 3 | 6.4 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Often | 17 | 29.8 | 52 | 63.4 |
| Sometimes | 26 | 45.6 | 20 | 24.4 |
| Never | 14 | 24.6 | 10 | 12.2 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.
Note: Due to variation in the way this question was posed to respondents, 1999 and 2001 results are not comparable.

## B3 Pre-school Enrollment

Enrollment in Head Start Programs

| EOC HEAD START | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment | 387 | 387 |
| Waiting list: 3 year olds | NA | 31 |
| 4 year olds | NA | 82 |
| 5 year olds | NA | 49 |


| EOC MIGRANT HEAD START | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment | 49 | 49 |
| Waiting list | 0 | 0 |


| EOC STATE MIGRANT HEAD START | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PROGRAM |  | 75 |
| Enrollment | 75 | 76 |
| Waiting list: 0-3 year olds | NA | 16 |
| $\quad 3-5$ year olds | NA | 6 |

Source: Economic Opportunity Commission (EOC), Child Care Resource Connection, 1999.

Notes: EOC Head Start is a federally funded, center-based bead start. EOC Migrant Head Start is also federally funded, runs at full enrollment capacity, and does not have a waiting list. These programs are funded by the Department of Health and Human Services. The EOC State Migrant Head Start Program is funded by the California Department of Education and has a waiting list, mostly for infant and toddler care.

The EOC Migrant Head Start and State Migrant Head Start Program differ from the federally funded EOC Head Start in that they use center-based models as well as licensed family day care centers for provision of Head Start services. These two programs also offer child care.

Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## B4 Bilingual Education

## Percent of English Learner (EL) Students by District

| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero USD | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Cambria Union Elementary | 18.7 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cayucos Elementary SD | 5.5 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.4 |
| Coast USD | 10.7 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 17.6 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 10.9 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 12.1 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 13.8 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 16.5 |
| Pleasant Valley Joint USD | 5.8 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 6.1 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 5.2 |
| San Luis Obispo Co. Office of Ed. | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| San Miguel Joint Union Elementary SD | 4.7 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 9.9 |
| Shandon Joint USD | 16.9 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 15.6 |
| Templeton USD | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |
| State | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.
EL students are those students for whom there is a report of a primary language other than English on the state-approved Home Language Survey and who, on the basis of the state approved oral language (grades K-12) assessment procedures and including literacy (grades 3-12 only), have been determined to lack the clearly defined English language skills of listening comprebension, speaking, reading, and writing necessary to succeed in the school's regular instructional programs.

## B5 Activities for Youth

욜 Telephone Survey Results
Does your child(ren) bave enough activities after school and on weekends (including recreational)?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 101 | 86.3 | 92 | 86.8 |
| No | 16 | 13.7 | 14 | 13.2 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Middle School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 35 | 77.8 | 42 | 87.5 |
| No | 10 | 22.2 | 4 | 8.3 |
| Don't Know | -- | -- | 2 | 4.2 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

19992001

| High School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 49 | 84.5 | 70 | 84.3 |
| No | 9 | 15.5 | 12 | 14.5 |
| Don't Know | -- | -- | 1 | 1.2 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## B6 Substance Abuse in Schools

## 을 Telephone Survey Results

How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your child(ren)'s school?

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very serious | 11 | 9.4 | 6 | 5.8 |
| Somewhat serious | 17 | 14.5 | 14 | 13.5 |
| Not at all serious | 75 | 64.1 | 71 | 68.3 |
| Don't know | 14 | 12 | 13 | 12.5 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Middle School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very serious | 12 | 26.1 | 4 | 8.5 |
| Somewhat serious | 14 | 30.4 | 22 | 46.8 |
| Not at all serious | 16 | 34.8 | 11 | 23.4 |
| Don't know | 4 | 8.7 | 10 | 21.3 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High School | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very serious | 24 | 41.4 | 23 | 28.7 |
| Somewhat serious | 24 | 41.4 | 40 | 50.0 |
| Not at all serious | 9 | 15.5 | 11 | 13.8 |
| Don't know | 1 | 1.7 | 6 | 7.5 |
| Total respondents | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## B7 Test Scores-STAR

## STAR Test Scores- District, County and State

| NPR* of "Average" Student -- Reading | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero USD | 61.6 | 61.6 | 63.1 | 63.0 |
| Cayucos Elementary District | 69.4 | 67.1 | 69.7 | 75.4 |
| Coast USD | 53.4 | 55.3 | 58.0 | 60.7 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 54.1 | 53.3 | 56.2 | 56.3 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 45.7 | 45.0 | 46.1 | 49.3 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 67.0 | 66.4 | 66.4 | 68.7 |
| San Miguel Joint USD | 51.3 | 48.3 | 56.6 | 53.3 |
| Shandon Joint USD | 27.4 | 31.1 | 37.6 | 38.4 |
| Templeton USD | 58.2 | 63.2 | 62.6 | 63.1 |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{5 7 . 2}$ | 56.9 | 58.2 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1}$ |
| California | $\mathbf{3 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| NPR* of "Average" Student -- Math | 61.4 | 64.5 | 68.3 | 69.5 |
| Atascadero USD | 65.4 | 64.1 | 68.6 | 77.6 |
| Cayucos Elementary District | 46.1 | 55.8 | 60.7 | 66.4 |
| Coast USD | 56.6 | 58.6 | 63.8 | 64.4 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 47.2 | 49.0 | 56.9 | 59.1 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 67.3 | 69.8 | 72.2 | 75.4 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 57.3 | 61.7 | 66.1 | 60.7 |
| San Miguel Joint USD | 35.0 | 40.8 | 46.9 | 44.0 |
| Shandon Joint USD | 54.8 | 63.2 | 64.4 | 65.2 |
| Templeton USD | $\mathbf{5 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 8}$ |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{4 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 4}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |


| NPR* of "Average" Student -- Language | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero USD | 63.4 | 64.2 | 67.9 | 67.7 |
| Cayucos Elementary District | 66.6 | 64.7 | 70.3 | 73.7 |
| Coast USD | 54.7 | 57.4 | 61.3 | 65.0 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 57.4 | 56.7 | 60.1 | 60.4 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 49.2 | 50.3 | 54.0 | 55.7 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 66.6 | 66.9 | 68.5 | 70.7 |
| San Miguel Joint USD | 50.3 | 49.4 | 57.3 | 50.9 |
| Shandon Joint USD | 33.9 | 34.7 | 43.2 | 44.9 |
| Templeton USD | 60.6 | 66.7 | 66.8 | 66.9 |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 3}$ |
| California | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0}$ |

[^3]
## B7 Test Scores-STAR

## STAR Test Scores- District, County and State, Continued

| NPR* of "Average" Student - Spelling | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero USD | 53.9 | 54.1 | 56.9 | 56.7 |
| Cayucos Elementary District | 54.6 | 55.1 | 56.4 | 62.6 |
| Coast USD | 39.9 | 43.1 | 45.9 | 50.4 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 46.7 | 45.9 | 52.1 | 52.9 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 37.6 | 37.9 | 43.3 | 45.6 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 58.0 | 58.6 | 61.0 | 63.1 |
| San Miguel Joint USD | 40.3 | 41.9 | 46.3 | 46.3 |
| Shandon Joint USD | 32.4 | 33.3 | 40.9 | 44.7 |
| Templeton USD | 50.3 | 56.7 | 57.0 | 60.1 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 49.0 | 49.4 | 53.6 | 55.0 |
| California | 38.3 | 41.3 | 45.3 | 48.1 |
| NPR* of "Average" Student - Science | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| Atascadero USD | 60.0 | 63.7 | 64.3 | 63.7 |
| Cayucos Elementary District | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Coast USD | 52.3 | 54.0 | 60.3 | 58.0 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 52.0 | 53.7 | 54.0 | 54.0 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 50.0 | 50.3 | 50.0 | 50.3 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 66.0 | 61.7 | 61.3 | 65.0 |
| San Miguel Joint USD | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Shandon Joint USD | 36.0 | 37.7 | 32.7 | 38.3 |
| Templeton USD | 61.0 | 65.7 | 67.3 | 68.0 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 56.7 | 56.7 | 57.0 | 57.3 |
| California | 43.7 | 44.7 | 45.3 | 45.0 |
| NPR* of "Average" Student - Social Science | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| Atascadero USD | 60.7 | 61.7 | 61.3 | 60.3 |
| Cayucos Elementary District | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Coast USD | 54.3 | 52.7 | 59.3 | 61.3 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 51.3 | 56.0 | 55.3 | 55.7 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 55.7 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 53.3 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 68.0 | 63.7 | 62.3 | 64.7 |
| San Miguel Joint USD | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Shandon Joint USD | 31.3 | 29.3 | 31.7 | 35.0 |
| Templeton USD | 61.7 | 64.3 | 63.0 | 67.0 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 58.3 | 58.0 | 57.0 | 58.0 |
| California | 44.7 | 45.3 | 46.3 | 46.7 |

[^4]
## B8 Academic Performance Index

| Elementary Schools | 1999 API | 2000 API | 2000 Statewide Decile | 2000 Similar Schools Decile | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1999-2000 } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bauer/Speck Elementary | 598 | 669 | 5 | 7 | 71 |
| Baywood Elementary | 771 | 801 | 9 | 3 | 30 |
| Bishop's Peak Elementary | 796 | 858 | 10 | 9 | 62 |
| Branch Elementary | 804 | 822 | 9 | 6 | 18 |
| Brown (Georgia) Elementary | 450 | 550 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| Butler (Pat) Elementary | 703 | 762 | 8 | 6 | 59 |
| Cambria Elementary | 691 | 716 | 7 | 4 | 25 |
| Cayucos Elementary | 779 | 811 | 9 | 7 | 32 |
| Dana Elementary | 627 | 649 | 5 | 3 | 22 |
| Del Mar Elementary | 725 | 769 | 8 | 8 | 44 |
| Grover Beach Elementary | 592 | 667 | 5 | 7 | 75 |
| Grover Heights Elementary | 700 | 734 | 7 | 7 | 34 |
| Harloe Elementary | 752 | 796 | 8 | 8 | 44 |
| Hawthorne Elementary | 716 | 715 | 7 | 5 | -1 |
| Larsen (Lillian) Elementary | 679 | 736 | 7 | 7 | 57 |
| Los Ranchos Elementary | 856 | 868 | 10 | 5 | 12 |
| Monarch Grove Elementary | 825 | 858 | 10 | 8 | 33 |
| Monterey Road Elementary | 787 | 811 | 9 | 6 | 24 |
| Morro Elementary | 753 | 764 | 8 | 8 | 11 |
| Nipomo Elementary | 632 | 668 | 5 | 4 | 36 |
| North Oceano Elementary | 607 | 648 | 5 | 3 | 41 |
| Ocean View Elementary | 796 | 812 | 9 | 2 | 16 |
| Oceano Elementary | 574 | NA | NA | NA | - |
| Pacheco Elementary | 675 | 678 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| Peterson (Virginia) Elementary | 613 | 705 | 6 | 6 | 92 |
| Pifer (Winifred) Elementary | 668 | 715 | 7 | 7 | 47 |
| San Benito Elementary | 775 | 797 | 8 | 7 | 22 |
| San Gabriel Elementary | 786 | 794 | 8 | 3 | 8 |
| Santa Margarita Elementary | 772 | 812 | 9 | 4 | 40 |
| Santa Rosa Road Academic Academy | 730 | 764 | 8 | 4 | 34 |
| Shell Beach Elementary | 797 | 824 | 9 | 6 | 27 |
| Sinsheimer Elementary | 863 | 855 | 10 | 8 | -8 |
| Smith (C. L.) Elementary | 803 | 797 | 8 | 7 | -6 |
| Sunnyside Elementary | 719 | 739 | 7 | 6 | 20 |
| Teach Elementary | 931 | 935 | 10 | 10 | 4 |
| Templeton Elementary | 822 | 797 | 8 | 5 | -25 |
| Vineyard Elementary | 784 | 766 | 8 | 3 | -18 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 713* | 758 |  |  | 45 |

Source: California Department of Education Educational Demographics Unit, 2001
Note: API Scores are out of a possible 1,000 points. See additional explanation on next page.

## B8 Academic Performance Index (continued)

| Middle Schools | 1999 API | 2000 API | 2000 Statewide Decile | 2000 <br> Similar <br> Schools Decile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1999- } \\ & \text { 2000 } \\ & \text { Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Junior High | 752 | 765 | 8 | 4 | 13 |
| Flamson (George H.) Middle | 620 | 619 | 5 | 1 | -1 |
| Judkins (Frances) Middle | 738 | 753 | 8 | 10 | 15 |
| Laguna Middle | 817 | 830 | 10 | 8 | 13 |
| Lewis (Daniel) Middle | 644 | 689 | 6 | 3 | 45 |
| Los Osos Middle | 736 | 784 | 9 | 6 | 48 |
| Mesa Middle | 684 | 756 | 8 | 10 | 72 |
| Paulding (Ruth) Middle | 748 | 778 | 9 | 8 | 30 |
| Santa Lucia Middle | 720 | 763 | 8 | 7 | 43 |
| Templeton Middle | 753 | 760 | 8 | 3 | 7 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 723* | 750 |  |  | 27 |
| High Schools | 1999 API | 2000 API | 2000 Statewide Decile | 2000 <br> Similar <br> Schools <br> Decile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1999- } \\ & \text { 2000 } \\ & \text { Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Arroyo Grande High | 702 | 702 | 8 | 7 | 0 |
| Atascadero High | 767 | 776 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Coast Union High | 665 | 728 | 9 | 6 | 63 |
| Morro Bay High | 718 | 743 | 9 | 6 | 25 |
| Paso Robles High | 686 | 683 | 7 | 7 | -3 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 771 | 747 | 9 | 2 | -24 |
| Shandon High | 491 | 544 | NA | NA | 53 |
| Templeton High | 764 | 763 | 9 | 9 | -1 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 722* | 725 |  |  | 3 |

Source: California Department of Education Educational Demographics Unit, 2001.
http:// data1.cde.ca.gov/ dataquest/SearchName.asp?cCounty=40+SAN+LUIS+OBISPO\&Topic=API\&LLevel=County \&'submit1= Submit

Note: 1999 countywide averages are approximate because the total number of students tested at each school was unavailable.
Note: The 2000 API (Base) summarizes a school's performance on the 2000 STAR. It is on a scale of 200 to 1000. It is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content area tests as measured through national percentile rankings (NPRs). Schools are ranked in deciles by grade level of instruction: elementary, middle, and bigh. A rank, of 10 is the bighest and 1 is the lowest. They are also ranked in deciles by school type when compared to schools with similar characteristics, including mobility, ethnicity, socioeconomic status and proportion of credentialed teachers.

## B9 Physical Fitness

## California Physical Fitness Test, 1999

|  | \% of Tested Students Scoring in "Healthy Fitness Zone" |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring 1999 | Grade 5 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 9 |  |
| Physical Fitness Tasks | San Luis | California | San Luis | California | San Luis | California |
| Obispo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obispo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aerobic Capacity | 59.6 | 58.1 | 75.9 | 58.4 | 67.7 | 49.0 |
| Body Composition | 76.3 | 67.1 | 80.1 | 66.7 | 75.3 | 68.6 |
| Abdominal Strength | 80.0 | 79.7 | 94.0 | 81.1 | 89.8 | 80.0 |
| Trunk Extension Strength | 86.4 | 84.1 | 95.2 | 85.8 | 89.4 | 79.6 |
| Upper Body Strength | 56.4 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 58.8 | 66.8 | 59.5 |
| Flexibility | 63.1 | 60.8 | 77.8 | 66.8 | 78.7 | 66.1 |

Source: California Department of Education web site: http:// wwww.eddataonline.com/fitness/, 2001

The Fitnessgram uses criterion-referenced standards to evaluate fitness performance. The standards were established by the Cooper Institute for Aerobics Research to represent a level of fitness that offers some degree of protection against diseases that result from sedentary living. Findings from current research based on the United States national norms have been used as the basis for establishing the Fitnessgram standards.

Performance is classified into two general areas: "in the bealthy fitness zone (HFZ)" and "not in the HFZ." For a list of the standards for the HFZ, see http:// www.eddataonline.com/fitness/appendix1. htm.

All students should strive to achieve a score within the HFZ. It is possible that some students score above the HFZ. For the purpose of this report, scores are reported as meeting the standard (falling in the fitness zone) or not meeting the standard (falling lower than the HFZ).

## B10 Student Attendance/Expulsion

## Students referred to School Attendance Review Board

| District | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | \% Change <br> from 1994-95 | 98-99 Referrals as \% Student Population <br> of |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Lucia Mar | 137 | 95 | $-31 \%$ | 0.90 |
| San Luis Obispo | 74 | 44 | $-41 \%$ | 0.52 |
| Atascadero | 69 | 50 | $-28 \%$ | 0.85 |
| Paso Robles | 37 | 82 | $+122 \%$ | 1.32 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7}$ |

Source: Children's Services Network, 1999.

## Students Identified as Title 1

| District | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | \% Change <br> from 1994-95 | 98-99 Title 1 as $\%$ of <br> Student Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lucia Mar | 5,500 | 2,824 | -49 | 26.6 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1,508 | 2,152 | 43 | 25.4 |
| Atascadero | 926 | 482 | -48 | 8.1 |
| Paso Robles | 671 | 1,025 | 53 | 16.5 |
| Total | 8,605 | 6,483 | -25 | 20.8 |

Source: Children's Services Network, 1999
Note: "Title 1" students are identified as having language arts skills two or more grade levels below their current grade.

## Students Expelled

| District | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | Change from <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 9 5}$ | 98-99 Expulsions as of <br> Student Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lucia Mar | 142 | 20 | -86 | 0.32 |
| San Luis Obispo | 14 | 12 | -14 | 0.20 |
| Atascadero | 9 | 4 | -56 | 0.05 |
| Paso Robles | 56 | 14 | -75 | 0.13 |
| Total | 221 | 50 | -77 | 0.16 |

Source: Cbildren's Services Network, 1999.

## B1 1 College Prep Work

## Percent of UC/CSU-eligible Graduates

| District | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}-\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ - 98 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ ‘‘99 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - ‘ 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 46.4 | 52.4 | 51.0 | 46.8 |
| Coast Unified | 41.8 | 33.8 | 57.8 | 36.4 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 26.2 | 28.6 | 28.9 | 29.1 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 34.4 | 41.5 | 43.9 | 27.1 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 46.3 | 36.5 | 40.2 | 35.8 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 52.6 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 41.9 |
| Templeton Unified | 65.0 | 52.5 | 39.3 | 39.3 |
| County Totals: | 39.7 | 37.1 | 37.8 | 33.8 |
| State Totals: | 36.0 | 36.6 | 35.6 | 34.8 |

Source: California Department of Education Educational Demographics Unit, 2001.
http:// data1.cde.ca.gov/ dataquest/Cbeds2.asp?Grads=one Uccsu=onercChoice=CoProf2ercYear=2000-
01 ぶTheCounty=40\%2CSAN\%2CLUIS\%2COBISPO\&rLevel=County ersubmit $=$ Submit

## B12 High School Graduation

High School Graduates by District
ATASCADERO UNIFIED SCHOOL

| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero High | 305 | 310 | 322 | 352 | 345 |
| West Mall Alternative | NA | 11 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Westside Continuation High | NA | 21 | 12 | 0 | 28 |
| Oak Hills High (Continuation) | 37 | 29 | 23 | 7 | NA |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 7}$ |


| PASO ROBLES SCHOOL DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paso Robles High | 301 | 322 | 316 | 337 | 346 |
| Liberty High (Continuation) | 23 | 21 | 14 | 16 | 22 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 8}$ |


| TEMPLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Templeton High | 88 | 116 | 106 | 124 | 136 |
| Templeton Independent | NA | NA | 35 | 44 | 35 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ |


| SHANDON SCHOOL DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shandon High School | 18 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 31 |

SAN LUIS COASTAL UNIFIED SCHOOL

| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo High | 238 | 285 | 285 | 286 | 358 |
| Pacific Beach Continuation High | 39 | 27 | 35 | 34 | 25 |
| Morro Bay High | 195 | 176 | 217 | 195 | 186 |
| San Luis Obispo Coastal Special Education | 2 | NA | NA | 2 | 7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ |


| COAST UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coast Union High | 68 | 71 | 59 | 78 | 94 |
| Leffingwell Continuation High | 7 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 16 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |

B 12 High School Graduation, Continued
LUCIA MAR UNIFIED SCHOOL

| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande High | 433 | 452 | 520 | 521 | 501 |
| Lopez Continuation High | 65 | 82 | 75 | 75 | 59 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ |


| GRAND TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| San Luis Obispo County | 1,828 | 1,953 | 2,128 | 2,225 | 2,284 |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.

## B13 High School Graduates, by Ethnicity

| Atascadero Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 315 | 333 | 319 | 312 | 342 |
| Hispanic | 31 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 33 |
| Asian | 7 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 5 |
| African American | 4 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| Filipino | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Native American | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 7}$ |


| Paso Robles Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 256 | 272 | 319 | 271 | 270 |
| Hispanic | 50 | 53 | 23 | 68 | 77 |
| Asian | 4 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| African American | 14 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 18 |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Native American | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6}$ |


| Templeton Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 72 | 99 | 118 | 145 | 154 |
| Hispanic | 12 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 15 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| African American | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Filipino | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Native American | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.

## B 13 High School Graduates, by Ethnicity, Continued

| Shandon Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 7 | 13 | 18 | 10 | 18 |
| Hispanic | 8 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 13 |
| Asian | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Native American | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |


| San Luis Coastal Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 397 | 420 | 459 | 437 | 472 |
| Hispanic | 40 | 35 | 42 | 49 | 50 |
| Asian | 18 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 26 |
| African American | 9 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 10 |
| Filipino | 7 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 13 |
| Native American | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ |


| Coast Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 62 | 65 | 58 | 74 | 94 |
| Hispanic | 12 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 16 |
| Asian | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| African American | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Native American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.

## B13 High School Graduates, by Ethnicity, Continued

| Lucia Mar Unified School District | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 346 | 387 | 431 | 403 | 397 |
| Hispanic | 121 | 117 | 134 | 150 | 133 |
| Asian | 8 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 7 |
| African American | 7 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| Filipino | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Native American | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.

## B 14 Test Scores-SAT

SAT Scores by School

| PASO ROBLES HIGH SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 294 | 352 | 394 | 334 | 388 | 384 |
| Students Tested | 134 | 132 | 143 | 132 | 156 | 139 |
| \% Tested | 45.6 | 37.5 | 36.3 | 39.5 | 40.2 | 36.2 |
| Average Verbal Score | 504 | 471 | 524 | 507 | 506 | 522 |
| Average Math Score | 508 | 493 | 527 | 521 | 515 | 516 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 8}$ |


| ATASCADERO HIGH SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 291 | 346 | 326 | 355 | 367 | 373 |
| Students Tested | 104 | 138 | 136 | 142 | 162 | 155 |
| \% Tested | 35.7 | 39.9 | 41.7 | 40 | 44.1 | 41.6 |
| Average Verbal Score | 509 | 534 | 522 | 536 | 532 | 532 |
| Average Math Score | 521 | 536 | 529 | 547 | 550 | 536 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 8}$ |


| TEMPLETON HIGH SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 82 | 102 | 133 | 173 | 130 | 149 |
| Students Tested | 29 | 25 | 50 | 47 | 59 | 77 |
| \% Tested | 35.4 | 24.5 | 37.6 | 27.2 | 45.4 | 51.7 |
| Average Verbal Score | 516 | 511 | 516 | 488 | 507 | 531 |
| Average Math Score | 489 | 497 | 494 | 478 | 485 | 524 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 5}$ |


| SAN LUIS OBISPO HIGH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 280 | 268 | 302 | 301 | 311 | 384 |
| Students Tested | 152 | 138 | 174 | 165 | 180 | 207 |
| \% Tested | 54.3 | 51.5 | 57.6 | 54.8 | 57.9 | 53.9 |
| Average Verbal Score | 561 | 560 | 566 | 560 | 554 | 558 |
| Average Math Score | 566 | 565 | 578 | 550 | 572 | 560 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 8}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Office of Policy and Evaluation, 2001.
Note: Total possible SAT score is 1600.

## B 14 Test Scores-SAT, continued

SAT Scores by School, continued

| MORRO BAY HIGH SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 199 | 196 | 185 | 236 | 213 | 203 |
| Students Tested | 55 | 64 | 68 | 71 | 92 | 78 |
| \% Tested | 27.6 | 32.7 | 36.8 | 30.1 | 43.2 | 38.4 |
| Average Verbal Score | 540 | 558 | 536 | 526 | 548 | 529 |
| Average Math Score | 543 | 552 | 540 | 544 | 547 | 539 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 8}$ |


| COAST UNION HIGH SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 65 | 73 | 70 | 60 | 79 | 98 |
| Students Tested | 22 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 53 | 39 |
| \% Tested | 33.8 | 50.7 | 47.1 | 50 | 67.1 | 39.8 |
| Average Verbal Score | 480 | 519 | 536 | 509 | 526 | 528 |
| Average Math Score | 512 | 534 | 541 | 541 | 523 | 525 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 3}$ |


| SHANDON HIGH SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 27 | 20 | 28 | 24 | 26 | 33 |
| Students Tested | 3 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 14 |
| \% Tested | 11.1 | 30 | 35.7 | 25 | 7.7 | 42.4 |
| Average Verbal Score | 410 | 410 | 403 | 453 | 370 | 434 |
| Average Math Score | 410 | 377 | 446 | 492 | 370 | 504 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 8}$ |


| ARROYO GRANDE HIGH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SCHOOL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 470 | 497 | 502 | 624 | 590 | 546 |
| Students Tested | 176 | 184 | 190 | 216 | 212 | 209 |
| \% Tested | 37.4 | 37 | 37.8 | 34.6 | 35.9 | 38.3 |
| Average Verbal Score | 526 | 531 | 523 | 515 | 527 | 527 |
| Average Math Score | 540 | 531 | 537 | 526 | 534 | 525 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 2}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Office of Policy and Evaluation, 2001.
Note: Total possible SAT score is 1600.

## B 14 Test Scores-SAT, continued

SAT Scores by School, continued

| SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 1,844 | 2,054 | 2,179 | 2,341 | 2,498 | 2,697 |
| Students Tested | 675 | 725 | 804 | 810 | 916 | 919 |
| \% Tested | 36.6 | 35.3 | 36.9 | 34.6 | 36.7 | 34.1 |
| Average Verbal Score | 526 | 526 | 532 | 525 | 530 | 533 |
| Average Math Score | 533 | 531 | 539 | 533 | 538 | 534 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 7}$ |


| CALIFORNIA | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 th Grade Enrollment | 285,132 | 287,428 | 298,669 | 317,595 | 334,852 | 347,813 |
| Students Tested | 102,782 | 105,448 | 108,210 | 113,968 | 122,359 | 126,786 |
| \% Tested | 36 | 36.7 | 36.2 | 35.6 | 36.5 | 36.5 |
| Average Verbal Score | 488 | 490 | 490 | 491 | 492 | 492 |
| Average Math Score | 509 | 511 | 514 | 516 | 513 | 517 |
| Average Total Score | $\mathbf{9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 9}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Office of Policy and Evaluation, 2001.
Note: Total possible SAT score is 1600.

## B15 Comparison of Average Total SAT Scores

School, County, and State

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paso Robles High School | 1,012 | 964 | 1,051 | 1,028 | 1,021 | 1,038 |
| Atascadero High School | 1,030 | 1,070 | 1,051 | 1,083 | 1,082 | 1,068 |
| Templeton High School | 1,005 | 1,008 | 1,010 | 966 | 992 | 1,055 |
| San Luis Obispo High | 1,127 | 1,125 | 1,144 | 1,110 | 1,126 | 1,118 |
| School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morro Bay High School | 1,083 | 1,110 | 1,076 | 1,070 | 1,095 | 1,068 |
| Coast Union High School | 992 | 1,053 | 1,077 | 1,050 | 1,049 | 1,053 |
| Shandon High School | 820 | 787 | 849 | 945 | 740 | 938 |
| Arroyo Grande High | 1,066 | 1,062 | 1,060 | 1,041 | 1,061 | 1,052 |
| School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 1,059 | 1,057 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 1}$ | 1,058 | 1,068 | 1,067 |
| State | $\mathbf{9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 9}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, Office of Policy and Evaluation, 2001.
Note: Total possible SAT score is 1600.

## B16 High School Dropout Rates

San Luis Obispo County and California
One-year Rate

| DISTRICTS | $\mathbf{9 3 - 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atascadero USD | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Paso Robles Joint USD | 6.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Templeton USD | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Shandon Joint USD | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Coast USD | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| San Luis Coastal USD | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Lucia Mar USD | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |
| California | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.
Note: 1 year drop-out rate: the annual dropout rate is calculated by dividing the number of dropouts in grades 9 through 12, by the total enrollment in those grades for the same year (Gr. 9-12 Dropouts/Gr. 9-12 Enrollment)*100. It is also called the "annual" or "event" rate and it is the dropout rate used by the National Center for Education Statistics to compare states and school districts. A dropout is a student who meets the following criteria: was formerly enrolled in grades 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12; was formerly enrolled in a school or program leading to a bigh school diploma or its equivalent; was under 21 years of age; bas left school for 45 consecutive school days and has not enrolled in another public or private educational institution or school program; has not received a bigh school diploma or its equivalent; has not re-enrolled in the school.

Four-year Rate

|  | '93-'94 | '94-'95 | '95-’96 | '96-'97 | '97-’98 | '98-'99 | '99-‘00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero Unified | 14.5 | 8.0 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 6.6 |
| Coast Unified | 6.6 | 10.8 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| Lucia Mar Unified | 11.1 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 10.4 | 5.9 |
| Paso Robles Joint Unified | 26.2 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | 13.1 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 9.6 |
| Shandon Joint Unified | 4.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Templeton Unified | 9.9 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 4.1 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 15.0 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
| California | 18.5 | 17.1 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 11.1 |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.
The 4-year derived dropout rate is an estimate of the percent of students who would drop out in a four year period based on data collected for a single year (1-((1-(drop gr 9/ enroll gr 9))*(1-(drop gr 10/ enroll gr 10))*(1-(drop gr 11/ enroll gr 11))*(1-(drop gr 12/ enroll gr 12))))*100. This estimates the number of students who start but do not finish bigh school. To create an actual 4-year rate the CDE would need to collect individual student data and be able to track such data over time.

## B16 High School Dropout Rates, continued

## Rates by Ethnicity

San Luis Obispo County

| ETHNICITY | $\mathbf{9 3 - 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Hispanic | 7.7 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Asian | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| African American | 7.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Filipino | 4.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| Native American | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Pacific Islander | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |

California

| ETHNICITY | $\mathbf{9 3 - 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Hispanic | 7.2 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Asian | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| African American | 8.0 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Filipino | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Native American | 6.2 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ |
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## B17 Work Preparedness Survey

## Employer Evaluation of New Employees

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of employers surveyed | $(23)$ <br> \% Excellent or <br> Very Good | $(49)$ <br> \% Excellent or <br> Very Good |
| FOUNDATION SKILLS |  |  |
| Basic Skills, such as reading, writing, and arithmetic | 18 | 40 |
| Thinking Skills, such as decision making and problem solving | 18 | 17 |
| Personal Qualities, such as responsibility and self-esteem | 11 | 23 |
| WORKPLACE SKILLS |  |  |
| Resource Skills, such as plans and allocates resources | 11 | 10 |
| Interpersonal Skills, such as works with others | 18 | 38 |
| Information Skills, such as acquires and uses information | 24 | 23 |
| Systems Skills, such as understands complex relationships | 18 | 14 |
| Technology Skills, such as works with a variety of technologies | 11 | 33 |

Source: Foundation for Community Design employer survey, 1999; ACTION for Healthy Communities employer survey, 2001.
A question asking the employers to rate the new graduates on eight SCAN skills was included in both surveys. The table shows the percentages of employers who rated new high school graduates they had bired as Excellent or Very Good on a five-point scale that also included Good, Fair, and Poor.

Note: The 49 employers surveyed in 2001 estimate they bad interviewed over 1,500 new high school grads in the past year. Forty-one of those employers had hired a total of 306 of those new graduates.

## B18 College Preparation \& Placement

## Percent of Incoming Students with College-Level Skills

| Language | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County HS Graduates | 62 | 65 | 62 | 64 | 64 |
| All Students | 62 | 65 | 62 | 62 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| San Luis Obispo County HS Graduates | 71 | 75 | 79 | 74 | 74 |
| All Students | 61 | 65 | 67 | 67 | 69 |

Source: Cuesta College, Matriculation Services, Advanced Placement Test, 2001
Note: Percentages reflect recommended placement of students in either A.A. degree courses or transfer-level courses.

## B19 Literacy

## Average State Literacy Level, by Educational Attainment, 1992

| Level of Education <br> Attained | Prose Literacy <br> Ave. Score | Document Literacy <br> Ave. Score | Quantitative <br> Literacy Ave. Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 8 grade | 152 | 145 | 148 |
| 9 to 12 grade | 225 | 219 | 225 |
| High School Diploma | 264 | 257 | 262 |
| GED | 263 | 259 | 261 |
| Some Post Secondary | 297 | 292 | 297 |
| 4 year College Degree | 331 | 322 | 331 |

Source: State Adult Literacy Survey- Adult Education Office, 1992.
Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.
Note: Literacy scores are out of a possible 500. Scores below 200 are considered very low; above 375 are considered very bigh.
Prose literacy involves the knowledge and skills needed to understand and use information from texts that include editorials, news stories, poems, and fiction; for example, finding a piece of information in a newspaper article, interpreting instructions from a warranty, inferring a theme from a poem, or contrasting viens expressed in editorials.

Document literacy concerns the knowledge and skills required to locate and use information contained in materials that include job applications, payroll forms, transportation schedules, maps, tables, and graphs; for example, locating a particular intersection on a street map, using a schedule to choose the appropriate bus, or entering information on an application form.

Quantitative literacy involves the knowledge and skills required to apply arithmetic operations, either alone or sequentially, using numbers embedded in printed materials; for example, balancing a checkbook, figuring out a tip, completing an order form, or determining the amount of interest from a loan advertisement.
Note: The next National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL) is planned for the year 2002. The NAAL is an in-person housebold survey that includes an assessment of English-language literacy skills and an interview to collect background information. The literacy assessment measures the ability to use printed or written materials to perform prose, document, or quantitative tasks that simulate real-life experiences. More information about literacy scores and the NAAL is available from the National Center for Education Statistics (bttp://nces.ed.gov/pubs2001/2001457.pdf).

## B19 Literacy, continued

Literacy estimates and comparison to average annual earnings
Average Annual Earnings, by Literacy Proficiency Level, 1992

| Proficiency Level | Prose Literacy | Document <br> Literacy | Quantitative <br> Literacy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1: Score 0 to 225 | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 18,050$ | $\$ 17,390$ |
| Level 2: Score 225 to 275 | $\$ 29,380$ | $\$ 30,160$ | $\$ 28,980$ |
| Level 3: Score 276 to 325 | $\$ 39,390$ | $\$ 40,140$ | $\$ 39,970$ |
| Level 4: Score 326 to 375 | $\$ 53,590$ | $\$ 51,610$ | $\$ 52,590$ |
| Level 5: Score 376 to 500 | $\$ 66,990$ | $\$ 64,040$ | $\$ 64,580$ |

Note: this is the average salary that a person would receive by test score level. Literacy levels represent ranges of literacy scores that correspond to the ability to comprehend and complete tasks of increasing complexity.

Source: State Adult Literacy Survey- Adult Education Office, 1992.

## City, County and State Literacy Estimates, 1996

| Jurisdiction | Mean Literacy <br> Proficiency | Percent at Level 1 <br> (Score: 0-225) | Percent at Level 1 <br> or 2 (Score: 0-275) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atascadero | 289 | 11 | 31 |
| Paso Robles | 270 | 20 | 45 |
| Grover City | 271 | 18 | 44 |
| San Luis Obispo | 299 | 12 | 28 |
| Arroyo Grande | 285 | 16 | 36 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 282 | 17 | 38 |
| California | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
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## B20 Library Services

요․ Telephone Survey Results
How many times have you visited any public library in the past three months?

| Number of visits | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| None | 407 | 50.7 |
| $1-2$ | 130 | 16.2 |
| $3-5$ | 121 | 15.1 |
| $6-10$ | 63 | 7.9 |
| $11-25$ | 54 | 6.7 |
| $26-50$ | 19 | 2.4 |
| 50 or more | 8 | 1.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## 酸 Telephone Survey Results

How would you rate SLO county in the following area:
Library Services

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 200 | 25.3 |
| Very Good | 320 | 40.6 |
| Good | 207 | 26.2 |
| Fair | 52 | 6.6 |
| Poor | 10 | 1.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## B20 Library Services, continued

율 Telephone Survey Results
Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
"The county should spend more money to: Expand library services, including extending the hours they are open"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Strongly agree | 169 | 22.1 |
| Agree | 291 | 38.1 |
| Neutral | 218 | 28.5 |
| Disagree | 66 | 8.6 |
| Strongly Disagree | 20 | 2.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## ACTION for Healthy Communities

## Economic Issues
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## Economic Issues

The ACTION for Healthy Communities Project looked at the local economy through employment, unemployment and poverty rates, local salaries, the number of new homes built, the amount of taxes raised and the perceptions of local residents about their own economic well-being.

More local residents worked in 2000 than in previous years. There was a 3.6 percent increase in employment countywide over 1999. The largest increases (among industries with at least 1,000 jobs) were found in the hospitality, agriculture and wholesale trade industries ( $15 \%, 12 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively).

Local unemployment rates continued to drop for the fifth consecutive year. San Luis Obispo's countywide rate of 2.9 percent in 2000 was well below state ( $4.9 \%$ ) and national ( $4.0 \%$ ) levels. When asked how concerned they were about employment opportunities in the county, one in three phone survey respondents said "very concerned."

Closely related to employment issues is child care. About three in 10 parents of pre-schoolers indicate they are unable to get some kind of child care that they need. The most-frequently cited need is part-time care; the most-frequently cited location is close to home.

When asked whether they were better off economically this year than last year, 41 percent of telephone-survey respondents said "yes." While they outnumbered those who said "no" (29\%), the proportion of "no" responses has doubled since the 1999 phone survey.

Locally, the average per capita personal income for 1997 was $\$ 25,888$, a 4.1 percent increase over the previous year. Statewide, the personal income rate rose 5.6 percent; nationally, personal income rose 4.5 percent.

For families, the 2000 median family income was unchanged from 1999 at $\$ 48,000$. Meanwhile, state and national median incomes posted slight gains, to $\$ 55,400$ and $\$ 50,200$, respectively.

Nearly one resident in five acknowledged receiving some kind of state or federal assistance in the past 12 months. More than half of those were seniors receiving Medicare benefits.

The number of school-age children enrolled in the reduced-cost meals program dropped more than one percent in 2000-2001, to 29.4 percent, as did the proportion of children receiving AFDC/Calworks assistance (to $5.0 \%$ ).

Another sign of a healthy economy is in how much money people are spending. Retail sales countywide in 2000 rose 7.5 percent, slightly slower than the statewide rate. Growth in both local and statewide spending has been a combination of population growth and greater spending per person. In 2000 per-capita spending in the county rose by 5 percent, slightly greater than the growth experienced statewide.
Each incorporated community in the county experienced real growth in spending, led by Arroyo Grande ( $17 \%$ increase) and Paso Robles ( $13 \%$ increase). All communities but Grover Beach also experienced an increase in per-person spending.

## Cl Economic Well-being

## Te Telephone Survey Results

Do you feel you are better off this year than last year economically?*

|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| ReSponse | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| Yes | 272 | 50.1 | 331 | 41.1 |
| No | 75 | 13.8 | 230 | 28.6 |
| About the same | 196 | 36.1 | 244 | 30.3 |
| Total | 543 | 100.0 | 805 | 100.0 |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

* The word "economically" was added in 2001 for clarity. Results may not be comparable to the 1999 Action results


## Responses, by Respondent Type

|  | 1999 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RESPONSE |  |  | \% ABOUT <br> \%HE SAME | \% YES | \% No | \% ABOUT <br> THE SAME |
| Respondents with <br> income under \$15,000 | 31.6 | 29.8 | 38.6 | 19.5 | 39.0 | 41.5 |
| Seniors 55 and older | 31.4 | 13.4 | 55.2 | 25.5 | 33.0 | 41.5 |
| Latino | 52.1 | 11.3 | 36.6 | 48.2 | 24.7 | 27.1 |
| North County | 54.0 | 13.6 | 32.4 | 45.1 | 29.4 | 25.5 |
| North Coast | 49.1 | 12.0 | 38.9 | 38.3 | 31.2 | 30.5 |
| San Luis Obispo | 47.2 | 13.9 | 38.9 | 36.9 | 27.9 | 35.1 |
| South County | 49.3 | 15.5 | 35.1 | 42.8 | 26.2 | 31.0 |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## C2 Household Income

## Ter Telephone Survey Results

Which income range best describes your household income?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| ReSPONSE | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| Less than \$15,000 per year | 57 | 11.4 | 82 | 13.1 |
| \$15,000-\$25,000 per year | 83 | 16.6 | 83 | 13.3 |
| \$25,000-\$35,000 per year | 80 | 16.0 | 92 | 14.7 |
| \$35,000-\$45,000 per year | 88 | 17.6 | 92 | 14.7 |
| \$45,000-\$55,000 per year | 86 | 17.2 | 122 | 19.5 |
| \$65,000-\$80,000 per year | 37 | 7.4 | 53 | 8.5 |
| \$80,000-\$100,000 per year | 28 | 5.6 | 47 | 7.5 |
| \$100,000-\$125,000 per year | 21 | 4.2 | 23 | 3.7 |
| \$125,000-\$150,000 per year | 6 | 1.2 | 14 | 2.2 |
| Over \$150,000 per year | 14 | 2.8 | 17 | 2.7 |
| Total | 543 | 100.0 | 805 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Household Income | $\$ 40,500$ |  | $\$ 42,100$ |  |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## 国 Responses, by Respondent Type

|  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| RESPONSE | MEAN HH INCOME |  |
| Seniors 60 and older | $\$$ | 36,700 |
| Latino | $\$$ | 31,300 |
| Single-parent households | $\$$ | 37,300 |
| North County | $\$$ | 44,950 |
| North Coast | $\$$ | 49,750 |
| San Luis Obispo | $\$$ | 37,600 |
| South County | $\$$ | 43,300 |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## C2 Household Income, continued

## Median Income

| INCOME | FY 1996 | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY1999 | FY 2000 | 99-00 <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\$ 43,300$ | $\$ 43,800$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ 48,000$ | 0.0 |
| State | $\$ 48,400$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 52,600$ | $\$ 54,100$ | $\$ 55,400$ | 2.4 |
| National | $\$ 41,600$ | $\$ 43,500$ | $\$ 45,300$ | $\$ 47,800$ | $\$ 50,200$ | 5.0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2001.
*1996-1998 State and National statistics reflect updated information.
Note: Median family income estimates are calculated for each metropolitan and non-metropolitan area using the Fair Market Rent (FMR) area definitions applied in the Section 8 Housing Assistance Payment program. The estimates are based on 1990 Census median family income estimates updated to 1998 with a combination of Bureau of Labor Statistics earnings and employment data and Census Divisional P-60 median family income Data.Indicator 62

Per Capita Personal Income

| INCOME | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 98-99 <br> \% CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\$ 20,933$ | $\$ 21,984$ | $\$ 23,559$ | $\$ 24,879$ | $\$ 25,888$ | 4.1 |
| State | $\$ 24,496$ | $\$ 25,563$ | $\$ 26,742$ | $\$ 28,264$ | $\$ 29,856$ | 5.6 |
| National | $\$ 23,562$ | $\$ 24,651$ | $\$ 25,874$ | $\$ 27,321$ | $\$ 28,546$ | 4.5 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2001.
*1995-1997 statistics also reflect updated information.
Note: Per capita personal income (PCPI) is calculated by dividing the total personal income by the total population for a given county. Population figures used for this calculation are derived from the Census Bureau mid-year estimates.

# C3 Concern，Employment Opportunities 

## 요⿴囗十 Telephone Survey Results

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community？For each one， please answer＂V ery Concerned，＂＂Somewhat Concerned，＂or＂Not at all Concerned．＂

## Employment Opportunities

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very Concerned | 267 | 33.6 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 309 | 38.9 |
| Not at all Concerned | 218 | 27.5 |

Source： 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities，Telephone Survey．

## C4 Annual Average Unemployment

County, State and National Comparisons

| San Luis Obispo County | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% CHANGE } \\ & 1999-2000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor Force | 102,500 | 106,200 | 108,800 | 112,000 | 115,700 | 3.3 |
| Number Employed | 96,800 | 101,200 | 104,300 | 108,400 | 112,300 | 3.6 |
| Number Unemployed | 5,700 | 5,000 | 4,500 | 3,600 | 3,400 | -5.6 |
| County Unemployment Rate | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | -9.4 |
| California Unemployment Rate | 7.2 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 4.9 | -5.8 |
| National Unemployment Rate | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.0 | -4.8 |

Sources: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, 2001; U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2001.

## C5 Net Job Growth

Number of Persons Employed, by Industry- San Luis Obispo County

| Industry | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Farm | 3,900 | 4,800 | 4,600 | 4,300 | 4,800 | 11.6 |
| Total Nonfarm | 78,800 | 82,100 | 86,100 | 90,500 | 94,200 | 4.1 |
| Goods Producing | 10,500 | 11,100 | 12,000 | 12,900 | 13,400 | 3.9 |
| Mining | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 200 | 100.0 |
| Construction | 3,800 | 4,100 | 4,500 | 5,300 | 5,700 | 7.5 |
| Manufacturing | 6,700 | 6,800 | 7,300 | 7,400 | 7,500 | 1.4 |
| Durable Goods | 3,400 | 3,600 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,000 | -2.4 |
| Industrial Machinery | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,300 | 1,100 | -15.3 |
| Electronic Equipment | 600 | 600 | 700 | 700 | 800 | 14.3 |
| Other Durable Goods | 1,600 | 1,700 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 0.0 |
| Nondurable Goods | 3,300 | 3,200 | 3,300 | 3,400 | 3,500 | 2.9 |
| Food \& Kindred Products | 600 | 700 | 700 | 800 | 900 | 12.5 |
| Printing \& Publishing | 1,400 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 0.0 |
| Other Nondurable Goods | 1,200 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 0.0 |
| Service Producing | 68,300 | 71,000 | 74,200 | 77,600 | 80,800 | 4.1 |
| Transportation, Public Utilities | 4,400 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,800 | 5,000 | 4.2 |
| Trade | 20,600 | 21,000 | 21,900 | 23,200 | 24,200 | 4.3 |
| Wholesale Trade | 2,500 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,700 | 3,000 | 11.1 |
| Retail Trade | 18,100 | 18,400 | 19,400 | 20,500 | 21,200 | 3.4 |
| General Merchandise | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 0.0 |
| Food Stores | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,600 | 2,700 | 2,600 | -3.7 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | 8,100 | 7,800 | 8,300 | 8,700 | 8,900 | 2.3 |
| Other Retail Trade | 6,500 | 6,900 | 7,100 | 7,600 | 8,200 | 7.9 |
| Finance, Insurance \& Real Estate | 4,200 | 4,400 | 4,700 | 4,900 | 4,800 | 2.0 |
| Services | 19,900 | 20,900 | 21,900 | 23,200 | 24,400 | 5.2 |
| Hotels \& Other Lodging Places | 2,000 | 2,200 | 2,400 | 2,600 | 3,000 | 15.4 |
| Business Services | 2,600 | 3,100 | 3,200 | 3,600 | 3,500 | 2.8 |
| Amusement \& Recreation Serv. | 1,200 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 10.0 |
| Health Services | 5,600 | 5,800 | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 | 0.0 |
| Social Serv. \& Member Orgn | 3,100 | 3,200 | 3,300 | 3,500 | 3,700 | 5.7 |
| Other Services | 5,400 | 5,600 | 5,800 | 6,500 | 7,000 | 7.7 |
| Government | 19,300 | 20,300 | 21,200 | 21,600 | 22,400 | 3.7 |
| Federal Government | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 800 | 14.3 |
| State \& Local Government | 18,600 | 19,600 | 20,500 | 20,800 | 21,600 | 3.8 |
| State Government | 8,700 | 8,800 | 8,800 | 9,000 | 9,200 | 2.2 |
| State Education | 3,300 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,600 | 3,700 | 2.8 |
| Other State Government | 5,300 | 5,200 | 5,300 | 5,400 | 5,500 | 1.9 |
| Local Government | 9,900 | 10,800 | 11,700 | 11,800 | 12,500 | 5.9 |
| Total, All Industries | 82,700 | 87,000 | 90,800 | 94,800 | 99,000 | 4.4 |
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## C5 Net Job Growth, continued

## 20 Occupations with the Largest Projected Growth

| OCCUPATION | Growth Rate Current RANK | GROWTH RATE 1998 RANK | 1997 | $2004$ <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW } \\ & \text { Jobs } \end{aligned}$ | \% <br> CHANGE | Median <br> Hourly <br> WAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salespersons, Retail | 1 | 5 | 3,690 | 4,230 | 540 | 14.6 | \$7.23 |
| Cashiers | 2 | 4 | 2,520 | 2,890 | 370 | 14.7 | \$7.25 |
| General Managers/ Top Executive | 3 | 3 | 2,310 | 2,530 | 220 | 9.5 | \$23.56 |
| Corrections Officers, Jailers | 4 | 10 | 890 | 1,090 | 200 | 22.5 | \$20.01 |
| Maintenance Repairers, General Utility | 5 | 11 | 1,130 | 1,330 | 200 | 17.7 | \$19.16 |
| Laborers/Landscaping/ | 6 | 17 | 1,380 | 1,560 | 180 | 13.0 | \$7.83 |
| Grounds keeping |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined Food Prep \& Service | 7 | NA | 1,760 | 1,930 | 170 | 9.7 | \$6.19 |
| Computer Support Specialists | 8 | NA | 370 | 530 | 160 | 43.2 | \$11.89 |
| General Office Clerks | 9 | 9 | 2,160 | 2,320 | 160 | 7.4 | \$8.88 |
| Teacher Aides, Paraprofessional | 10 | 6 | 450 | 600 | 150 | 33.3 | \$9.60 |
| Teachers - Elementary School | 11 | 1 | 1,430 | 1,580 | 150 | 10.5 | NA |
| Waiters \& Waitresses | 12 | 7 | 2,020 | 2,170 | 150 | 7.4 | \$5.99 |
| Teacher Aides \& Education Assistants, Clerical | 13 | NA | 460 | 590 | 130 | 28.3 | \$7.77 |
| Food Prep Workers | 14 | 13 | 950 | 1,070 | 120 | 12.6 | \$6.59 |
| Receptionists | 15 | 16 | 690 | 800 | 110 | 15.9 | \$8.16 |
| Police Patrol Officers | 16 | NA | 480 | 580 | 100 | 20.8 | \$22.70 |
| Child Care Workers | 17 | NA | 430 | 520 | 90 | 20.9 | \$6.93 |
| Human Services Workers | 18 | NA | 160 | 250 | 90 | 56.3 | \$11.05 |
| Systems Analysts | 19 | NA | 180 | 270 | 90 | 50.0 | \$19.68 |
| Teachers, Preschool | 20 | NA | 480 | 570 | 90 | 18.8 | \$9.13 |

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, 1998.

## C6 Average Salaries

Annual Average Salary, by Selected Industry

| InDUSTRY | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ <br> \% CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture | $\$ 16,023$ | $\$ 17,258$ | $\$ 18,043$ | 4.5 |
| Mining | $\$ 58,362$ | $\$ 61,129$ | $\$ 66,241$ | 8.4 |
| Construction | $\$ 34,514$ | $\$ 36,076$ | $\$ 37,454$ | 3.8 |
| Manufacturing | $\$ 42,512$ | $\$ 44,742$ | $\$ 49,661$ | 11.0 |
| Transportation / Utilities | $\$ 40,227$ | $\$ 41,386$ | $\$ 46,491$ | 12.3 |
| Wholesale Trade | $\$ 40,886$ | $\$ 43,547$ | $\$ 45,789$ | 5.1 |
| Retail Trade | $\$ 18,073$ | $\$ 19,321$ | $\$ 20,384$ | 5.5 |
| Finance / Insurance / Real Estate | $\$ 47,028$ | $\$ 51,906$ | $\$ 54,730$ | 5.4 |
| Services | $\$ 33,384$ | $\$ 34,630$ | $\$ 36,906$ | 6.6 |
| Government | $\$ 39,325$ | $\$ 40,932$ | $\$ 41,540$ | 1.5 |
| Total | $\$ 33,010$ | $\$ 34,836$ | $\$ 37,311$ | 7.1 |

Source: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, 2001.
*1997-1998 statistics reflect updates in information.

## C7 Median Hourly Pay for Selected Occupations

## San Luis Obispo County and California, 1998

| Job CATEGORY | SAN LUIS ObISPO | CALIFORNIA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dental Hygienists | $\$ 33.92$ | $\$ 30.23$ |
| Computer Engineers | $\$ 19.25$ | $\$ 32.24$ |
| Carpenters | $\$ 14.41$ | $\$ 17.41$ |
| Accountants and Auditors | $\$ 15.87$ | $\$ 19.72$ |
| Laborers, Landscaping, and Repairers | $\$ 7.83$ | $\$ 8.47$ |
| Truck Drivers, Heavy or Tractor- | $\$ 13.87$ | $\$ 13.40$ |
| Trailer |  |  |
| Secretaries, Except Legal and Medical | $\$ 11.63$ | $\$ 12.23$ |
| General Office Clerks | $\$ 8.88$ | $\$ 9.72$ |
| Cooks, Restaurant | $\$ 8.14$ | $\$ 7.58$ |
| Cashiers | $\$ 7.25$ | $\$ 6.49$ |

Source: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, 2001.

## C8 Child Care

욜 Telephone Survey Results
Thinking about your childcare needs, what kind of childcare do you need for your children but cannot get?

Those with children 0-2 years

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| None needed | 32 | 71.1 | 22 | 68.8 |
| Part time (0-6hrs/day) | 5 | 11.1 | 5 | 15.6 |
| Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 5 | 11.1 | 3 | 9.4 |
| Non traditional hours | 1 | 2.2 | 2 | 6.3 |
| Care for a child who is sick | N/A | N/A | 1 | 3.1 |
| Intermittent care | 2 | 4.4 | N/A | N/A |
| Unsure/Did not specify specific need | N/A | N/A | 2 | 6.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 . 4}$ |

Those with children 3-5 years

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| None needed | 42 | 75.0 | 41 | 70.7 |
| Part time (0-6hrs/day) | 5 | 8.9 | 6 | 10.3 |
| Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 4 | 7.1 | 5 | 8.6 |
| Non traditional hours | 4 | 7.1 | 1 | 1.7 |
| Care for a child who is sick | N/A | N/A | 2 | 3.4 |
| Intermittent care | 4 | 7.1 | N/A | N/A |
| Unsure/Did not specify specific need | N/A | N/A | 5 | 8.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 . 4}$ |

Those with children 6-14 years
19992001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None needed | 72 | 80.0 | 114 | 85.7 |
| Part time (0-6 hrs/day) | 8 | 8.9 | 5 | 3.8 |
| Full time (6-8hrs/day) | 1 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.5 |
| Non traditional hours | 6 | 6.7 | 4 | 3.0 |
| Care for a child who is sick | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2 | 1.5 |
| Intermittent care | 6 | 6.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Unsure/Did not specify specific need | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 8 | 6.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 5}$ |

## C8 Child Care, continued

요 Telephone Survey Results
Where is childcare needed?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Near home | 22 | 64.7 |
| At or near school | 7 | 20.6 |
| Near work | 9 | 26.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 . 8}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## C9 Subsidized Child Care

Subsidized Child Care Requests, Recipients and Waiting Lists, 1999

|  | Requests for <br> All Types of <br> Child Care | Receiving <br> Subsidized <br> Child Care | Wait List for <br> Subsidized <br> Child Care | Wait List as a <br> \% of Those <br> Requesting <br> Subsidized <br> Child Care |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shandon | 5 | 4 | 1 | $20 \%$ |
| Paso Robles/San Miguel | 333 | 344 | 43 | $13 \%$ |
| Templeton | 51 | 57 | 11 | $22 \%$ |
| Atascadero | 242 | 321 | 16 | $7 \%$ |
| Santa Margarita/Creston | 5 | 41 | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| Cambria | 17 | 12 | 3 | $18 \%$ |
| Cayucos | 5 | 21 | - | $0 \%$ |
| Morro Bay | 86 | 71 | 9 | $10 \%$ |
| Los Osos | 86 | 111 | 16 | $19 \%$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 415 | 211 | 16 | $4 \%$ |
| Pismo Beach | 39 | 27 | 2 | $5 \%$ |
| Grover Beach | 155 | 189 | 18 | $12 \%$ |
| Arroyo Grande | 155 | 169 | 27 | $17 \%$ |
| Oceano | 86 | 46 | 16 | $19 \%$ |
| Nipomo | 51 | 176 | 24 | $47 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
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## C10 Government Payments

## Te Telephone Survey Results

Excluding Social Security retirement checks, are you or is anyone in your household now receiving regular payments or benefits from any government program? This would include programs such as MediCare, food stamps, and rent subsidies as well as others.

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Yes | 147 | 18.4 |
| No | 651 | 81.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## 国 Telephone Survey Results

What program or programs would that be?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| MediCare | 86 | 58.5 |
| MediCal | 14 | 9.5 |
| CalWORKS, <br> Temporary Assistance <br> for Needy Families <br> (TANF) | 1 | 0.7 |
| Food Stamps <br> Social Security <br> disability payments <br> General assistance <br> Section 8 housing, rent <br> assistance <br> Women, infants and <br> children (WIC) <br> Other <br> Total | 15 | 10.2 |
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## C11 Poverty Level

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) \& Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), San Luis Obispo County

| CHARACTERISTICS OF | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ <br> \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RECIPIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |

GENDER OF RECIPIENTS

| Adult Males | 756 | 790 | 698 | 169 | -75.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adult Females | 2,967 | 2,983 | 2,792 | 1,842 | -34.0 |


| AGE OF RECIPIENTS | 427 | 452 | 314 | 198 | -36.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $18-21$ | 2,602 | 2,560 | 2,394 | 1,352 | -43.5 |
| $22-39$ | 686 | 752 | 767 | 459 | -40.2 |
| $40-59$ | 8 | 9 | 15 | 2 | -86.7 |
| $60+$ |  |  |  |  |  |

ETHNICITY OF RECIPIENTS

| Caucasian | 1,889 | 1,825 | 1,779 | 1,035 | -41.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| African American | 100 | 123 | 122 | 66 | -45.9 |
| Hispanic | 1,638 | 1,713 | 1,522 | 855 | -43.8 |
| Asian / Pacific Islander | 47 | 58 | 34 | 21 | -38.2 |
| Other | 49 | 54 | 32 | 34 | 6.3 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Human Resources Agency, AFDC CA237, 1997.
Note: Due to the Welfare Reform Law of 1996, the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Program became effective July 1, 1997, and replaced what was commonly known as Welfare (AFDC).

Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## C1 1 Poverty Level, continued

## Welfare-to-Work Participant Profile- May 1999

|  | Female | Female \% | Male | Male $\%$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Participants in a Job Training <br> program | 76 | $87 \%$ | 11 | $13 \%$ | 87 |
| Number of Participants in an Employment <br> program | 564 | $84 \%$ | 105 | $16 \%$ | 669 |
| Number of Participants in a School Program | 297 | $91 \%$ | 28 | $9 \%$ | 325 |
| Total Welfare-to-Work Participants | $\mathbf{9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 1}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Human Resources Agency, 1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## Cl 2 School Meal Program/CalWORKS

Percent of school-aged children enrolled in free/reduced cost meal program

| District | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 0 1}$ | Change <br> 00-01 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ATASCADERO UNIFIED | 19.4 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.2 | -2.9 |
| CAYUCOS ELEMENTARY | 26.8 | 26.0 | 32.2 | 24.8 | -23.0 |
| COAST UNIFIED | 27.4 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 30.6 | -4.4 |
| LUCIA MAR UNIFIED | 43.3 | 41.4 | 39.1 | 36.7 | -6.1 |
| PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED | 41.6 | 41.0 | 40.9 | 38.8 | -5.1 |
| PLEASANT VALLEY JOINT UNION | 23.7 | 29.5 | NA | 20.5 | NA |
| ELEMENTARY | 22.5 | 22.8 | 21.7 | 20.8 | $\mathbf{- 4 . 1}$ |
| SAN LUIS COASTAL UNIFIED | 55.5 | 59.3 | 54.0 | 52.7 | $\mathbf{- 2 . 4}$ |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT UNION ELEMENT | 59.4 | 56.9 | 68.2 | 56.0 | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 9}$ |
| SHANDON JOINT UNIFIED | 18.8 | 19.0 | 15.4 | 13.4 | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 0}$ |
| TEMPLETON UNIFIED | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 9}$ |
| County Total | $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 1}$ |
| State Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Department of Education, 2001.

Percent of school-aged children receiving AFDC/CalWorks

| \% Change |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 1}$ |
| ATASCADERO UNIFIED | $7.8 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $-9.3 \%$ |
| CAYUCOS ELEMENTARY | $9.3 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| COAST UNIFIED | $2.7 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| LUCIA MAR UNIFIED | $11.5 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $-34.1 \%$ |
| PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED | $9.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $-15.9 \%$ |
| SAN LUIS COASTAL UNIFIED | $6.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $-12.2 \%$ |
| SAN MIGUEL JOINT UNION ELEMENT | $12.9 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| SHANDON JOINT UNIFIED | $7.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $-34.5 \%$ |
| TEMPLETON UNIFIED | $3.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| County Total | $\mathbf{8 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 9 . 4 \%}$ |
| State Total | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 2 \%}$ |
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## C13 Building Permit Valuation

San Luis Obispo County

| RESIDENTIAL <br> (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 97-98 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 128,220$ | $\$ 142,923$ | $\$ 175,581$ | $\$ 267,817$ | 52.5 |
| Alterations and Additions | $\$ 13,214$ | $\$ 13,502$ | $\$ 15,014$ | $\$ 15,034$ | 0.1 |
| Total | $\$ 141,434$ | $\$ 156,425$ | $\$ 190,595$ | $\$ 282,851$ | 48.4 |

Source: California Department of Finance, Construction Industry Research Board, 1999.
Note: Statistics taken from 1999 Action Report. Updated information not available.

| NON-RESIDENTIAL <br> ( IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 98-99 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Office | 2,833 | 1,136 | 3,707 | 3,254 | -12.2 |
| Retail | 11,255 | 21,428 | 27,332 | 19,200 | -29.8 |
| Industrial | 659 | 5,238 | 10,824 | 12,337 | 14.0 |
| Total | 40,054 | 54,595 | 74,691 | 93,047 | 24.6 |

Source: California Department of Finance, Construction Industry Research Board, 1999.

## C14 Travel Spending and Related Impacts

## San Luis Obispo County

| CATEGORY (\$FIGURES |  |  |  |  |  | 98-99 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ARE IN MILLIONS) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | \% CHANGE |

Source: California Trade and Commerce Agency, Division of Tourism, Travel Spending and Related Impacts, 2001.

## Transient Occupancy Tax by Jurisdiction

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CITY / AREA | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ <br> \% CHANGE |
| Arroyo Grande | $\$ 177,684$ | $\$ 229,939$ | $\$ 253,728$ | $\$ 184,998$ | -27.0 |
| Atascadero | $\$ 159,063$ | $\$ 157,887$ | $\$ 177,733$ | $\$ 177,359$ | -0.2 |
| Paso Robles | $\$ 403,536$ | $\$ 455,561$ | $\$ 481,843$ | $\$ 527,206$ | 9.4 |
| Grover Beach | $\$ 39,378$ | $\$ 46,930$ | $\$ 61,935$ | $\$ 112,207$ | 81.1 |
| Morro Bay | $\$ 876,234$ | $\$ 985,640$ | $\$ 1,06,577$ | $\$ 1,101,879$ | 3.2 |
| Pismo Beach | $\$ 2,659,775$ | $\$ 2,591,098$ | $\$ 2,917,937$ | $\$ 2,980,537$ | 2.1 |
| San Luis Obispo | $\$ 2,335,267$ | $\$ 2,462,000$ | $\$ 2,648,779$ | $\$ 2,821,370$ | 6.5 |
| Unincorporated | $\$ 2,294,334$ | $\$ 2,403,770$ | $\$ 2,667,170$ | $\$ 2,879,855$ | 7.9 |
| Total | $\$ 8,945,271$ | $\$ 9,332,825$ | $\$ 9,208,751$ | $\$ 10,785,411$ | 17.1 |

Source: California Trade and Commerce Agency, Division of Tourism, California Transient Occupancy Tax by Jurisdiction, 1998.
*Note:: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated statistics not available.

## C15 Retail Sales

Taxable Sales per Person - County and State, 1997

| TyPE OF Business | CounTY | STATE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Apparel stores group | $\$ 326$ | $\$ 349$ |
| General merchandise stores | $\$ 649$ | $\$ 953$ |
| Specialty stores group | $\$ 782$ | $\$ 1,026$ |
| Food stores selling all types of | $\$ 409$ | $\$ 336$ |
| liquor |  |  |
| All other food stores | $\$ 198$ | $\$ 147$ |
| Packaged liquor stores | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 54$ |
| Eating and drinking group | $\$ 1,037$ | $\$ 857$ |
| Household and home | $\$ 183$ | $\$ 180$ |
| furnishings |  |  |
| Household appliance dealers | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 112$ |
| Second-hand merchandise | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 13$ |
| Farm implement dealers | $\$ 33$ | $\$ 59$ |
| Farm and garden supply stores | $\$ 139$ | $\$ 59$ |
| Fuel and ice dealers | $\$ 14$ | $\$ 12$ |
| Building material group | $\$ 569$ | $\$ 475$ |
| Automotive group | $\$ 1,715$ | $\$ 1,740$ |
| Retail stores total | $\$ 6,576$ | $\$ 6,592$ |
| Business and personal services | $\$ 369$ | $\$ 515$ |
| All other outlets | $\$ 2,332$ | $\$ 3,243$ |
| Total all outlets | $\$ 9,276$ | $\$ 10,349$ |

Source: California State Board of Equalization, Taxable Sales in California, Thirty-Seventh Annual Report, 1997.
Note: Per capita taxable sales figures are derived by dividing the annual self-assessed taxable sales by the total population for a given area. Department of Finance figures (July-count) are used for yearly population estimates.

## C 15 Retail Sales, continued <br> Per Capita Retail Sales (constant 1996 dolars)

|  |  |  |  | \% Change <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 12.5 |
| Arroyo Grande | 8,523 | 8,964 | 8,910 | 10,941 | 12,310 | 6.1 |
| Atascadero | 6,407 | 6,735 | 6,643 | 7,202 | 7,643 | -0.4 |
| Grover Beach | 3,481 | 4,418 | 4,405 | 4,457 | 4,441 | 4.0 |
| Morro Bay | 8,660 | 8,396 | 8,329 | 8,870 | 9,228 | 9.5 |
| Paso Robles | 10,385 | 11,161 | 12,146 | 13,526 | 14,811 | 3.2 |
| Pismo Beach | 12,260 | 12,315 | 12,193 | 12,874 | 13,281 | 5.3 |
| San Luis Obispo City | 12,052 | 12,485 | 13,045 | 14,144 | 14,893 | -1.5 |
| Unincorporated Area | 2,119 | 2,178 | 2,335 | 2,402 | 2,367 | 4.9 |
| SLO County Total | 6,246 | 6,523 | 6,742 | 7,331 | 7,690 | 4.6 |
| California | 6,476 | 6,640 | 6,811 | 7,124 | 7,451 | 4 |

Source: State Board of Equalization via UCSB Economic Forecast Project

## Real Retail Sales (in thousands, constant 1996 dollars)

|  |  |  |  | \% Change <br> 1999-2000 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| SLO County Total | $1,433,800$ | $1,517,900$ | $1,593,800$ | $1,754,400$ | $1,885,500$ | 7.5 |
| Arroyo Grande | 127,600 | 137,000 | 139,000 | 173,400 | 202,200 | 16.6 |
| Atascadero | 156,500 | 166,400 | 166,400 | 181,600 | 197,100 | 8.5 |
| Grover Beach | 41,600 | 53,600 | 54,400 | 55,800 | 56,700 | 1.6 |
| Morro Bay | 82,800 | 80,900 | 81,000 | 86,700 | 92,100 | 6.2 |
| Paso Robles | 219,700 | 240,000 | 264,800 | 301,300 | 339,500 | 12.7 |
| Pismo Beach | 99,000 | 101,600 | 100,900 | 108,100 | 114,600 | 6.0 |
| San Luis Obispo City | 499,000 | 522,000 | 550,500 | 600,400 | 640,800 | 6.7 |
| Unincorporated Area | 207,600 | 216,600 | 236,800 | 247,000 | 250,100 | 1.3 |
| California | $205,700,000$ | $213,700,000$ | $222,500,000$ | $242,200,000$ | $260,800,000$ | 7.7 |

Source: State Board of Equalization via UCSB Economic Forecast Project
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## Health Issues

Health and the availability of quality care is a primary concern in any community. The assessment looked at the availability of health care locally.

In telephone and face-to-face surveys, more than 80 percent of respondents said they have a regular source of health care, and 73 percent see a private doctor for their heath care. Seventy percent of telephone respondents had seen their doctor in the past year.

Three of four phone-survey respondents indicated having a regular source of dental care, but only 26 percent of seniors and 39 percent of Latinos said the same. Seventy-two percent of adult respondents said they have had a dental check-up within the past year. Seventy-seven percent say their children have been to the dentist in the past year.

Fifty-nine percent of phone survey respondents said they are "very satisfied" with their healthcare the same as in 1999. The proportion of dissatisfied respondents increased slightly, from seven percent to 10 percent. One in eight county residents said they had needed health care in the past year and had not been able to afford it. For Latinos, the proportion was one in five; for those with income under $\$ 15,000$, one in four. Nearly one in three community survey respondents said the same.

Eighty-eight percent of phone respondents said they had health insurance, which is about the same as in 1999. Coverage among Latinos rose from 61 percent to 72 percent. The degree of health coverage, however, may be dropping, as fewer respondents reported having dental and substance-abuse treatment coverage in 2001 than in 1999.

While overall concern about the use of drugs and alcohol remains high ( $46 \%$ of phone-survey respondents said "very concerned," compared to $42 \%$ in 1999), concern about abuse at school has declined substantially. Among parents of high schoolers, those saying "very concerned" dropped from 41 percent to 29 percent; among parents of middle schoolers, the drop was from 26 percent to 8.5 percent.

One in eight residents report smoking cigarettes "everyday." Another four percent smoke "some days." Nearly two-thirds of smokers have quit smoking for at least one day in the past year.

Forty-five percent of adults report not drinking on a weekly basis (down from 51 percent in 1999). The proportion of frequent drinkers (6 or more drinks weekly) was unchanged at 17 percent.

Fewer than seven percent of phone-survey respondents said they have been diagnosed with diabetes. Of those, more than half check their blood-sugar level at least once a day. Eleven percent have been diagnosed with asthma; half use medication to control the condition.

An important Indicator of health in a community is that community's own
perception of their health. When asked about their state of health, more than two-thirds of residents said they are in "excellent" or "very good" health. Thirty percent cited "excellent" health, up from 21 percent in 1999.

Residents also feel healthy emotionally. Nearly three in four phone-survey respondents said their mental health was "excellent" or "very good.
In terms of preventive health, more than half of telephone respondents reported that they exercise five or more days a week. Two-thirds of women said they have had a mammogram.

## D1 Physical Health

## 울 Telephone Survey Results

W ould you say that, in general, your physical health (including physical illness and injury) is:

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| Excellent | 114 | 21.0 | 241 | 30.0 |  |
| Very Good | 223 | 41.0 | 310 | 38.6 |  |
| Good | 144 | 26.5 | 187 | 23.3 |  |
| Fair | 46 | 8.5 | 53 | 6.6 |  |
| Poor | 17 | 3.1 | 13 | 1.6 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D2 Source of Primary Health Care

욜 Telephone Survey Results
Do you have a regular source of primary health care?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 454 | 83.8 | 620 | 79.8 |
| No | 88 | 16.2 | 157 | 20.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

웅 Telephone Survey Results
If yes, where do you go?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Private Doctor | 332 | 73.1 |
| Medical Clinics | 154 | 33.9 |
| Emergency room | 33 | 7.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 . 3}$ |

Note: Multiple response question- 454 respondents offered 519 responses.
Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D3 Last Routine Check Up

## 을 Telephone Survey Results

How long bas it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine check up?
1999
2001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | 389 | 71.6 | 559 | 69.8 |
| 1-2 years | 81 | 14.9 | 124 | 15.5 |
| 3 - 5 years | 39 | 7.2 | 70 | 8.7 |
| More than 5 years ago | 27 | 5.0 | 41 | 5.1 |
| Never | 7 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

ㅇ. Response Percentages, by Respondent Type

|  | Within the <br> Past Year | 1-2 Years | 3-5 Years | More than <br> 5 Years | Never |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Respondent | 65.8 | 19.0 | 12.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Respondents with income less |  |  |  |  |  |
| than $\$ 15,000$ | 87.4 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| Seniors 55 and Older | 60.5 | 20.9 | 11.6 | 5.8 | 1.2 |
| Latino | 73.1 | 13.4 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 1.2 |
| North County | 69.2 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
| North Coast | 60.3 | 18.3 | 15.1 | 5.0 | 1.4 |
| San Luis Obispo | 76.9 | 12.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.5 |
| South County |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D3 Last Routine Check Up, continued

을 Telephone Survey Results
How long has it been since any of your children visited a doctor for a routine check up?

| Time since last visit | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Within past 6 months (since | 129 | 70.1 |
| August 2000) |  |  |
| from 6 months to 1 year ago | 43 | 23.4 |
| more than 1 year ago | 12 | 6.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D4 Satisfaction with Medical Care

요․ Telephone Survey Results
How satisfied are you with your medical care?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very satisfied | 315 | 59.3 | 446 | 59.4 |
| Somewhat satisfied | 177 | 33.3 | 229 | 30.5 |
| Not at all satisfied | 39 | 7.3 | 76 | 10.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D5 Inability to Receive Medical Care

용 Telephone Survey Results
Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it*?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 54 | 9.9 | 100 | 12.4 |
| No | 489 | 90.1 | 706 | 87.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

* added in 2001 survey; answers may not be comparable


## 유․ Responses, by Respondent Type

| Respondent | Yes | No |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Respondents with income less | $25.6 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| than $\$ 15,000$ |  |  |
| Seniors 60 and Older | $6.7 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| Latino | $20.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |

[^11]
## D6 Mental Health

요 Telephone Survey Results
W ould you say that in general your mental health (which includes stress, depression and problems with emotions) is:

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Excellent | 141 | 25.9 | 296 | 36.9 |
| Very Good | 253 | 46.5 | 297 | 37.0 |
| Good | 115 | 21.1 | 159 | 19.8 |
| Fair | 30 | 5.5 | 42 | 5.2 |
| Poor | 5 | 0.9 | 8 | 1.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D7 Mental Health Cost

## 운 Telephone Survey Results

Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 60 | 7.5 |
| No | 741 | 92.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D8 Mental Health Outpatients Clients

San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Outpatients Clients Served, by Age

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $1-17$ | 840 | 996 | 1,061 | 927 | 1,153 |
| $18-54^{*}$ | 1,912 | 1,834 | 1,751 | 1,866 | 2,345 |
| Over $55^{*}$ | 254 | 247 | 238 | 233 | 164 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 2}$ |

*1999 Figures represent age groups of 18-59 and 60-plus.
Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Department, 2001.
San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Outpatients Clients Served, by Gender

| Gender | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 1,472 | 1,505 | 1,548 | 1,519 | 1,945 |
| Male | 1,533 | 1,569 | 1,502 | 1,507 | 1,717 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 2}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Department, 2001.
San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Outpatients Clients Served, by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 2,460 | 2,568 | 2,538 | 2,535 | 2,977 |
| African American | 98 | 96 | 98 | 90 | 105 |
| Hispanic | 341 | 321 | 328 | 326 | 415 |
| Native American | 31 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 40 |
| Asian / Pacific Islander | 34 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 43 |
| Other/Unknown | 42 | 40 | 31 | 35 | 82 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 2}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Department, 2001.
Patients Committed for Involuntary Emergency Brief Hospitalizations 5150's

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number Committed | 714 | 645 | 748 | 591 | 734 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County, Mental Health Department, 2001.

## D9 Regular Source of Dental Care

용 Telephone Survey Results
Do you have a regular source of dental care?

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 409 | 75.3 | 594 | 74.8 |
| No | 134 | 24.7 | 200 | 25.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

ㅇ. Responses, by Respondent Type

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Respondent | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| Respondents with income less <br> than $\$ 15,000$ | 43.6 | 56.4 | 41.5 | 53.7 |  |
| Seniors $60(65$ in 2001) and <br> older | 73.9 | 26.1 | 71.9 | 27.5 |  |
| Latino | 61.0 | 39.0 | 58.1 | 37.2 |  |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D 10 Last Dental Check Up

요․ Telephone Survey Results
How long has it been since you visited a dentist for a routine check, up?

| Time since last visit | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | 565 | 71.7 |
| 1-2 years | 116 | 14.7 |
| 3-5 years | 64 | 8.1 |
| More than 5 years ago | 34 | 4.3 |
| Never | 9 | 1.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

올 Telephone Survey Results
How long has it been since any of your children visited a dentist for a routine check up?

| Time since last visit | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Within the past year | 135 | 77.1 |
| 1-2 years | 12 | 6.9 |
| 3-5 years | 2 | 1.1 |
| More than 5 years ago | 3 | 1.7 |
| Never | 23 | 13.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
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## D 11 Sealants/Dental Education for Child(ren)

## 요․ Telephone Survey Results

(Has your child / Have any of your children) ever had their teeth sealed by a dentist?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 97 | 54.8 |
| No | 80 | 45.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## 울 Telephone Survey Results

In the past year, bave you received any information, education, or training on how to take care of your child(ren's) teeth and gums, from a dentist, health class, or school?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 124 | 70.9 |
| No | 51 | 29.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D12 Health Insurance

## 을 Telephone Survey Results

Do you bave health insurance?
19992001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 458 | 84.5 | 711 | 87.9 |
| No | 84 | 15.5 | 98 | 12.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Responses by respondent type

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Income less than $\$ 15,000$ | 70.2 | 29.8 | 73.2 | 26.8 |
| Seniors 55 and older | 91.2 | 8.8 | 94.3 | 5.7 |
| Latinos | 60.6 | 39.4 | 72.1 | 27.9 |

If no, why not?
1999

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Too expensive | 21 | 77.8 |
| Already covered by Medi- | 2 | 7.4 |
| Cal/Medicare/VA | 4 | 14.8 |
| Employer does not offer <br> insurance | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total |  |  |

Ifyes, does it cover:
Dental care

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | 318 | Percent | 2001 |  |
| Number | 134 | 29.4 | 427 | Percent |
| No | $\mathbf{4 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 274 | 30.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |
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## D12 Health Insurance, continued

## Mental health

|  | 1999 |  |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| Yes | 278 | 83.0 | 417 | 80.5 |  |
| No | 57 | 17.0 | 101 | 19.5 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Regular Physical Exams (Preventive Care)
19992001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Yes | 389 | 91.3 | 643 | 93.5 |
| No | 37 | 8.7 | 45 | 6.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

*1999 wording was "Preventive care (physicals)"; results may not be comparable.

Substance Abuse Treatment
1999
2001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 235 | 86.4 | 335 | 76.8 |
| No | 37 | 13.6 | 101 | 23.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

*2001 survey added the word "treatment"; results may not be comparable.

## Prescriptions

19992001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 408 | 91.1 | 605 | 87.1 |
| No | 40 | 8.9 | 90 | 12.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Hospitalization

1999
2001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 440 | 98.4 | 685 | 98.7 |
| No | 7 | 1.6 | 9 | 1.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## D12 Health Insurance, continued

| Dependent Spouse and Children |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 326 | 77.6 | 465 | 73.0 |
| No | 94 | 22.4 | 172 | 27.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## D13 MediCal

## Number of MediCal Recipients

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | July 1996 | July 1997 | July 1998 | July 1999 | July 2000 | July 2001 | 2000-2001 |

Source: California Department of Health Services; http:// www.dbs.ca.gov/admin/ffdmb/mess/RequestedData/ files.btm

## D14 Exercise/Mammogram

욜 Telephone Survey Results
How many days a week do you engage in physical activity (such as brisk walking or gardening) for a combined total of 30 minutes or more?:

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| None | 77 | 14.2 | 36 | 4.5 |
| 1-2 days | 71 | 13.1 | 120 | 15.2 |
| 3-4 days | 134 | 24.6 | 200 | 25.3 |
| 5 or more days | 262 | 48.2 | 436 | 55.1 |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## 용 Telephone Survey Results

Have you ever had a mammogram?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 263 | 66.8 |
| No | 131 | 33.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.
Note: Asked only of women.

## D15 Child Health and Disability Prevention

 Child Health and Disability Prevention Program| CHDP Condition | Referrals 1996 | Referrals 1997 | \% Difference |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Behavioral/Developmental | 85 | 86 | $1 \%$ |
| Nutritional/Growth | 40 | 57 | $30 \%$ |
| Communicable Disease | 2 | 9 | $77 \%$ |
| Integumentary (Skin) | 9 | 15 | $40 \%$ |
| Eyes/Ears/Nose | 318 | 320 | $1 \%$ |
| Neurological | 1 | 3 | $66 \%$ |
| Respiratory | 15 | 26 | $42 \%$ |
| Cardiovascular | 16 | 15 | $-6 \%$ |
| Blood/Lymph | 53 | 31 | $-42 \%$ |
| Gastrointestinal | 1 | 7 | $86 \%$ |
| Endocrine | 0 | 2 | $200 \%$ |
| Musculoskeletal | 30 | 27 | $-10 \%$ |
| Genitourinary | 51 | 42 | $-18 \%$ |
| Dental/Oral | 919 | 906 | $-1 \%$ |
| Other | 107 | 152 | $30 \%$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Health and Disability Program Report 1996 \& 1997.
Note: The statistics include children who were referred to a specialist or were scheduled to come back to their health care provider for additional diagnosis and treatment due to theses prevalent problems.Department,1999.

Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## D 16 Immunization Levels

Child Care Students, All Centers

| Enrollment and Immunization Status, <br> Ages 2-5 | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students | 430,136 | 2,717 |
| Number of Schools | 9,013 | 81 |
| Percent with medical exemptions | .12 | .22 |
| Percent with personal exemptions | .64 | 6.74 |
| Percent requiring follow-up | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Percent requiring no follow-up | 94.1 | 94.1 |

Child Care Students, Public Centers

| Enrollment and Immunization Status, | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Ages 2-5 | 81,076 | 496 |
| Number of Students | 1,921 | 20 |
| Number of Schools | .10 | .00 |
| Percent with medical exemptions | .40 | 1.41 |
| Percent with personal exemptions | 5.6 | 4.6 |
| Percent needing one or more immunizations | 94.4 | 95.4 |
| Percent with all required immunizations |  |  |

## Child Care Students, Private Centers

| Enrollment and Immunization Status, <br> Ages 2-5 | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Number of Students | 278,116 | 1,910 |
| Number of Schools | 5,587 | 49 |
| Percent with medical exemptions | .14 | .21 |
| Percent with personal exemptions | .85 | 9.21 |
| Percent needing one or more immunizations | 6.3 | 5.7 |
| Percent with all required immunizations | 93.7 | 94.3 |

Child Care Students, Head Start Centers

| Enrollment and Immunization Status, <br> Ages 2-5 | California | San Luis Obispo <br> County |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Number of Students | 70,944 | 311 |
| Number of Schools | 1,505 | 12 |
| Percent with medical exemptions | .05 | .64 |
| Percent with personal exemptions | .12 | .00 |
| Percent needing one or more immunizations | 4.6 | 9.3 |
| Percent with all required immunizations | 95.4 | 90.7 |

Source: California Department of Health Services, Immunization Levels in Child Care and Schools, 2000.
http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/izgroup/pdf/ca00.pdf

# D 16 Immunization Levels, continued 

## Kindergartners, County and State

| \% of kindergartners having <br> immunizations up-to-date | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| San Luis Obispo County | N/A | N/A | 92.4 |
| California | 89.3 | 91.9 | 92.2 |


| \% of kindergartners having <br> immunizations up-to-date at age 2 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Central Coast Region | 62.9 | 63.9 | 66.6 |
| California | 14.6 | 13.0 | 11.3 |
| Central Coast Rank <br> (among 7 regions) | T 4 | 1 | 1 |

[^14]
## D17 Smoking

요․ Telephone Survey Results
Do you smoke cigarettes everyday, some days, or not at all?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Everyday | 49 | 9.0 | 100 | 12.4 |
| Some days | 44 | 8.1 | 31 | 3.9 |
| Not at all | 451 | 82.9 | 673 | 83.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

*Note: Response choices in 1999 were "Always," "Sometimes" and "Never"; results may not be comparable.
Source: 1999 \& 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## Youth Smoking (self-reported)

| San Luis Obispo County (1999) | $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% smoked cigarettes at least once | 10 | 31 | 44 |
| \% smoked cigarettes in past 30 days | 6 | 17 | 23 |

Source: Healthy Kids Survey, 2001.

| California (1997) | $\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% smoked cigarettes at least once | 9 | 24 | 41 |
| \% smoked cigarettes in past 30 days | 7 | 13 | 21 |

Source: California Student Survey, 1999, as reported in California Healtby Kids Survey, 2001.

# D18 Smoking, Attempt to Quit 

욜 Telephone Survey Results
In the past 12 months, bave you:
Quit smoking for 1 day or longer?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 88 | 67.2 |
| No | 43 | 32.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Note: Question asked only of those who indicated that they smoke "everyday" or "some days."
Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## 울 Telephone Survey Results

In the past 12 months, have you:
Attended any class or participated in any program to belp you stop smoking?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 11 | 8.4 |
| No | 120 | 91.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Note: Question asked only of those who indicated that they smoke "everyday" or "some days."
Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D19 Smoking Inside Home smokeless Tobacco, Pipe)

을 Telephone Survey Results
In the past 30 days has anyone, including yourself, smoked cigarettes, cigars, or pipes anywhere inside your home?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 97 | 12.7 |
| No | 664 | 87.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

응 Telephone Survey Results
Have there been any non-smokers present in your home while someone was smoking?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 73 | 75.3 |
| No | 24 | 24.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

용 Telephone Survey Results
Do you currently use any smokeless tobacco products, such as chewing tobacco or snuf?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 18 | 2.4 |
| No | 744 | 97.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## 요․ Telephone Survey Results

Do you currently smoke cigars or a pipe?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 20 | 2.6 |
| No | 745 | 97.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

# D20 Drug, Tobacco \& Alcohol Abuse 

을 Telephone Survey Results
How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned," or "Not at all Concerned."

Drug, tobacco \& alcohol abuse
$1999 \quad 2001$

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very concerned | 227 | 42.1 | 369 | 45.9 |
| Somewhat concerned | 208 | 38.6 | 278 | 34.6 |
| Not at all concerned | 104 | 19.3 | 157 | 19.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## 을 Telephone Survey Results

How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your child's school - "Very Serious," "Somewhat Serious," or "Not at all Serious."

## Elementary School

|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very serious | 11 | 9.4 | 6 | 5.8 |
| Somewhat serious | 17 | 14.5 | 14 | 13.5 |
| Not at all serious | 75 | 64.1 | 71 | 68.3 |
| Don't know | 14 | 12.0 | 13 | 12.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Middle School

|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very serious | 12 | 26.1 | 4 | 8.5 |
| Somewhat serious | 14 | 30.4 | 22 | 46.8 |
| Not at all serious | 16 | 34.8 | 11 | 23.4 |
| Don't know | 4 | 8.7 | 10 | 21.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## D20 Drug, Tobacco \& Alcohol Abuse, continued

High School

| 1999 |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very serious | 24 | 41.4 | 23 | 28.7 |
| Somewhat serious | 24 | 41.4 | 40 | 50.0 |
| Not at all serious | 9 | 15.5 | 11 | 13.8 |
| Don't know | 1 | 1.7 | 6 | 7.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

## D21 Alcohol Use

## 울 Telephone Survey Results

How many servings of alcohol do you generally drink in a week??
19992001

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 279 | 51.4 | 358 | 44.8 |
| 1 to 2 | 104 | 19.2 | 171 | 21.4 |
| 3 to 5 | 65 | 12.0 | 129 | 16.1 |
| 6 or more | 95 | 17.5 | 141 | 17.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## Alcohol Consumption, National Comparisons

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Persons consuming alcohol in the last month | $109,000,000$ | $111,000,000$ |
| Percent of National Population | 41.1 | 41.2 |
| Persons engaged in Binge Drinking (5 or more <br> drinks in a sitting) | $32,000,000$ | $32,000,000$ |
| Percent of drinkers engaged in Binge Drinking | 29.4 | 28.8 |
| Persons engaged in Heavy Drinking (Binge <br> drinking more than 5 times in last month) <br> Percent of drinkers engaged in Heavy Drinking | $11,000,000$ | $11,200,000$ |

Source: 1997 American Household Survey, National Clearinghouse for Drug and Alcohol Information and the Historical National Population Estimates, US Census Bureau, 1999.

Note: National Population counts used for the above percentages are: $1996(265,189,794)$ and $1997(267,743,595)$.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

# D22 Student Self-Reports of Substance Use 

Percent of Students Who Reported Using Each Substance Ever and in the Last 30 Days, by County and State

| Behavior | 7th Graders |  | 9th Graders |  | 11th Graders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ (1997) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ (1997) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ (1997) \end{gathered}$ |
| Drink Alcohol (ever) | 26 | 25 | 59 | 50 | 73 | 70 |
| Been Very Drunk (ever) | 9 | 9 | 34 | 23 | 52 | 45 |
| Use Inhalants (ever) | 8 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 16 | 14 |
| Smoke Marijuana (ever) | 7 | 8 | 29 | 24 | 46 | 45 |
| Drink Alcohol (last 30 days) | 13 | 16 | 35 | 29 | 48 | 44 |
| Drink 5 Drinks in a Couple of Hours (last 30 days) | 4 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 33 | 26 |
| Use Inhalants (last 30 days) | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Smoke Marijuana (last 30 days) | 3 | 8 | 17 | 18 | 25 | 26 |

Source: California Healthy Kids Survey, 2001.

National Comparisons - Use in last 12 months

|  | 8th Graders | 10th Graders | 12th Graders | 12th Graders |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SUBSTANCE | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ |
| Alcohol | 26 | 42 | 51 | --- |
| Been Drunk | 8 | 20 | 29 | 52 |
| Marijuana | 5 | 11 | 16 | 36 |
| Cocaine | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Stimulants | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| Inhalants | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| Nitrites | --- | -- | 1.0 | 2 |
| Downers | --- | 1 | 5 |  |
| Hallucinogens | --- | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| PCP | --- | --- | 1 | 3 |
| Narcotics other than heroin |  |  |  | 5 |

Source: "Monitoring the Future". Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, 1993 and 1996.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## D23 Deaths by Leading Causes

San Luis Obispo County Age Adjusted Death Rates

| Cause | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4} \mathbf{- 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All Deaths | 411.7 | 402 |
| Heart Disease | 90.9 | 88.3 |
| Malignant Neoplasms | 119.2 | 113.9 |
| $\quad$ Lung Cancer | 36 | 33 |
| $\quad$ Breast Cancer | 21.7 | 20 |
| Stroke | 20.8 | 21.2 |
| Unintentional Injury | 29.1 | 29.5 |
| Suicide | 12.5 | 12.4 |
| Homicide | 3.6 | 3 |
| Motor Vehicle | 13.3 | 11.9 |
| Firearm Injuries | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| Drug Related | 9 | 9.6 |

## California Age Adjusted Death Rates

| Cause | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All Deaths | 454.2 | 439.9 |
| Heart Disease | 100.6 | 96.9 |
| Malignant Neoplasms | 115.9 | 113.3 |
| $\quad$ Lung Cancer | 31.8 | 31 |
| $\quad$ Breast Cancer | 19.7 | 18.9 |
| Stroke | 26.3 | 26.1 |
| Unintentional Injury | 26.6 | 29.3 |
| Suicide | 10.7 | 25.7 |
| Homicide | 11.8 | 10.6 |
| Motor Vehicle | 13.2 | 12.4 |
| Firearm Injuries | 15 | 13.5 |
| Drug Related | 8 | 7.9 |

Source: Health Data Summaries for California Counties, California Department of Health Services, 1998.
Note: Rate is per 100,000 people.
Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## D24 Suicides

Suicide Rate, 1990-1999

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0 - 1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3 - 1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Luis Obispo County Deaths <br> (annual average) | N/A | 15.3 | 12.9 | 11.3 |
| San Luis Obispo County <br> Age- adjusted suicide death rate <br> California \| | 14.6 | 13.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| Age- adjusted suicide death Rate | 11.3 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 9.4 |

Source: Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2000.
Note: Age-adjusted suicide rates are per 100,000 people.

## D25 Reported Communicable Diseases

## New Cases Diagnosed

| Disease | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | \% Change <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AIDS | 44 | 42 | 52 | 13 | 19 | 46.2 |
| Chlamydia | 357 | 200 | 244 | 233 | 334 | 46.3 |
| Cryptosporidiosis | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| E. Coli | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | -50.0 |
| Giardia | 47 | 36 | 51 | 58 | 52 | -10.3 |
| Gonorrhea | 49 | 38 | 44 | 37 | 31 | -16.2 |
| Hepatitis A | 21 | 19 | 19 | 25 | 9 | -64.0 |
| Hepatitis B | 4 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 0 | -100.0 |
| Meningitis - Total | 19 | 24 | 18 | 37 | 60 | 62.2 |
| Pertussis | 17 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 2 | -81.8 |
| Salmonellosis | 28 | 45 | 43 | 35 | 33 | 33.3 |
| Syphilis - Total | 11 | 9 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 67.7 |
| Tuberculosis | 13 | 34 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 0.0 |

## AIDS Cases and Cumulative Incidence (1981-July 2001)

|  | Total <br> Cases | Living <br> Cases | Deceased <br> Number | Deceased <br> Percent | Incidence per <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | Statewide <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 469 | 256 | 213 | $45 \%$ | 191.3 | 42 |
| California | 122,107 | 47,420 | 74,687 | $61 \%$ | 355.6 |  |

Source: Department of Health Services, 2001; http:// mww.dhs.ca.gov/ps/ ooa/Statistics/pdf/ Stats2001/July01 stats.pdf

## D26 Infant Mortality Rate

Infant Death Rate, San Luis Obispo County and State

| Infant Deaths | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2 - 1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3 - 1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SLO County Annual Number <br> of Infant Deaths (average) | 19.0 | 17.0 | 13.7 | 12.7 |
| SLO County Birth Cohort <br> Infant Death Rate | 7.1 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| California Birth Cohort Infant <br> Death Rate <br> SLO County Statewide Rank | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.1 |

Note: Birth Cohort Infant Death Rate are per 1,000 live births.
Note: Because incidence levels, rates are calculated as rolling three-year averages
Source: Department of Health Services, 2001; http:// www.dhs.ca.gov/hisp/chs/phweek/ cprofile2001/ cprofile2001.btm

## Infant Death Rate, San Luis Obispo County, by Ethnicity, 1995-1997

| Infant Deaths | Asian/Other | Black | Hispanic | White |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SLO County Annual Number <br> of Infant Deaths (average) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 9.7 |
| SLO County Birth Cohort | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 |
| Infant Death Rate | 5.3 | 13.1 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| California Birth Cohort Infant <br> Death Rate | 26 | 4 | 17 | 29 |
| SLO County Statewide Rank |  |  |  |  |

Note: Birth Cohort Infant Death Rate are per 1,000 live births.
Note: Because incidence levels, rates are calculated as rolling three-year averages
Source: Department of Health Services, 2001; http:// www.dhs.ca.gov/ hisp/chs/phweek/ cprofile2001/ cprofile2001.htm

## D27 Prenatal Care

Prenatal Care, San Luis Obispo County and State

|  | 1994-1996 | 1995-1997 | 1996-1998 | 1997-1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Luis Obispo County percent | 20.5 | 19.7 | 18.6 | 17.1 |
| Late or no prenatal care |  |  |  |  |
| California statewide percent | 20.9 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 17.4 |
| Late or no prenatal care |  |  |  |  |
| SLO County statewide rank | 22 | 22 | 19 | 20 |
| Late or no prenatal care |  |  |  |  |
| San Luis Obispo County percent | 76.0 | 80.3 | 81.4 | 83.8 |
| Adequate/adequate plus prenatal care |  |  |  |  |
| California statewide percent | 68.6 | 67.1 | 70.5 | 75.0 |
| Adequate/adequate plus prenatal care |  |  |  |  |
| SLO County statewide rank | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adequate/adequate plus prenatal care |  |  |  |  |

Source: Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2001;
bttp:// www.dhs.ca.gov/ bisp/chs/phweek/ cprofile2001/ cprofile2001.btm
Note: Because incidence levels, rates are calculated as rolling three-year averages.

## Live Births by Trimester Prenatal Care Began, 1999 (percentages)

|  | First | Second | Third |  | County Rank <br> for Receiving <br> Any Prenatal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Trimester | Trimester | Trimester | None | Unknown | Care |
| San Luis Obispo County | 83.0 | 13.4 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 17 |
| California | 82.2 | 13.0 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 | NA |

Source: Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2001.
http:/ / www.dhs.ca.gov/hisp/chs/OHIR/vssdata/ 1999Data/99Ch2Excel/ 2-33-99vJC.x/s
Note: The definition of adequate / adequate plus prenatal care includes mothers who initiated prenatal care visits recommended by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

## D28 Birth Weight

Birth weight for all births (percentages) San Luis Obispo County and State, 1999

|  | Under <br> $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ <br> Grams | $1500-$ <br> $\mathbf{2 4 9 9}$ <br> Grams | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0}-$ <br> $\mathbf{3 4 9 9}$ <br> Grams | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0}-$ <br> 4499 <br> Grams | 4500 Grams <br> And Over | Unknown |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 1.1 | 3.9 | 49.7 | 43.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| California | 1.1 | 5.0 | 52.8 | 39.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

Low birth weight births (as percentage of all births) San Luis Obispo County and State

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.9 |
| California | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
| San Luis Obispo Rank Among <br> California Counties | 51 | 31 | 33 | 51 | 41 |

Note: Low birthweight is less than 2,500 grams (5 lbs., $80 \%$ ).
Source: Dept. of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2001.
http:// www.dhs.ca.gov/hisp/chs/OHIR/vssdata/ 1999Data/ 99Ch2Excel/ 2-22-99vJC.x/s

## D29 Teen Birth Rate

Teen Births: San Luis Obispo County and California

| Teen Births | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SLO County Annual Number | 264.3 | 254.7 | 249.0 | 245.0 |
| of Births (average) |  |  |  |  |
| SLO County Teen Birth Rate | 32.2 | 29.5 | 27.9 | 30.3 |
| California Birth Rate | 66.6 | 61.7 | 57.2 | 53.6 |
| SLO County Rank | 4 | 4 | 7 | 11 |

Note: Birth Rate refers to the number of births to females, ages $15-19$, per 1,000 women in that age group.
Note: Because incidence levels, rates are calculated as rolling three-year averages
Source: Department of Health Services, 2001; http:// www.dhs.ca.gov/ hisp/chs/phweek/ cprofile2001/ cprofile2001.btm

## Teen Births by Age and Ethnicity, San Luis Obispo County, 2000

| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 1 | 36 | 87 | 124 |
| Hispanic | 1 | 32 | 74 | 107 |
| Other | 0 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ |

[^15]
## D30 Concern With Child Abuse

## 욜 Telephone Survey Results

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned," or "Not at all Concerned."

## Child Abuse

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very concerned | 240 | 44.8 | 448 | 56.4 |
| Somewhat concerned | 158 | 29.5 | 213 | 26.8 |
| Not at all concerned | 138 | 25.7 | 133 | 16.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 \& 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D31 Diabetes and Asthma

용 Telephone Survey Results
[Iffemale, add: Other than during pregnancy], has a doctor ever told you that you bave diabetes or sugar diabetes?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 53 | 6.6 |
| No | 746 | 93.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

욜 Telephone Survey Results
About how many times per day, per week, or per month do you or a family member or friend check your blood for glucose or sugar?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 time per day | 11 | 22.9 |
| More than 1 time per day | 14 | 29.2 |
| 1 or more times per month | 16 | 33.3 |
| Never | 7 | 14.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

용 Telephone Survey Results
Has a doctor ever told you that you have asthma?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 89 | 11.1 |
| No | 713 | 88.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

욜 Telephone Survey Results
Are you currently taking any medications to control your asthma, including an inhaler?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 44 | 50.6 |
| No | 43 | 49.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## D32 Caregivers/Healthy Families Program

눌 Telephone Survey Results
Are you the caregiver to a disabled child, disabled adult or older person?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 64 | 8.5 |
| No | 693 | 91.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## 요․ Telephone Survey Results

Have you ever heard of the Healthy Families Program?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 96 | 51.6 |
| No | 90 | 48.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Note: Question asked only of those who have children age 14 or younger.
Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## ACTION for Healthy Communities

## Natural Environment Issues

Natural Environment Issues. ..... 110
E1 Quality of Life. ..... 111
E2 Natural Environment Concerns ..... 113
E3 Growth Patterns ..... 115
E4 School Overcrowding. ..... 116
E5 Agricultural and Open Space ..... 117
E6 Parks ..... 118
E7 Funding for Outdoor Activities ..... 119
E8 Public Transportation ..... 120
E9 Air Quality ..... 121
E10 Water Supply \& Consumption ..... 123
E11 Water Quality ..... 124
E12 Energy Use ..... 125

## Natural Environment Issues

People greatly affect the natural environment and are greatly affected by it! Key environment issues include not only the degree to which negative impacts are avoided (such as pollution and crowding), but also the degree to which positive impacts are created (such as parks and public transportation).

From 1999 to 2001, public perceptions regarding the best things in San Luis Obispo County have remained essentially the same. However, perceptions about the things that detract from the quality of life have shifted.

Temperate weather is still considered the county's greatest asset, as noted by nearly a quarter of respondents. Other popular responses from 1999 continued to be popular in 2001, including the small-town quality (mentioned by $17 \%$ ), natural beauty $(14 \%)$, clean air/environment ( $14 \%$ ), friendliness of people ( $11 \%$ ) and general quality of life ( $10 \%$ ).

In terms of things that detract from the quality of life, "nothing" continued to be the most frequently mentioned response ( $17 \%$ ), followed by too many people ( $13 \%$ ) and too much crowding/growth (11\%). Emerging concerns include the cost of housing ( $8 \%$ ) and concern about healthcare options ( $3 \%$ ), both of which were mentioned twice as frequently in 2001 as in 1999. Diversity concerns rose by half (to $6 \%$ ). Declining concerns included crime (from 6\% to $2 \%$ ) roads (from 8\% to $5 \%$ ).

When asked about specific environmental issues, County residents expressed a fairly strong degree of concern across the board. Nearly half of respondents said they were "very concerned" with water quality $(47 \%)$. This concern was followed by loss of wildlife habitat ( $39 \%$ ), building in open space $(39 \%)$ and traffic congestion ( $38 \%$ ). While growth is a concern for many, county data indicates that growth patterns are favorable, with most new construction occurring in established urban areas (and that percentage rising).
A less favorable finding is that county residents and businesses are using more energy per user. Over the last five years, per-capita usage has increased 10 percent.

Public transportation is still an unknown for many county residents. More than a quarter of county residents did not respond to a question about the quality of public transport. Among those who did respond, three out of four rated public transportation "good" or better.

Another quality of life issue is recreation. Nearly two-thirds rate recreation facilities and opportunities "very good" or "excellent." Selfreported usage of recreation facilities indicates that one resident in four is an avid user, visiting parks, trails or beaches more than 10 times during a three-month period (fall and winter months). Most residents are occasional users (1-10 visits), while one in five residents did not visit an outdoor recreation facility. More than 60 percent agree that the county should spend more on recreation.

## E 1 Quality of Life

운 Telephone Survey Results
What one thing contributes to your quality of life in San Luis Obispo County?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Climate | 128 | 23.6 | 192 | 24.4 |
| Small-town quality | 102 | 18.8 | 131 | 16.7 |
| Natural beauty/open space | 103 | 19.0 | 60 | 14.3 |
| Clean air/environment | 28 | 5.2 | 112 | 14.2 |
| Sense of community/friendly people | 69 | 12.7 | 90 | 11.5 |
| Area/county/quality of life | 55 | 10.1 | 76 | 9.7 |
| Peaceful/quiet | 24 | 4.4 | 45 | 5.7 |
| Low crime/safe/security | 27 | 5.0 | 45 | 5.7 |
| Activities/clubs/recreation | 7 | 1.3 | 35 | 4.5 |
| General locale | 19 | 3.5 | 30 | 3.8 |
| Minimal traffic | 7 | 1.3 | 24 | 3.1 |
| Colleges/good schools | 6 | 1.1 | 16 | 2.0 |
| Everything | 18 | 3.3 | 15 | 1.9 |
| Identifiable/pleasant downtown | 2 | 0.4 | 13 | 1.7 |
| Growth/environmental concern | 1 | 0.2 | 11 | 1.4 |
| Family/friends/home/long-time residents | 16 | 3.0 | 10 | 1.3 |
| Cultural climate | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Employment opportunities | 2 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Local businesses/services | 3 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Don't know | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Other | 30 | 5.5 | 41 | 5.2 |

Multiple-response question with 542 respondents offering 650 responses in 1999, and 786 respondents offering 1,012 responses in 2001.

Source: 1999 \& 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## El Quality of Life, continued

## 욜 Telephone Survey Results

What one thing do you think takes away from your quality of life in San Luis Obispo County?

| Response | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Nothing | 100 | 18.9 | 118 | 16.6 |
| Population too large | 18 | 3.4 | 92 | 12.9 |
| Too much growth/poor growth planning | 67 | 12.7 | 81 | 11.4 |
| Cost of housing | 19 | 3.6 | 57 | 8.0 |
| Cost of living | 30 | 5.7 | 50 | 7.0 |
| Lack of commercial/industrial growth | 29 | 5.5 | 47 | 6.6 |
| Insular attitudes/Lack of diversity | 22 | 4.2 | 45 | 6.3 |
| Lack of cultural activities/recreation | 25 | 4.7 | 34 | 4.8 |
| Poor road infrastructure | 43 | 8.1 | 33 | 4.6 |
| Bad local government | 18 | 3.4 | 33 | 4.6 |
| Lack of employment opportunities | 28 | 5.3 | 24 | 3.4 |
| Lack of quality, affordable healthcare options | 8 | 1.5 | 22 | 3.1 |
| Climate (summertime heat/fog/wind/cold) | 19 | 3.6 | 13 | 1.8 |
| Crime/gangs/kidnapping | 33 | 6.2 | 12 | 1.7 |
| Too many malls/chain stores | 5 | 0.9 | 12 | 1.7 |
| Location/access to city | 16 | 3.0 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Don't Know | 12 | 2.3 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Other | 84 | 15.9 | 139 | 19.5 |

Multiple-response question with 530 respondents offering 577 responses in 1999, and 711 respondents offering 825 responses in 2001.

Source:: 1999 \& 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## E2 Natural Environment Concerns

## 으․ Telephone Survey Results

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned," or "Not at all Concerned."

Building in open space

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very concerned | 308 | 38.6 |
| Somewhat concerned | 303 | 38.0 |
| Not at all concerned | 187 | 23.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Loss of wildlife babitat

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very concerned | 312 | 39.0 |
| Somewhat concerned | 309 | 38.6 |
| Not at all concerned | 180 | 22.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Water quality

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very concerned | 375 | 46.9 |
| Somewhat concerned | 270 | 33.8 |
| Not at all concerned | 154 | 19.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Air pollution

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very concerned | 253 | 31.4 |
| Somewhat concerned | 304 | 37.7 |
| Not at all concerned | 249 | 30.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## E2 Natural Environment Concerns, continued

Traffic congestion

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very concerned | 307 | 38.0 |
| Somewhat concerned | 309 | 38.3 |
| Not at all concerned | 191 | 23.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## E3 Growth Patterns

## Urban vs. Rural Development

|  | 1993-1995 | 1994-1996 | 1995-1997 | 1996-1998 | 1997-1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Dwelling Units Completed as <br> a Percentage of all Dwelling Units | 21.3 | 19.9 | 20.1 | 17.3 | 17.4 | | Note: Percentage refers to the number of new dwelling units completed in the county's rural areas vs. those completed in the cities and |
| :--- |
| unincorporated communities. |

Source: San Luis Obispo Department of Planning \& Building

## Traffic Volume (21 monitored road segments)

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak-hour Traffic Volume | 13,342 | 12,959 | 14,611 | 14,687 | 16,433 |
| Peak-hour Traffic Per Capita | 0.156 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.165 | 0.182 |

Note: Measure of total peak, hour traffic volume for 21 road segments in the county-maintained road network. Traffic on state bighways and city streets is not included.

Source: San Luis Obispo Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

# E4 School Overcrowding 

| Percentage of Students | Attending Overcrowded Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| San Luis Obispo County | $76.9 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ |

Enrollment vs. Capacity, by School

| District | School | Capacity | Enrollment | Enrollment as \% of Capacity | RLOS* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cayucos Elementary | Cayucos Elementary | 240 | 292 | 122\% | III |
| Shandon Unified | Shandon Elementary | 150 | 173 | 115\% | III |
|  | Shandon Jr/Sr H.S. | 100 | 150 | 150\% | III |
| Coast Unified | Cambria Elementary | 191 | 356 | 186\% | III |
|  | Santa Lucia Middle | 103 | 190 | 184\% | III |
|  | Coast Union H.S. | 506 | 319 | 63\% | OK |
| San Miguel Joint Union | Lillian Larson K-8 | 250 | 463 | 185\% | III |
| Paso Robles | Paso Robles Elem (5) | 2,860 | 2,806 | 98\% | II |
|  | Paso Robles Mdl (2) | 1,170 | 1,414 | 121\% | III |
|  | Paso Robles H.S. | 1,508 | 2,055 | 136\% | III |
| Templeton Unified | Templeton Elem (2) | 450 | 992 | 220\% | III |
|  | Templeton Middle | 350 | 556 | 159\% | III |
|  | Templeton H.S. | 425 | 673 | 158\% | III |
| Atascadero Unified | Atascadero Elem (4) | 1,708 | 2,328 | 136\% | III |
|  | Atascadero Jr. High | 1,246 | 865 | 69\% | OK |
|  | Atascadero H.S. | 1,929 | 1,752 | 90\% | II |
|  | Carrisa Plains K-8 | 53 | 46 | 87\% | OK |
|  | Creston Elementary | 40 | 110 | 275\% | III |
|  | Santa Margarita Elem | 358 | 403 | 113\% | III |
| San Luis Coastal Unified | Los Osos Elem (3) | 1,640 | 1,099 | 67\% | OK |
|  | Los Osos Middle | 650 | 502 | 77\% | OK |
|  | Morro Bay H. S. | 1,000 | 986 | 99\% | II |
|  | Morro Bay Elem (2) | 1,100 | 547 | 50\% | OK |
|  | SLO Area Elem (7) | 3,990 | 2,668 | 67\% | OK |
|  | Laguna Middle | 850 | 836 | 98\% | II |
|  | San Luis H.S. | 1,564 | 1,647 | 105\% | III |
| Bellevue-Santa Fe | K-8 (Charter) | 170 | 146 | 86\% | OK |
| Lucia Mar Unified | Five Cities Elem (8) | 3,545 | 4,214 | 119\% | III |
|  | Middle Schools (3) | 1,810 | 1,997 | 110\% | III |
|  | Arroyo Grande H.S. | 1,500 | 3,212 | 214\% | III |
|  | Nipomo Elem (2) | 1,050 | 1,388 | 132\% | III |

[^16]Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001..

## E5 Agricultural and Open Space

Harvested, Pasture and Total Acreage

|  |  |  |  |  | \% Change <br> from |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acreage (thousands) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ |
| Harvested Acreage | 126.3 | 128.9 | 140.2 | 117.3 | 118.4 | 0.9 |
| Pasture Acreage | $1,232.8$ | $1,232.4$ | $1,232.4$ | $1,208.0$ | $1,200.0$ | -0.7 |
| Total | $1,359.1$ | $1,361.3$ | $1,372.6$ | $1,325.3$ | $1,318.3$ | -0.5 |

Source: UCSB Economic Forecast Project, 2001

## Preliminary Countywide Open Space Inventory

| Open Space Resources | Total Open <br> Space Acres | Permanently <br> Protected | Temporarily <br> Protected | Unprotected |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| U.S. Forest Service | 188,000 |  | 188,000 |  |
| U.S. Bureau of Land Management | 241,493 |  | 241,493 |  |
| State Parks, Beaches \& Reserves | 14,549 | 14,549 |  |  |
| County \& City Parks \& Open Space | 14,326 | 14,326 |  |  |
| County Agricultural Use Category | $1,387,200$ |  | 807,000 | 580,200 |
| County Irrigated Agricultural Land | 60,000 |  | 42,000 | 18,000 |
| County Open Space Land Use Category | 211,900 |  |  |  |
| Total Open Space Acres | $2,057,468$ | 28,875 | $1,236,493$ | 580,200 |

Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001
Note: The above table is a preliminary inventory of open space resources. The County intends to develop a comprehensive listing of open space resources to monitor changes over time.

## E6 Parks

으․ Telephone Survey Results
In the past three months, how many times have you visited any outdoor recreation facility in SLO County such as a park, trail, or beach?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| None | 175 | 21.8 |
| $1-2$ | 124 | 15.4 |
| $3-5$ | 179 | 22.3 |
| $6-10$ | 118 | 14.7 |
| $11-25$ | 108 | 13.4 |
| 26-50 | 64 | 8.0 |
| More than 50 | 36 | 4.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## 운 Telephone Survey Results

How would you rate SLO county in the following area:
Parks and Recreation Opportunities

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 200 | 25.3 |
| Very Good | 320 | 40.6 |
| Good | 207 | 26.2 |
| Fair | 52 | 6.6 |
| Poor | 10 | 1.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## E7 Funding for Outdoor Activities

용 Telephone Survey Results
Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
"The county should spend more money to: Buy and preserve open spaces"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 239 | 30.1 |
| Agree | 213 | 26.8 |
| Neutral | 198 | 24.9 |
| Disagree | 98 | 12.3 |
| Strongly Disagree | 46 | 5.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

요․ Telephone Survey Results
Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
"The county should spend more money to: Construct public recreation facilities"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly agree | 176 | 22.3 |
| Agree | 314 | 39.7 |
| Neutral | 207 | 26.2 |
| Disagree | 69 | 8.7 |
| Strongly Disagree | 24 | 3.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## E8 Public Transportation

## 요․ Telephone Survey Results

How would you rate SLO county in the following area:
Public Transportation Services

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent | 83 | 14.0 |
| Very Good | 178 | 30.1 |
| Good | 192 | 32.4 |
| Fair | 73 | 12.3 |
| Poor | 66 | 11.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## E9 Air Quality

Summary: Total days exceeding state air quality standards

| Location | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ozone | 4 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 27 | 2 |
| Particulate | 39 | 2 | 10 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 10 |
| Matter (10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning \& Building, Annual Resource Summary Report 2000.

## Number of days exceeding safe levels of ozone

| Location | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paso Robles | 0 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 1 |
| Atascadero | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| San Luis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Obispo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morro Bay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grover Beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nipomo- South <br> Wilson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -- | -- | -- |
| Nipomo <br> Regional Park | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nipomo Mesa- | 1 | N/A | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Guadalupe Rd. | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning \& Building, Annual Resource Summary Report 2000.
Note: The above chart refers only to exceedance of parts per million State Ambient Air Quality Standards (SAAQS), and does not include exceedances of national standards. The Nipomo South Wilson Monitoring station closed in 1997, and reopened nearby at the Regional Parke (Tefft and Pomeroy) site in 1998. In 1997, there were no ozone excess dances due to complex climatological effects resulting from El Nino.

Ozone: Exposure to unhealthful levels of ozone can result in chest pain, coughing, nausea, shortness of breath, beadaches, congestion and throat irritation. Most at risk during bigh ozone levels are cbildren, the elderly, pregnant women, and individuals with asthma. About $40 \%$ of the county's ozone is produced from vehicle exhaust, while other sources include industrial fuel combustion, pesticides, and waste burning.

## E9 Air Quality, continued

Number of days exceeding safe levels of Particulate Matter

| Location | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paso Robles | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Atascadero | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| San Luis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Obispo | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Morro Bay | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | NA | -- | -- |
| Nipomo- South | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Wilson |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Nipomo- | 10 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Regional Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nipomo UCD1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning \& Building, Annual Resource Summary Report 2000.

Note: The above chart refers only to exceedance of parts per million State Ambient Air Quality Standards (SAAQS), and does not include exceedances of national standards. The Nipomo South Wilson Monitoring station closed in 1997, and reopened nearby at the Regional Park, (Tefft and Pomeroy) site in 1998.

Particulate Matter (PM 10) refers to the mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets found in the air that are less than 10 microns in size. Studies have linked high levels of particulate matter to aggravated asthma and acute respiratory symptoms, chronic bronchitis, decreased lung function, and premature death. In San Luis Obispo County, the single largest source of PM10 is re-entrained dust from vehicles driving on unpaved roads. Other sources can be grinding operations, fuel combustion, agricultural burning and wood stoves.

## E 10 Water Supply \& Consumption

## Water Use per Service Connection (gallons per day)

| Region | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inland | 626.5 | 705.4 | 713.4 | 696.2 | 652.7 | 752.0 |
| Coastal | 353.3 | 357.6 | 377.3 | 364.6 | 327.8 | 366.1 |

Note: includes County's major water suppliers - the incorporated cities, community service districts and major private water companies
Source: Water purveyors; San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning \& Building

| Community Wat <br> Community | Supply \& D Recommended Level of Severity | istribution, 2000 <br> Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avila Beach | None |  |
| Cambria | II | Seasonal supply shortage |
| Cayucos | II | Pending determination of growth rate |
| Garden Farms | II | Inadequate pumping capacity during drought |
| Heritage Ranch | None |  |
| Los Ranchos/Edna | None |  |
| Nipomo | II | Possible basin overdraft per DWR groundwater study |
| Oceano | None |  |
| San Miguel/S.L. Terrance | I | Basin overdraft (Paso Robles Basin) |
| San Simeon | III | CSD moratorium; supply uncertainty during drought |
| Santa Margarita | I | Supply uncertainty during drought |
| Shandon | I | Basin overdraft (Paso Robles Basin) |
| Los Osos | II | Potential for seawater intrusion |
| Templeton | II | Supply fully allocated; Basin overdraft (Paso Robles Basin) |

[^17]Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2000 Annual Resource Summary Report

## E 11 Water Ouality

## Number of Public Well Systems Exceeding State Standards

| Type of Contaminant | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Bacteriological | 16 | 16 |
| Nitrates | 0 | 3 |
| Selenium | 4 | 0 |
| Total Monthly Sample Exceedances | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

Note: The above exceedances do not total to 12 months, as some water systems did not pass monthly sampling on more than one occasion.

## Total Number of Public Well Systems Exceeding State Standards

|  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| Public well systems sampled monthly | 160 | 100 | 160 | 100 |  |
| Wells exceeding standards for bacteria | 12 | 7.5 | 13 | 8.1 |  |
| Wells corrected for bacteriological | 8 | 66.6 | 7 | 53.8 |  |
| contamination |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wells exceeding standards for Nitrate | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.8 |  |
| Wells corrected for Nitrate contamination | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |  |
| Wells exceeding standards for Selenium | 4 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Wells corrected for Selenium | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |
| contamination |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failure rate for all primacy water systems | -- | 1 | -- | 0.9 |  |

Source: Environmental Health Division-Public Health Department, San Luis Obispo County, 1999.
Note: Public well systems are small systems serving fewer than 200 people, and that are monitored monthly. The county does not monitor private wells. Drinking water should not contain any bacteria, yet bacteriological contamination does not necessarily result in illness. Selenium contamination is more serious, and in too high of levels can result in neurological damage. Contamination is often due to industry runoff. The County worked with each well site and the necessary corrections were made. Nitrate contamination is also serious, in that it displaces oxygen in the blood. Nitrates are usually due to fertilizer and wastewater runoff. Fortunately, the County again worked with parties responsible at each well site to correct the contaminations..

Note: Statistics taken from 1999 Action Report. Updated information not available.

## E12 Energy Use

## Per Capita Electricity Deliveries, by Sector (in kilowatt hours)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change <br> '99 to '00 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential | 2,227 | 2,242 | 2,302 | 2,328 | 2,386 | 2,439 | 2,475 | 1.5 |
| Commercial | 1,879 | 1,903 | 1,955 | 2,014 | 2,007 | 2,119 | 2,194 | 3.5 |
| Industrial | 431 | 412 | 374 | 416 | 384 | 419 | 443 | 5.7 |
| Agricultural | 346 | 343 | 364 | 398 | 337 | 399 | 381 | -4.5 |

Source: California Energy Commission
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## Public Safety

In looking at issues of public safety, the assessment examined local crime statistics, for both juveniles and adults, statistics from local law enforcement and social service agencies, and sought public opinion.

When it comes to local crime, the latest statistics, for 2000 , show that the crime rate leveling off after sharp declines in recent years. The per-capita crime rate showed a tiny increase for both violent and property crimes in 2000 . However, violent crime in 2000 was only half as frequent as in 1995, and the countywide FBI crime index was down by more than 25 percent since 1995.

Among specific crimes in 2000, per capita robberies and car thefts both notched increases of more than 10 percent, while homicides, rapes and arson incidents all decreased by more than 20 percent.

Regional changes in violent crime varied by location. Violent crime reports decreased in 2000 by more than 20 percent in Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Morro Bay and Paso Robles. However, violent crimes increased by a similar rate in Atascadero and Pismo Beach. For most cities, the direction of change reversed from the 19981999 change - the exceptions being Grover Beach and Morro Bay, where violent crime decreased for the second straight year.

Property crimes were also down sharply in Grover Beach ( $-20 \%$ ) and Morro Bay ( $-34 \%$ ), down slightly in Arroyo Grande ( $-9 \%$ ), nearly stable in San Luis Obispo ( $+4 \%$ ), up slightly in Pismo Beach ( $+8 \%$ ) and Atascadero (12\%) and up sharply in Paso Robles (21\%).

A bright spot in public safety is in the area of domestic abuse. The number and rate of calls in the county have decreased for four consecutive years. The call rate for the county is less than half of the state average.

Juvenile crime was mixed in 2000. The felony arrest rate dropped for the third straight year, and remains far below the state average. Misdemeanor juvenile arrests, however, rose in 2000 , slightly exceeding the state average. The increase reversed a three-year downward trend.

One area of particular concern is drunk driving. While the adult and juvenile DUI arrest rates were down somewhat in 2000, both rates far exceed state averages. While this may be due in part to a high concentration of college students, it is consistent with higher-than-average drinking rates among high school students noted in the Health section of this report.

Public concerns about crime remain high. Forty percent of phone-survey respondents say they are "very concerned" about crime; 37 percent say the same about gangs. Similarly, 42 percent of phonesurvey respondents saying they were "very concerned" about family violence in their community and 39 percent are "very concerned" about senior abuse.

Feelings about overall security, however, have improved. According to telephone survey results, 84 percent of respondents said they feel "very safe" in their neighborhoods, a 10 percent increase since 1999. Regionally, the greatest increases were noted in San Luis Obispo, where those indicating "very safe" increased from 61 percent to 82 percent and in South County (from $72 \%$ to $88 \%$ ).

## F1 Neighborhood Safety

## 을 Telephone Survey Results

How safe would you say you feel in your neighborbood?

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ReSponse | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| Very safe | 399 | 73.6 | 680 | 84.3 |
| Somewhat safe | 131 | 24.2 | 119 | 14.7 |
| Not at all safe | 12 | 2.2 | 8 | 1.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## 글 Responses by Area of Residence

| RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ 1999 \\ \text { PERCENT } \end{gathered}$ | OUNTY <br> 2001 <br> Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { NORTH } \\ 1999 \\ \text { PERCENT } \end{gathered}$ | CoAst $2001$ <br> Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAN LUI } \\ 1999 \\ \text { PERCENT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OBISPO } \\ 2001 \\ \text { PERCENT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { South } \\ 1999 \\ \text { PERCENT } \end{gathered}$ | OUNTY $2001$ <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very safe | 76.6 | 81.7 | 83.2 | 88.1 | 61.1 | 81.9 | 71.6 | 87.6 |
| Somewhat safe | 22.9 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 11.2 | 32.4 | 17.6 | 26.4 | 11.8 |
| Not at all safe | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Note: The low 1999 "V ery safe" results for the City of San Luis Obispo are likely due to the fact that the 1999 survey was conducted at a time when the disappearance of three students was receiving widespread news coverage and publicity.

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

# F2 School \& Neighborhood Safety 

Children Feel Safe at School \& in their Neighborhood

| Grade Level | \% Feel Safe <br> at School | \% Feel Safe in <br> Neighborhood |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 92 | 93 |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 88 | 93 |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 90 | 94 |

Source: Healthy Kids Survey, 2001.

## F3 Public Safety Concerns

## 용․ Telephone Survey Results

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "V ery Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned," or "Not at all Concerned."

Crime

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very Concerned | 192 | 35.5 | 321 | 39.9 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 249 | 46.0 | 327 | 40.7 |
| Not at all Concerned | 100 | 18.5 | 156 | 19.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

Gangs

|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very Concerned | 180 | 33.1 | 291 | 36.6 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 185 | 34.1 | 242 | 30.4 |
| Not at all Concerned | 178 | 32.8 | 262 | 33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## F4 Prevalence of Street Gangs

## Gang Task Force

Since its inception in 1990, (pursuant to Penal Code Section 186.20), the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department Gang Task Force has successfully prosecuted and had court certified as criminal street gangs eleven separate groups. These groups operated throughout the entire County. As of June 1999, there were six active criminal street gangs operating in San Luis Obispo County.

Penal Code Sections 186.20 (f) defines "criminal street gang" as any ongoing organization,

San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Department, Gang Task. Force, 1999.
association, or group of three or more persons, whether formal or informal, having as one of its primary activities the commission of one or more of the criminal acts enumerated in Paragraphs (1) to (23), inclusive, of subdivision (e), having a common name or common identifying sign or symbol, and whose members individually or collectively engage in or have engaged in a pattern of criminal gang activity.

## F5 Hate Crimes

# Number of Hate Crime Victims, County \& State, 1999 

| Location | Number of Victims | Victims per <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ people |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 29 | 11.75 |
| California | 2,436 | 7.19 |

Source: Hate Crime in California, 1999. Per capita measures based on 2000 census population figures.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas

## Definition of Crimes

Homicide: the willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another. Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter are included in this definition.

Rape: the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will.

Robbery: the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the custody, care, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force or violence and/or by creating fear in the victim.

Aggravated Assault: an unlawful attack or attempted attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault is usually accompanied by the use of
a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm.
Burglary: the unlawful entry of a structure to commit a felony or a theft.

Motor Vehicle Theft: the theft or attempted theft of a motor vehicle.

Larceny: the unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession of another (except
embezzlement, fraud, forgery, and worthless checks).

Arson: any willful or malicious burning or attempt to burn, with or without intent to defraud, a dwelling house, public building, motor vehicle or aircraft, personal property of another, etc.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

San Luis Obispo County - Violent Crime Rate (crimes per 1,000 population)

| Crime | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $99-00 \%$ Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homicide | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | -27.7 |
| Rape | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.28 | -21.0 |
| Robbery | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 18.2 |
| Aggravated Assault | 6.06 | 3.28 | 3.01 | 2.56 | 2.14 | 2.22 | 3.9 |
| Total Violent Crime | 6.77 | 3.94 | 3.87 | 3.45 | 2.74 | 2.79 | 1.8 |

## San Luis Obispo County - Property Crime Rate

 (crimes per 1,000 population)| CRIME | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 8.60 | 6.74 | 6.49 | 6.44 | 5.33 | 5.41 | 1.3 |
| Larceny | 22.56 | 22.08 | 18.68 | 19.54 | 18.64 | 18.83 | 1.0 |
| Auto Theft | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.36 | 1.47 | 1.41 | 1.61 | 14.1 |
| Arson | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.50 | -23.0 |
| Total Property Crime | $\mathbf{3 3 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |

## Combined Total FBI Crime Rate (crimes per 1,000 population)

| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001
(http://justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf,
Note: Typically the FBI Crime Rate is rendered as crimes per 100,000 population. Due to the smaller population of cities in the county, crime rate is reflected in this report as crimes per 1,000 population.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

County Overview
Violent Crime Rate (crimes per 1,000 population)

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 5.3 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | -26.7 |
| Atascadero | 4.2 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 59.4 |
| Grover Beach | 3.9 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2.1 | -40.0 |
| Morro Bay | 7.5 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 4.9 | -21.0 |
| Paso Robles | 7.4 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.4 | -41.5 |
| Pismo Beach | 6.7 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 28.6 |
| City of San Luis | 7.5 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 11.1 |
| Obispo | 7.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 16.7 |
| Unincorporated Areas | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ |
| County Total | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| State Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Property Crime Rate (crimes per 1,000 population)
99-00 \%

Combined Total FBI Crime Rate (crimes per 1,000 population)

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 41.8 | 36.9 | 35.3 | 28.1 | 28.3 | 25.6 | -9.5 |
| Atascadero | 41.8 | 37.0 | 32.6 | 33.6 | 25.2 | 29.6 | 17.5 |
| Grover Beach | 46.3 | 43.6 | 43.4 | 33.3 | 31.6 | 24.7 | -21.8 |
| Morro Bay | 47.8 | 41.4 | 34.9 | 30.5 | 31.7 | 21.8 | -31.2 |
| Paso Robles | 45.9 | 41.5 | 32.4 | 30.4 | 32.1 | 36.2 | 12.8 |
| Pismo Beach | 56.3 | 50.6 | 55.5 | 42.2 | 43.5 | 47.2 | 8.5 |
| City of San Luis | 51.1 | 48.3 | 45.4 | 49.6 | 47.2 | 49.1 | 4.0 |
| Obispo | 24.7 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 17.5 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 2.9 |
| Unincorporated Areas | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Total | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |
| State Total | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 0}$ |

Source: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001
(bttp://justice.bdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc_stats/prof00/40/11.pdf,

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Arroyo Grande

| Violent CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA |
| Rape | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.25 | -21.9 |
| Robbery | 0.67 | 0.47 | 0.79 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 0.19 | -40.6 |
| Aggravated Assault | 4.52 | 2.07 | 1.52 | 0.52 | 0.90 | 0.63 | -30.0 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{5 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 0 . 5}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(bttp:/ /justice.hdedojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc_stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, bttp:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Atascadero

| ViOLENT CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA |
| Rape | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.61 | 0.32 | 0.19 | -40.6 |
| Robbery | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.69 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 35.0 |
| Aggravated Assault | 3.56 | 1.86 | 3.36 | NA | 2.66 | 4.62 | 73.7 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 4}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 11.24 | 11.18 | 8.68 | 8.86 | 5.44 | 7.31 | 34.4 |
| Larceny | 23.31 | 20.95 | 18.05 | 18.00 | 14.41 | 15.30 | 6.2 |
| Auto Theft | 2.43 | 1.49 | 1.39 | 1.67 | 1.33 | 1.51 | 13.5 |
| Arson | 0.67 | 0.99 | 0.37 | 0.93 | 0.85 | 0.45 | -47.1 |
| Total Property Crime | $\mathbf{3 7 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $99-00$ \% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http://justice.bdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8 CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Grover Beach

| Violent CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA |
| Rape | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.31 | -35.4 |
| Robbery | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.65 | 0.40 | 0.31 | -22.5 |
| Aggravated Assault | 3.21 | 2.68 | 2.98 | 3.19 | 2.57 | 1.53 | -40.5 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{3 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 8 . 2}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http:/ /justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Morro Bay

| ViOLENT CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA |
| Rape | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 190.0 |
| Robbery | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | -100.0 |
| Aggravated Assault | 7.12 | 8.29 | 6.91 | 8.48 | 6.00 | 4.64 | -22.7 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{7 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 0 . 5}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $99-00 \%$ <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Total, Eight Major Crimes | 47.80 | 41.40 | 34.90 | 30.50 | 31.70 | 21.80 | -31.2 |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http:/ /justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Paso Robles

| ViOLENT CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0} \%$ <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.04 | -20.0 |
| Rape | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.27 | 0.04 | -85.2 |
| Robbery | 0.43 | 0.62 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.41 | -8.9 |
| Aggravated Assault | 6.51 | 3.84 | 3.04 | 1.81 | 3.32 | 1.89 | $\mathbf{- 4 3 . 1}$ |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{7 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 1 . 6}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 12.01 | 7.53 | 7.05 | 7.92 | 5.32 | 6.34 | 19.2 |
| Larceny | 23.79 | 26.47 | 19.71 | 18.52 | 20.47 | 25.39 | 24.0 |
| Auto Theft | 2.51 | 2.13 | 1.40 | 1.06 | 2.00 | 1.98 | -1.0 |
| Arson | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.12 | -33.3 |
| Total Property Crime | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $99-00 \%$ <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Total, Eight Major Crimes | 45.90 | 41.50 | 32.40 | 30.40 | 32.10 | 36.20 | 12.8 |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http://justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/cisc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Pismo Beach

| VIOLENT CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA |
| Rape | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.00 | -100.0 |
| Robbery | 0.75 | 0.98 | 0.72 | 1.32 | 0.71 | 1.05 | 47.9 |
| Aggravated Assault | 5.59 | 2.69 | 1.69 | 1.32 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 39.0 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{6 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $99-00 \%$ <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Total, Eight Major Crimes | 56.30 | 50.60 | 55.50 | 42.20 | 43.50 | 47.20 | 8.5 |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http://justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/cisc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## San Luis Obispo (City)

| VIOLENT CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | -100.0 |
| Rape | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 2.7 |
| Robbery | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.40 | 0.57 | 42.5 |
| Aggravated Assault | 6.59 | 4.80 | 3.46 | 2.06 | 1.87 | 2.08 | 11.2 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{7 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 9.02 | 8.55 | 8.55 | 6.18 | 8.77 | 7.74 | -11.7 |
| Larceny | 29.70 | 30.95 | 29.06 | 35.36 | 30.82 | 33.50 | 8.7 |
| Auto Theft | 2.22 | 1.64 | 1.93 | 3.04 | 2.68 | 2.85 | 6.3 |
| Arson | 2.58 | 1.61 | 1.20 | 1.29 | 2.26 | 2.01 | -11.1 |
| Total Property Crime | $\mathbf{4 3 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http:/ /justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:// www.census.gov/population/ estimates/metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F6 Crime Rate for Selected Areas, continued

## Unincorporated (Sheriff)

| Violent CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homicide | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 100.0 |
| Rape | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 325.0 |
| Robbery | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 50.0 |
| Aggravated Assault | 6.67 | 2.62 | 2.53 | 2.33 | 1.65 | 1.63 | -1.2 |
| Total Violent Crime | $\mathbf{7 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 9}$ |


| PROPERTY CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Burglary | 6.39 | 4.78 | 4.36 | 4.23 | 3.55 | 3.64 | 2.5 |
| Larceny | 10.02 | 8.99 | 6.88 | 9.21 | 8.13 | 8.47 | 4.2 |
| Auto Theft | 1.03 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 25.0 |
| Arson | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.11 | -26.7 |
| Total Property Crime | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |


| FBI CRIME RATE <br> (CRIMES PER 1,000 PEOPLE) | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: California Department of Justice Law Enforcement Information Center, 2001, U.S. Census, 2000
(http:/ / justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc_stats/prof00/40/11.pdf, http:/ / www.census.gov/population/ estimates/ metrocity/placebyco/SC99T8_CA.txt)

Note: The crime rate is traditionally calculated as the number of crimes per 100,000 people. Due to the smaller populations of San Luis Obispo County cities, the crime rate shown above is per 1,000 people.

## F7 Public Safety Concerns

## 寅 Telephone Survey Results

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "V ery Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned," or "Not at all Concerned."

Family Violence

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very Concerned | 180 | 33.6 | 330 | 41.6 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 187 | 35.0 | 268 | 33.8 |
| Not at all Concerned | 168 | 31.4 | 195 | 24.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

Senior Abuse

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Very Concerned | 184 | 34.9 | 303 | 39.1 |
| Somewhat Concerned | 169 | 32.1 | 228 | 29.4 |
| Not at all Concerned | 174 | 33 | 244 | 31.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

[^18]
## F8 Domestic Violence Calls

Number of Calls, San Luis Obispo County

| JURISDICTION | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 99-00 \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 40 | 48 | 37 | 25 | 26 | 4.0 |
| Atascadero | 158 | 148 | 124 | 118 | 100 | -15.3 |
| Grover Beach | 52 | 58 | 47 | 57 | 51 | -10.5 |
| Morro Bay | 55 | 47 | 55 | 41 | 36 | -12.2 |
| Paso Robles | 185 | 141 | 162 | 135 | 133 | -1.5 |
| Pismo Beach | 51 | 57 | 51 | 45 | 27 | -40.0 |
| San Luis Obispo (city) | 124 | 124 | 86 | 89 | 98 | 10.1 |
| Unincorporated Areas | 303 | 234 | 213 | 206 | 197 | -4.4 |
| Other Jurisdictions | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | -40.0 |
| San Luis Obispo County | 972 | 862 | 778 | 721 | 671 | -6.9 |

Call Rate, San Luis Obispo County \& State

|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CALLS PER 1,000 Pop. | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | CHANGE |
| Arroyo Grande | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| Atascadero | 6.5 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 3.8 | -20.8 |
| Grover Beach | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 3.9 | -15.2 |
| Morro Bay | 5.6 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 3.5 | -14.6 |
| Paso Robles | 8.8 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 5.5 | -9.8 |
| Pismo Beach | 6.2 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 3.2 | -39.6 |
| San Luis Obispo | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.8 |
| Unincorporated areas | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | -5.0 |
| San Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 0}$ |
| California | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |

Arrest Rate, San Luis Obispo County \& State
AR-98 \%

Source: California Criminal Justice Profile, 1999 \& 2001. No arrest data available for 1999 or 2000.

## F9 Domestic Violence Shelters

Shelter occupants, by Age and Family StatusNorth County area

| NORTH COUNTY WOMEN's RESOURCE | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CENTER | 61 | 44 | 49 | 43 | 48 |
| Number of Women | 54.1 | 34.1 | 34.7 | 51.2 | 36 |
| \% aged 18-29 | 44.3 | 65.9 | 59.2 | 46.5 | 58 |
| \% aged 30-50 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 6 |
| \% aged 50+ | 83 | 65 | 68 | 59 | 73 |
| Number of Children | 57.6 | 59.6 | 58.1 | 57.8 | 60 |
| \% children |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Status | 39.3 | 43.2 | 42.8 | 34.9 | 45.8 |
| \% Married with children | 31.1 | 34.1 | 32.6 | 48.8 | 31.2 |
| \% Single with children | 29.5 | 22.7 | 24.5 | 16.3 | 23 |
| \% Single- no children | 144 | 109 | 117 | 102 | 121 |
| Total Shelter Occupants |  |  |  |  |  |

Shelter Occupants, by Income Source

| NORTH COUNTY WOMEN's RESOURCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CENTER | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| \% Private Income Source | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$ | 31.8 | 36.7 | 30.2 | 27 |
| \% Public Assistance Income Source | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$ | 27.3 | 28.6 | 27.9 | 31.3 |
| \% No Income Source | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$ | 40.9 | 34.7 | 41.9 | 41.7 |

## Shelter Occupants, by Ethnicity

| NORTH COUNTY WOMEN'S RESOURCE | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CENTER |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: North County Women's Shelter \& Resource Center, 2001.

## F9 Domestic Violence Shelters, continued

Shelter Occupants, by Age and Family StatusSan Luis Obispo Area

| WOMEN's Shelter Program, Inc. <br> OF SAN Luis Obispo County | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Women | 66 | 50 | 38 |
| \% aged 18-29 | 48.5 | 38.0 | 52.6 |
| \% aged 30-50 | 51.5 | 52.0 | 44.7 |
| \% aged 50+ | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| Number of Children | 84 | 51 | 50 |
| \% children | 56.0 | 50.5 | 56.8 |


| Family Status |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% Married with no children | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0 |
| \% Married with children | 46.9 | 39.6 | 39.5 |
| \% Single with children | 24.2 | 18.8 | 42.1 |
| \% Single- no children | 28.8 | 33.3 | 18.4 |
| Total Shelter Occupants | 150 | 101 | 88 |

## Shelter Occupants, by Income Source

| WOMEN's Shelter Program, Inc. |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OF SAN LuIS Obispo County | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| \% Private Income Source | NA | NA | 8.6 |
| \% Public Assistance Income Source | NA | NA | 37.1 |
| \% No Income Source | NA | NA | 34.3 |
| \% Disability | NA | NA | 11.4 |
| \% Other | NA | NA | 8.6 |

Source: Women's Shelter Program, Inc. of San Luis Obispo County, 1999.
Note: 1998-99 figures are preliminary (YTD) as of June,1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## F9 Domestic Violence Shelters, continued

## Shelter Occupants, by Ethnicity

| WOMEN's Shelter Program, Inc. |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OF SAN Luis Obispo COUNTY | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| \% Caucasian | 68.0 | 74.3 | 59.0 |
| \% Hispanic | 21.3 | 12.9 | 34.0 |
| \% Native American | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| \% African American | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| \% Asian/ Pacific Islander | 6.0 | 5.9 | 1.1 |

Source: Women's Shelter Program, Inc. of San Luis Obispo County, 1999.
Note: 1998-99 figures are preliminary (YTD) as of June,1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

# F9 Domestic Violence Shelters, continued 

Prevention and Outreach

| NORTH County Women's Resource |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Center | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| Participants in Low Cost Counseling | 29 | 73 | 102 | 158 |
| Teens attending Domestic Violence | NA | 1,805 | 1,806 | 1,700 |
| Awareness Presentations |  |  |  |  |
| Restraining Orders * | NA | NA | 64 | 116 |


| WOMEN’s SHELTER PRoGRAM, Inc. <br> OF SAN LuIS Obispo County | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Participants in Low Cost Counseling | 176 | 296 | 254 |
| Number of women | 111 | 118 | 144 |
| Number of children | 48 | 166 | 93 |
| Number of men | 17 | 12 | 17 |
| Restraining orders issued | 181 | 250 | 187 |

* In 1998, the North County Women's Resource Center began providing support to belp women obtain restraining orders.


## Total Shelter Occupants by Original Area of Residence

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North County + North Coast | 134 | 107 | 109 | 103 |
| South County + San Luis Obispo | 100 | 96 | 51 | 14 |
| Out of County | 25 | 15 | 30 | 4 |
| Total | 259 | 218 | 190 | 121 |

Source: North County Women's Resource Center, Women's Shelter Program of San Luis Obispo, 2001.

## F10 Emergency Response Child Abuse Referrals

| Total Reported Cases of | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 *}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHILD AbuSE | 4,078 | 3,950 | 3,745 | 3,168 | 3,240 | 3,549 | +9.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Luis Obispo County | 5,950 | 5,652 | 2,332 | 2,623 | NA | NA |  |
| Santa Cruz County | 9,757 | 9,142 | 7,658 | 6,674 | NA | NA |  |
| Monterey County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Cbild Protective Services, San Luis Obispo, Monterey and Santa Cruz Counties 1999 \& 2001.
Note: The reports cited do not include those made to law enforcement.
*In 1997 a new reporting system was implemented which counts cases of physical abuse and severe neglect as one referral instead of two. Thus the decline in figures for 1997 does not reflect a decline in the number of referrals. The new system does not duplicate so it is now counted as one. Effective FY 98/99 the referrals are no longer be counted by child, but by family.

## F 11 Family Violence

## Elder Abuse and Neglect 7/96-6/97

| ConFIRMED ABUSE - ELDER | By OTHERS | By SELF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sexual Abuse | 0 | - |
| Physical Abuse | 22 | - |
| Neglect | 18 | - |
| Mental Suffering | 21 | 182 |
| Financial Abuse | 14 | 4 |
| Abandonment | 4 | 9 |
| Total | 79 | 195 |


| ConFirmed AbuSE - DEPENDENT AdULT |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sexual Abuse | 3 | - |
| Physical Abuse | 2 | - |
| Neglect | 11 | 26 |
| Mental Suffering | 2 | 2 |
| Financial Abuse | 0 | 1 |
| Abandonment | 4 | 9 |
| Total | 47 | 29 |
| Total Confirmed Abuse - 321 or 53\% cases |  |  |
| Total Cases Investigated - $\mathbf{6 0 5}$ |  |  |

Source: Cbild Protective Services, San Luis Obispo, 1999.
Note: Figures include the number of cases investigated in that particular year, in addition to the number of cases reported and not investigated after an evaluation by a supervisor. Elder is defined as an individual 65 years and older.

Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## F12 Juvenile Crime

Juvenile Arrest Rate - Felonies

| FELONIES PER 1,000 PEOPLE |  |  |  |  | 99-00 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AGE 10-17 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | \% CHANGE |

Juvenile Arrest Rate - Misdemeanors

| MISDEMEANORS PER 1,000 <br> PEOPLE AGE 10-17 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 99-00 <br> \% CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 43.2 | 36.9 | 35.4 | 33.5 | 35.1 | 4.8 |
| California | 40.6 | 40.3 | 40.9 | 38.1 | 34.9 | -8.4 |

[^19]
# F12 Juvenile Crime, continued 

## Section 601 Petitions

|  | 1994-95 | 1998-99 | \% Change <br> 1995-1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Referrals | 153 | 31 | -79.7 |
| Filed with Court | 30 | 1 | -96.7 |

Source: Cbildren's Services Network, 2001.

Section 602 Petitions

|  | 1994-95 | 1998-99 | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995-1999 |  |  |  |
| Referrals | 1,934 | 2,095 | 8.3 |
| Filed with Court | 363 | 710 | 95.6 |


| 602 Court Filings <br> by Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | \% Change <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 29 | 95 | 227.6 |
| Atascadero | 71 | 114 | 60.6 |
| Grover Beach | 27 | 60 | 122.2 |
| Los Osos | 33 | 28 | -15.2 |
| Morro Bay | 25 | 39 | 56.0 |
| Oceano | 27 | 30 | 11.1 |
| Paso Robles | 77 | 98 | 27.3 |
| Pismo Beach | 11 | 39 | 254.5 |
| San Luis Obispo | 38 | 163 | 328.9 |
| Other Jurisdictions | 25 | 44 | 76.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 6}$ |

[^20]
# F12 Juvenile Crime, continued 

## Juvenile Probation

|  |  | Change from |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 9 5}$ | 1998-99 | 1995 to 1999 |
| Placed on Informal Probation | 369 | 194 | $-47.4 \%$ |
| Placed on Formal Probation | 206 | 583 | $183.0 \%$ |
| Probation Violations | 309 | 246 | $-20.4 \%$ |

Cbildren's Services Network, 2001.

## F13 Foster Care

## Foster Care Caseload - San Luis Obispo County

|  | August 1996 | August 1997 | August 1998 | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8} \mathbf{\%}$ <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cases open on last day of the month | 73 | 87 | 69 | -20.7 |
| Total cases opened during the year | 101 | 105 | 51 | -51.4 |
| Average age in years at case | 15.9 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 0.6 |
| opening <br> Average months in placement | 10.7 | 12.2 | 13.2 |  |
| \% female | 20.5 | 25.3 | 21.7 | -14.2 |
| \% male | 79.5 | 74.7 | 78.3 | 4.8 |

Source: California Department of Social Services, 1999.

Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## Foster Care Placements - San Luis Obispo County

|  | 1994-95 | 1998-99 | \% Change <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Foster Care | 207 | 145 | -30.0 |
| Foster Family Agency | 87 | 93 | 6.9 |
| Group Home | 33 | 38 | 15.2 |

## F13 Foster Care, continued

## Profile of Children in Foster Care- San Luis Obispo County

|  | August $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | August $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | August $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% Caucasian | 80 | 74.7 | 79.7 |
| \% Hispanic | 13.7 | 20.7 | 14.5 |
| \% African American | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| \% Native American | 0 | 0 | 1.4 |
| \% Asian/ Pacific Islander | 1.4 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Filipino | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: California Department of Social Services, 1999.

## Children in Foster Care, by Placement and Reunification status

|  | August 1996 | August 1997 | August 1998 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% placed in non-relative foster family homes | 20.5 | 21.8 | 4.3 |
| \% placed in relative foster family homes | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% placed in group homes | 79.5 | 78.2 | 42 |
| \% placed in other type of facility/ unknown | 0 | 0 | 53.6 |
| \% cases terminated/ reunified with family | 45.3 | 54.9 | 57.3 |
| \% cases terminated/ adopted | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: California Department of Social Services, 1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report.

## Fl4 Crime in Schools

## California Safe Schools Assessment 1999-2000

## San Luis Obispo County

| Number of Crimes per <br> 1,000 Students | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ | \% Change <br> '99-'00 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drug/Alcohol | 7.0 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | -2.5 |  |
| Battery | 8.5 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 |  |
| Assault w/weapon | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Robbery/ Extortion | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -40.0 |  |
| Sex Offenders | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -77.3 |  |
| Possession of Weapon | 1.5 |  | 1.3 |  | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Property Crimes | 4.0 |  | 4.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| Loss per Student | $\$$ | 2.55 | $\$$ | 1.69 | $\$$ | 1.70 | $\$ 29.04$ |

## California

| Number of Crimes per <br> $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ Students | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ | \% Change <br> '99-00 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drug/Alcohol | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.5 |  |
| Battery | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 18.7 |  |
| Assault w/weapon | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |
| Robbery/ Extortion | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Sex Offenders | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Possession of Weapon | 1.2 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.3 |
| 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Crimes | 5.0 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.1 |

Source: California Department of Education, California Safe Schools Assessment, 1999-2000
http:/ / www.cde.ca.gov/spbranch/safety/cssa/99-00results.pdf

## F14 Crime in Schools, continued

## California Safe Schools Assessment 1999-2000 (continued)

Atascadero Unified

| Number of Crimes per <br> 1,000 Students | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ | \% Change <br> '99-'00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drug/Alcohol | 7.0 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | -2.5 |
| Battery | 8.5 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Assault w/weapon | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Robbery/ Extortion | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -40.0 |
| Sex Offenders | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -77.3 |
| Possession of Weapon | 1.5 | 1.3 |  | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Property Crimes | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.7 | -15.6 |
| Loss per Student | $\$$ | 1.72 | $\$$ | 1.28 | $\$$ | 5.48 |

## Lucia Mar Unified

| Number of Crimes per 1,000 Students | 1995-96 |  | 1996-97 |  | 1997-98 |  | 1998-99 |  | 1999-00 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ \text { '99-'00 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug/Alcohol |  | 7.0 |  | 4.8 |  | 4.7 |  | 5.3 |  | 5.2 | -2.5 |
| Battery |  | 8.5 |  | 8.4 |  | 7.2 |  | 4.1 |  | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Assault w/weapon |  | 0.3 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Robbery/ Extortion |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | -40.0 |
| Sex Offenders |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | -77.3 |
| Possession of Weapon |  | 1.5 |  | 1.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.1 |  | 0.9 | -15.6 |
| Property Crimes |  | 4.0 |  | 4.1 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.2 |  | 3.7 | -11.2 |
| Loss per Student | \$ | 2.78 | \$ | 2.67 | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 1.70 | \$ | 10.54 | 520.0 |

## Paso Robles Joint Unified

| Number of Crimes per | 1995-96 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ | \% Change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,000 Students | 7.0 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | -2.5 |  |
| Drug/Alcohol | 8.5 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 |  |
| Battery | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Assault w/weapon | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -40.0 |  |
| Robbery/ Extortion | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -77.3 |  |
| Sex Offenders | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | -15.6 |  |
| Possession of Weapon | 4.0 |  | 4.1 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.2 |

Source: California Department of Education, California Safe Schools Assessment, 1999-2000
http:/ / www.cde.ca.gov/spbranch/safety/cssa/99-00results.pdf

## F14 Crime in Schools, continued

## California Safe Schools Assessment 1999-2000 (continued)

San Luis Coastal Unified

| Number of Crimes per <br> 1,000 Students | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5 - 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ | \% Change <br> '99-'00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drug/Alcohol | 7.4 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 17.4 |
| Battery | 5.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 663.8 |
| Assault w/weapon | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | NA |
| Robbery/ Extortion | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | NA |
| Sex Offenders | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Possession of Weapon | 1.6 |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Property Crimes | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.9 | -4.2 |
| Loss per Student | $\$$ | 1.05 | $\$$ | 0.90 | $\$$ | 1.05 |


| Templeton Unifi <br> Number of Crimes per 1,000 Students | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | \% Change '99-'00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug/Alcohol | 1.1 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | -17.2 |
| Battery | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 | -39.8 |
| Assault w/weapon | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | NA |
| Robbery/ Extortion | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | NA |
| Sex Offenders | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | -100.0 |
| Possession of Weapon | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | -100.0 |
| Property Crimes | 2.2 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | -15.7 |
| Loss per Student | \$ 2.24 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.89 | \$ 1.02 | 14.6 |

Source: California Department of Education, California Safe Schools Assessment, 1999-2000
http:// www.cde.ca.gov/spbranch/safety/cssa/99-00results.pdf

## F15 Gun Sales

## Annual Handgun Sales

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ <br> \% CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo County | 2,517 | 2,714 | 1,636 | 1,694 | 1,871 | 10.5 |
| Guns sold per 1,000 people | 11 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 8 | - |
| California | 418,719 | 361,502 | 238,755 | 207,318 | 195,338 | -5.8 |
| Guns sold per 1,000 people | 13 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 6 | - |

Source: Firearms Registration, Department of Justice, California Department of Finance, Demographic Unit, 1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## F16 Firearms

## 용 Telephone Survey Results

Do you have any firearms kept in or around your home? Include those kept in a garage, outdoor storage area, truck, or other motor vehicles.

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 228 | 29.5 |
| No | 545 | 70.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## 응 Telephone Survey Results

Are any of the firearms in or around your home bandguns, such as pistols or revolvers?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 143 | 63.6 |
| No | 82 | 36.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## 을 Telephone Survey Results

How many of the firearms are currently stored under lock and key?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All of them | 86 | 61.0 |
| Some of them | 10 | 7.1 |
| None of them | 45 | 31.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

## F17 Driving Under the Influence

Adult DUI Arrests, San Luis Obispo County \& State

| DUI ARRESTS \& ARREST RATE |  |  |  |  |  | 99-00 \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (PER 1,000 ADULTS) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ CHANGE |

Note: Includes both felony and misdemeanor DUI arrests.
Source: California Department of Justice, 2001 (bttp://justice.hdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cisc stats/prof00/)

Juvenile DUI Arrests, San Luis Obispo County \& State

| DUI ARrESTS \& ARREST RATE | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$99-00 \% <br> CHANGE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (PER 1,000 JUVENILES AGE 10- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Includes both felony and misdemeanor DUI arrests.
Source: California Department of Justice, 2001 (bttp://justice.bdcdojnet.state.ca.us/ cjsc stats/prof00/)

## F17 Driving Under the Influence, continued

Juvenile Misdemeanor DUI Arrests, California

| ETHNICITY | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 98-99 \% <br> CHANGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caucasian | 960 | 818 | 875 | 892 | 1.9 |
| Hispanic | 629 | 639 | 615 | 621 | 1.0 |
| African American | 56 | 51 | 57 | 40 | -29.8 |
| Other | 69 | 101 | 136 | 121 | -11.0 |
| Total | 1,714 | 1,609 | 1,683 | 1,674 | -0.5 |

Source: California Criminal Justice Profile, 1999.

## F18 Senior Drivers

## Number of Senior Drivers- County

| Age Group | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | \% of All <br> Drivers | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | \% of All <br> Drivers | $\mathbf{5}$ Year \% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aged 60-69 | 17,042 | 10.9 | 16,870 | 10.3 | -1.0 |
| $70-79$ | 13,909 | 8.9 | 15,100 | 9.2 | 8.7 |
| $80-89$ | 4,128 | 2.7 | 5,265 | 3.2 | 27.5 |
| $90-99$ | 212 | 0.1 | 313 | 0.2 | 47.6 |
| Total 60+ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ |

Note: The total number of persons over 60 in San Luis Obispo is 45,626 (1998), which means approximately $82.3 \%$ of seniors have a license to drive.

## Number of Senior Drivers- State

| Age Group | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | \% of All <br> Drivers | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | \% of All <br> Drivers | $\mathbf{5}$ Year \% <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aged 60-69 | $1,792,383$ | 8.8 | $1,793,659$ | 8.6 | -- |
| $70-79$ | $1,170,566$ | 5.8 | $1,238,606$ | 5.9 | 5.8 |
| $80-89$ | 325,646 | 1.6 | 396,634 | 1.9 | 21.8 |
| $90-99$ | 16,867 | .08 | 22,995 | .11 | 36.3 |
| Total 60+ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 5 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 5 1 , 8 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ |

Source: California Department of Motor Vehicles, 1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

## F19 County Parolees

## Felons Paroled from an Institution by County of Parole, San Luis Obispo County

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\text { \% CHANGE }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 789 | 908 | 1,074 | 1,074 | 884 | -17.7 |
| Total parolees | 229,500 | 232,700 | 236,400 | 239,300 | 245,200 | 2.5 |
| County population | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.6 | -20.0 |
| Parolees per 1,000 people |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^21]Note: The data indicates parolees who bave been paroled to San Luis Obispo County.

## ACTION for Healthy Communities Social Environment Issues

Social Environment ..... 167
G1 Discrimination ..... 168
G2 Racism ..... 169
G3 Voting ..... 170
G4 Hotline Calls for Assistance ..... 171

## Social Environment

To gauge issues surrounding the local social environment, the assessment looked to a handful of key resources: voter participation, perceptions of discrimination and whether or not people give blood.

One in 10 county phone-survey respondents reported experiencing some type of discrimination within the county in the past 12 months - down slightly from 1999. Among those citing discrimination, the basis varied widely. Agebased discrimination was noted most frequently, by 38 percent, and was cited both by older and younger adults. One in five noted racial or ethnic discrimination, down dramatically from the 41 percent noted in 1999. However, Latinos were still two-and-a-half times as likely as Caucasians to have experienced discrimination, and other nonCaucasians were twice as likely. One third of phone-survey respondents say they are "very concerned" about racism.

Voting in 2000 was up from 1998, reflecting the traditionally stronger interest shown in presidential election years. More than half of eligible voters participated in the November 2000 general election, up 12 percent from 1998. Thirty-seven percent voted in the March primaries, up 7 percent from 1998. Participation in the primary elections may also have been aided from California's move to have primary elections on Super Tuesday in March.

In terms of voting preferences, the trend appears to be toward not specifying party membership. The number of voters who "declined to state" a party preference when registering grew to 14 percent in 2000, up from nine percent in 1996. The move toward open primaries may be a factor in this trend.

In the telephone survey one in eight county residents reported giving blood regularly. That percentage is virtually unchanged from 1999.

## Gl Discrimination

용 Telephone Survey Results
Have you felt discriminated against in San Luis Obispo County in the last twelve months?

|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 77 | 14.2 | 83 | 10.3 |
| No | 464 | 85.8 | 726 | 89.7 |
| Total | 541 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Ifyes, for what reason? (Top three responses)

|  | 1999 |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Ethnicity / Race | 24 | 41.4 | 17 | 21.0 |
| Gender | 16 | 27.6 | 7 | 8.6 |
| Age | 14 | 24.1 | 31 | 38.3 |

Source:1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Survey

## 요 Telephone Survey Results, by ethnicity

Have you felt discriminated against in San Luis Obispo County in the last twelve months?

|  | Yes 1999 |  | Yes 2001 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Caucasian | 54 | 11.9 | 55 | 8.4 |
| Latino | 16 | 22.5 | 18 | 20.9 |
| Other | 6 | 37.5 | 10 | 18.2 |

[^22]
## G2 Racism

욜 Telephone Survey Results
How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned," or "Not at all Concerned."

Racism

| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very concerned | 135 | 25.2 | 257 | 32.2 |
| Somewhat concerned | 200 | 37.3 | 231 | 28.9 |
| Not at all concerned | 201 | 37.5 | 310 | 38.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## 울 Telephone Survey Results

Do you regularly:

Donate blood?

|  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Response | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 69 | 12.7 | 103 | 12.8 |
| No | 474 | 87.3 | 700 | 87.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## G3 Voting

## San Luis Obispo County Voter Registration and Turnout

| Election | Eligible to <br> Register | Registered | \% <br> Registered | County <br> Turnout | \% of <br> Registered | \% of <br> Eligible | State Turnout <br> (\% of Eligible) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1998 Primary | 171,805 | 129,805 | 75.6 | 70,905 | 54.6 | 41.3 | 30.1 |
| November 1998 | 173,074 | 133,809 | 77.3 | 88,049 | 65.8 | 50.9 | 41.4 |
| General |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March 2000 Primary | 177,922 | 130,828 | 73.5 | 84,425 | 64.5 | 47.5 | 37.1 |
| November 2000 <br> General | 178,707 | 142,633 | 79.8 | 109,761 | 77.0 | 61.4 | 51.9 |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Elections Department, Elections Division-California Secretary of State, 1999 and 2001.
http:// www.ss.ca.gov/ elections/sov/2000_general/ reg.pdf

## Voter Profile

|  | 1996 |  | 1998 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Registered Voters | County | State | County | State | County | State |
| Democrat | 39.7 | 47.4 | 38.7 | 46.8 | 36.1 | 45.4 |
| Republican | 45.2 | 36.8 | 44.4 | 35.8 | 43.5 | 34.9 |
| Declined to state | 9.1 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 14.4 |
| Other | 6 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 5.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: San Luis Obispo County Elections Department, 2001.

## G4 Hotline Calls for Assistance

## Number of Calls

| Category | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 991 | 1,276 | 953 | 801 |
| Child Abuse | 110 | 99 | 100 | 88 |
| Counseling Resources | 363 | 439 | 397 | 450 |
| Developmental Disabilities/ | 96 | 52 | 89 | 70 |
| Neurological Handicaps |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Assistance | 1,056 | 752 | 494 | 391 |
| Family Care Network | 182 | 140 | 201 | 146 |
| Family Planning/Sexuality | 124 | 182 | 162 | 136 |
| Family Services Center | 550 | 0 | --- | --- |
| Homeless Shelter (EOC) | 2,132 | 2,391 | 2,783 | 3,217 |
| Hospice | 50 | 0 |  |  |
| HOTLINE Staff/Resources | 3,733 | 3,688 | 3,700 | 3,512 |
| Housing Problems | 184 | 193 | 241 | 196 |
| Information and Referral | 1,732 | 1,289 | 1,048 | 1,186 |
| Interpersonal Relationship | 362 | 546 | 501 | 434 |
| Legal/Consumer Complaint | 634 | 605 | 578 | 422 |
| Mental Illness | 974 | 1,368 | 1,242 | 1,035 |
| Misc./Defies Classification | 1,566 | 1,511 | 1,472 | 1,835 |
| Phone Friends | 4,811 | 0 | --- | -- |
| Physical/Health/Disabilities | 360 | 396 | 329 | 313 |
| Rape Crisis Center | 85 | 0 | --- | -- |
| Senior Services | 3,778 | 4,100 | 3,774 | 3,360 |
| Sexual Assault | 0 | 75 | 71 | 61 |
| Special Olympics | 120 | 96 | 69 | 63 |
| Support | 1,725 | 1,364 | 1,122 | 1,128 |
| Teenage/Youth | 304 | --- | --- | --- |
| Telecare | --- | 4,770 | 4,595 | 5,229 |
| Women's Shelter | 2,587 | 2,214 | 1,610 | 1,749 |
| Suicide Issues | 232 | 255 | 235 | 224 |
| Total Calls | $\mathbf{2 8 , 8 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 5 2}$ |
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## G4 Hotline Calls for Assistance, continued

## Hotline Calls, by Age Group of Caller

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7} \mathbf{- 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8} \mathbf{- 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-9$ | 12 | 12 | 9 | 15 |
| $10-19$ | 375 | 431 | 434 | 401 |
| $20-29$ | 2,923 | 3,146 | 2,754 | 2,634 |
| $30-39$ | 7,030 | 6,315 | 5,466 | 5,220 |
| $40-49$ | 6,137 | 5,564 | 5,248 | 5,244 |
| $50-59$ | 2,546 | 2,254 | 2,207 | 2,432 |
| $60-69$ | 1,854 | 2,087 | 1,976 | 2,144 |
| $70-79$ | 2,843 | 2,423 | 1,810 | 2,158 |
| $80-89+$ | 3,304 | 3,656 | 3,887 | 3,837 |
| Unknown | 1,817 | 1,830 | 1,975 | 1,967 |

Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 2001.

Hotline Calls, by Caller Area of Residence

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7} \mathbf{- 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8} \mathbf{- 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Luis Obispo | 10,916 | 10,042 | 9,874 | 10,663 |
| Paso Robles | 2,109 | 2,106 | 1,510 | 1,394 |
| Atascadero | 2,401 | 2,337 | 1,750 | 1,525 |
| Morro Bay | 980 | 1,057 | 984 | 1,259 |
| Cambria | 296 | 248 | 226 | 223 |
| Cayucos | 242 | 174 | 134 | 104 |
| Los Osos/Baywood | 1,232 | 1,321 | 1,299 | 1,361 |
| Pismo Beach | 1,465 | 1,294 | 1,513 | 1,581 |
| Grover Beach | 1,224 | 1,638 | 1,339 | 1,303 |
| Arroyo Grande | 1,922 | 1,880 | 2,131 | 2,078 |
| Santa Margarita | 159 | 107 | 113 | 85 |
| Nipomo | 241 | 204 | 188 | 157 |
| Other | 2,460 | 2,266 | 1,591 | 1,470 |
| Out of County | 1 | 2 | 11 | 6 |
| Unknown | 3,193 | 3,125 | 3,103 | 2,843 |

Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 2001.
Note: Twenty-four-hour phone lines are available to anyone in need. A specialized phone line is open to accommodate the needs of the senior citizen and frail, elderly population of San Luis Obispo County. Senior Telecare is a daily assurance and safety-check telephone service, whereby volunteers place regularly scheduled phone calls to clients.

## ACTION for Healthy Communities Government Issues
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## Government Issues

Perceptions of government effectiveness, responsiveness and access were considered using three research methods. One was the inclusion of an overall performance rating in the 2001 community telephone survey. A second was a survey conducted with the assistance of the League of Women Voters to monitor the use of communication and access methods by local government entities. The third was a "mystery shopper" process designed to test responsiveness of government to customerservice issues.

Both the access survey and the mystery shopper survey were conducted in both 1999 and 2001. Results show that, by and large, government is paying attention to these issues (possibly as a result of being monitored).

Overall, residents have a fairly high degree of confidence in county government, with more than one resident in three giving the county a "very good" or "excellent" rating. Slightly more than one in five residents rated the county "fair" or "poor." Respondents tended toward the middle of the response scale, with fewer than 10 percent opting for either "excellent" or "poor."

Public-access scores for incorporated cities ranged from 11 (Morro Bay) to 21 (San Luis Obispo) out of a possible 28 points. Most cities showed a slight increase over 1999.

School district scores ranged from 14 (Paso Robles) to an outstanding 27 (Lucia Mar). Lucia Mar and the County School Superintendent's office both noted substantial improvements from 1999.

Among city planning departments, scores ranged from 5 (Grover Beach) to 15 (Morro Bay). Five of the eight departments surveyed showed declines from 1999.

Community services districts fared somewhat worse in terms of public access, with scores ranging from 4 (Heritage Ranch CSD) to 16 (Oceano CSD). The greatest improvement from 1999 was seen in Los Osos CSD (from 11 to 15 methods used); the greatest decline was that of Cambria CSD (from 16 to 7). CSDs fared better on customer service issues, with scores ranging from 16 (Los Osos) to 23 (Nipomo) out of a possible 29 points.

City utilities showed strong across-the-board improvement in customer service, with all seven utilities improving substantially since 1999. Scores ranged from 17 (Pismo Beach) to 27 (Atascadero).

The County Elections Board also noted improvement and a strong overall customerservice score, garnering 27 out of 29 points.

## H1 Rating of County Government

욜 Telephone Survey Results
How would you rate SLO county in the following area:

County government, including major units such as the sheriff, social services, County planning \& building, Elections office, bealth department, assessor, tax collector, roads, and the County board of Supervisors?

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 59 | 8.2 |
| Very Good | 190 | 26.3 |
| Good | 316 | 43.8 |
| Fair | 103 | 14.3 |
| Poor | 54 | 7.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source:2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Survey

## H2 Public Access \& Info Methods

## Cities

| Cities | Total Score | Total Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| City of San Luis Obispo | 19 | 21 |
| County Board of Supervisors | 18 | 18 |
| City of Atascadero | 18 | 18 |
| City of Arroyo Grande | 16 | 17 |
| City of Grover Beach | 14 | 16 |
| City of Pismo Beach | 14 | 15 |
| City of Paso Robles | 13 | 14 |
| City of Morro Bay | 12 | 11 |

## Community Services Districts

| Community Services Districts | Total Score | Total Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Oceano Community Services Dist. | 15 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Los Osos Community Services Dist. | 11 | 16 |
| Templeton Community Services Dist. | 10 | 15 |
| San Simeon Community Services Dist. | 8 | 11 |
| Cambria Community Services Dist. | 16 | 8 |
| Nipomo Community Services Dist. | 7 | 7 |
| Heritage Ranch Community Services Dist. | 6 | 6 |

## School Districts

|  | Total Score |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Districts | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Lucia Mar School Dist. | 19 | 27 |
| County Superintendent of Schools | 16 | 20 |
| San Luis Coastal School Dist. | 20 | 18 |
| Paso Robles School Dist. | 14 | 14 |

## Miscellaneous

|  | Total Score | Total Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Council of Governments | 14 | 17 |
| Port San Luis Harbor Dist. | 14 | 14 |
| Air Pollution Control Dist. | 13 | 14 |
| Water Quality Control Board | 10 | 10 |

Note: 30 different access methods were inquired about (e.g., host website, mail agendas free of charge, Spanish information available, Braille information available). Maximum Score is 30.

Sources: Foundation for Community Design agency survey, 1999; ACTION for Healthy Communities agency survey, 2001.

## H2 Public Access \& Info Methods, continued

## Planning Departments

|  | Total Score |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Platal Score |  |  |
| Tlanning Departments | 10 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |
| Morro Bay Planning Dept. | 13 | 15 |
| City of San Luis Obispo Planning Dept. | 14 | 14 |
| Atascadero Community Dev. Dept. | 12 | 11 |
| County Planning Dept. | 13 | 10 |
| Pismo Beach Planning Dept. | 7 | 9 |
| Paso Robles Community Dev. Dept. | 10 | 9 |
| Arroyo Grande Planning Dept. | 10 | 7 |
| Grover Beach Community Dev. Dept. | 5 |  |

## H3 Customer Service Index

## City Utilities

| Agency | 1999 Average <br> Score | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ Average <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Arroyo Grande | 6.3 | 22.0 |
| Atascadero | 17.0 | 27.0 |
| Grover Beach | 8.0 | 21.7 |
| Morro Bay | 16.0 | 22.7 |
| Paso Robles | 8.7 | 19.7 |
| Pismo Beach | 11.0 | 17.0 |
| San Luis Obispo | 10.3 | 22.0 |

## Community Service Districts

| Agency | 1999 Average <br> Score | 2001 Average <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Los Osos | 10.3 | 15.3 |
| Cambria | 15.7 | 19.0 |
| Oceano |  | 19.0 |
| Nipomo |  | 22.7 |
| Templeton |  | 18.3 |
| Avila |  | 12.7 |

Note: Each agency was contacted at least three times on different days. Each was asked a single question frequently posed by constituents (e.g. How do I get my water turned on? How do I enroll my cbildren in school?). Responses were evaluated on 20 criteria; maximum possible score is 29.

Sources: Foundation for Community Design agency survey, 1999; ACTION for Healtby Communities agency survey, 2001.

## H3 Customer Service Index, continued

## School Districts

| Agency | 1999 Average <br> Score | 2001 Average <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Paso Robles | 10.7 | 13.0 |
| Lucia Mar | 16.7 | 12.0 |
| San Luis Coastal Unified |  | 10.0 |
| Templeton |  | 14.7 |
| Atascadero |  | 12.0 |

## Elections

| Agency | 1999 Average <br> Score | 2001 Average <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| County Elections | 15.7 | 26.0 |

Note: Each agency was contacted at least three times on different days. Each was asked a single question frequently posed by constituents (e.g. How do I get my water turned on? How do I enroll my children in school?). Responses were evaluated on 20 criteria; maximum possible score is 29.

Sources: Foundation for Community Design agency survey, 1999; ACTION for Healthy Communities agency survey, 2001.

## ACTION for Healthy Communities Demographics
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## 11 Population Estimates

## San Luis Obispo County Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 176,246 | 81.2 | 187,840 | 76.1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 28,923 | 13.3 | 40,196 | 16.3 |
| Black | 4,325 | 2.0 | 4,743 | 1.9 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 5,774 | 2.7 | 6,569 | 2.7 |
| American Indian | 1,652 | 0.8 | 1,490 | 0.6 |
| Other | 242 | 0.1 | 365 | 0.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 5,478 | 2.2 |
| Total | 217,162 | 100.1 | 246,681 | 99.9 |


| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Under 15 years | 40,332 | 18.6 | 43,444 | 17.6 |
| 15 to 24 years | 37,844 | 17.4 | 43,540 | 17.7 |
| 25 to 34 years | 37,001 | 17.0 | 28,177 | 11.4 |
| 35 to 44 years | 35,675 | 16.4 | 38,416 | 15.6 |
| 45 to 54 years | 18,518 | 8.5 | 36,150 | 14.7 |
| 55 to 64 years | 17,180 | 7.9 | 21,269 | 8.6 |
| 65 to 74 years | 18,490 | 8.5 | $18,094.0$ | 7.3 |
| 75 years and over | 12,122 | 5.6 | 17,591 | 7.1 |
| Total | 217,162 | 100.0 | 246,681 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race.. The 1990 Census did not include this category..

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the SLO Council of Governments.

Source: Bureau of the Census, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## For County Jurisdictions

Cambria Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 4,787 | 88.9 | 5,153 | 82.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 498 | 9.3 | 874 | 14.0 |
| Black | 12 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.3 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 52 | 1.0 | 75 | 1.2 |
| American Indian | 31 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.3 |
| Other | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 85 | 1.4 |
| Total | 5,382 | 100.0 | 6,232 | 100.0 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 939 | 17.4 | 1,022 | 16.4 |
| $18+$ | 4443 | 82.6 | 5,210 | 83.6 |
| Total | 5,382 | 100.0 | 6,232 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## Cayucos Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 2751 | 93.0 | 2,645 | 89.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 131 | 4.4 | 200 | 6.7 |
| Black | 10 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.2 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 43 | 1.5 | 37 | 1.1 |
| American Indian | 19 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Other | 6 | 0.2 | 36 | 1.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 48 | 1.5 |
| Total | 2,960 | 100.0 | 2,943 | 100.0 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 521 | 17.6 | 495 | 16.8 |
| $18+$ | 2439 | 82.4 | 2,448 | 83.2 |
| Total | 2,960 | 100.0 | 2,943 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## 11 Population Estimates, continued

Heritage Ranch Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 1,422 | 91.4 | 1,929 | 88.6 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 89 | 5.7 | 159 | 7.3 |
| Black | 10 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 15 | 1.0 | 8 | 0.4 |
| American Indian | 20 | 1.3 | 01 | 1.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 48 | 0.0 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 2,176 | 1.6 |
| Total | 1,556 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 369 | 23.7 | 470 | 21.6 |
| $18+$ | 1,187 | 76.3 | 1,706 | 78.4 |
| Total | 1,556 | 100.0 | 2,176 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## Los Osos Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 12,569 | 87.5 | 11,871 | 82.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 995 | 6.9 | 1,292 | 9.2 |
| Black | 106 | 0.7 | 87 | 0.7 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 609 | 4.2 | 657 | 4.7 |
| American Indian | 89 | 0.6 | 60 | 0.5 |
| Other | 9 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 85 | 0.6 |
| Total | 14,377 | 100.0 | 14,351 | 100.0 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 3,363 | 23.4 | 3,105 | 21.6 |
| $18+$ | 11,014 | 76.6 | 11,246 | 78.4 |
| Total | 14,377 | 100.0 | 14,351 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## Nipomo Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 4,401 | 61.9 | 7,653 | 60.6 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2,498 | 35.2 | 4,362 | 34.5 |
| Black | 46 | 0.6 | 64 | 0.5 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 108 | 1.6 | 169 | 1.3 |
| American Indian | 46 | 0.6 | 83 | 0.7 |
| Other | 10 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.2 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 275 | 2.2 |
| Total | 7,109 | 100.0 | 12,626 | 100.0 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 2,128 | 29.9 | 3,878 | 44.3 |
| $18+$ | 4,981 | 70.1 | 8,748 | 55.7 |
| Total | 7,109 | 100.0 | 12,626 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## Oceano Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 3,708 | 60.1 | 3,548 | 48.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2,232 | 36.2 | 3,240 | 44.6 |
| Black | 39 | 0.6 | 56 | 0.8 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 132 | 2.1 | 115 | 1.6 |
| American Indian | 58 | 0.9 | 63 | 0.9 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.2 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 225 | 3.1 |
| Total | 6,169 | 99.9 | 7,260 | 100.1 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 1,809 | 29.3 | 2,139 | 29.5 |
| $18+$ | 4,360 | 70.7 | 5,121 | 70.5 |
| Total | 6,169 | 100.0 | 7,260 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## San Miguel Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 880 | 78.4 | 828 | 58.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 166 | 14.8 | 466 | 32.7 |
| Black | 36 | 3.2 | 21 | 1.5 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 14 | 1.3 | 6 | 0.4 |
| American Indian | 25 | 2.2 | 26 | 1.8 |
| Other | 2 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.8 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 69 | 4.8 |
| Total | 1,123 | 100.1 | 1,427 | 100 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 393 | 35.0 | 471 | 33.0 |
| $18+$ | 730 | 65.0 | 956 | 67.0 |
| Total | 1123 | 100.0 | 1,427 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## 11 Population Estimates, continued

## Templeton Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 2,596 | 89.9 | 3,906 | 83.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 239 | 8.3 | 554 | 11.8 |
| Black | 10 | 0.4 | 49 | 1.0 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 20 | 0.7 | 46 | 1.0 |
| American Indian | 21 | 0.7 | 26 | 0.6 |
| Other | 1 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 99 | 2.1 |
| Total | 2,887 | 100.0 | 4,687 | 100.0 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 863 | 29.9 | 1,578 | 33.7 |
| $18+$ | 2,024 | 70.1 | 3,109 | 66.3 |
| Total | 2,887 | 100.0 | 4,687 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## || Population Estimates, continued

## Arroyo Grande Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 12386 | 86.0 | 13109 | 82.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1298 | 9.0 | 1770 | 11.2 |
| Black | 75 | 0.5 | 93 | 0.6 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 503 | 3.5 | 495 | 3.1 |
| American Indian | 96 | 0.7 | 55 | 0.3 |
| Other | 20 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - |  | 316 | 2.0 |
| Total | 14398 | 99.8 | 15851 | 100 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 3370 | 23.4 | 3697 | 23.3 |
| $18+$ | 11028 | 76.6 | 12154 | 76.7 |
| Total | 14398 | 100.0 | 15851 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## 11 Population Estimates, continued

## Atascadero Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 20504 | 88.6 | 21850 | 82.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1972 | 8.5 | 2783 | 10.5 |
| Black | 230 | 1.0 | 603 | 2.3 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 226 | 1.0 | 347 | 1.3 |
| American Indian | 194 | 0.8 | 160 | 0.6 |
| Other | 12 | 0.05 | 55 | 0.2 |
| Multi-racial* |  |  | 613 | 2.3 |
| Total | 23138 | 99.95 | 26411 | 99.9 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 6727 | 29.1 | 6772 | 25.6 |
| $18+$ | 16411 | 70.9 | 19639 | 74.4 |
| Total | 23138 | 100.0 | 26411 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## || Population Estimates, continued

## Grover Beach Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 8572 | 73.4 | 9023 | 69.1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2280 | 19.6 | 2941 | 22.5 |
| Black | 188 | 1.6 | 99 | 0.8 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 479 | 4.1 | 498 | 3.8 |
| American Indian | 130 | 1.1 | 115 | 0.9 |
| Other | 7 | 0.06 | 29 | 0.2 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 362 | 2.8 |
| Total | 11656 | 99.86 | 13067 | 100.1 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 2988 | 25.6 | 3346 | 25.6 |
| $18+$ | 8668 | 74.4 | 9721 | 74.4 |
| Total | 11656 | 100.0 | 13067 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## || Population Estimates, continued

## Morro Bay Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 8619 | 89.2 | 8630 | 83.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 744 | 7.7 | 1183 | 11.4 |
| Black | 42 | 0.4 | 59 | 0.6 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 164 | 1.7 | 187 | 1.8 |
| American Indian | 89 | 0.9 | 79 | 0.8 |
| Other | 6 | 0.06 | 9 | 0.09 |
| Multi-racial* |  |  | 203 | 2.0 |
| Total | 9664 | 99.96 | 10350 | 100.09 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 1468 | 15.2 | 1566 | 15.1 |
| $18+$ | 8196 | 84.8 | 8784 | 84.9 |
| Total | 9664 | 100.0 | 10350 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.

Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## Pismo Beach Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 6961 | 90.8 | 7449 | 87.1 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 495 | 6.5 | 589 | 6.9 |
| Black | 36 | 0.5 | 49 | 0.6 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 142 | 1.9 | 249 | 2.9 |
| American Indian | 32 | 0.4 | 41 | 0.5 |
| Other | 3 | 0.04 | 11 | 0.1 |
| Multi-racial* | - | - | 163 | 1.9 |
| Total | 7669 | 100.14 | 8551 | 100 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 1131 | 14.7 | 1307 | 15.3 |
| $18+$ | 6538 | 85.3 | 7244 | 84.7 |
| Total | 7669 | 100.0 | 8551 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.

Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## Paso Robles Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 14056 | 75.6 | 15600 | 64.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3367 | 18.1 | 6735 | 27.7 |
| Black | 621 | 3.3 | 751 | 3.1 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 326 | 183 | 1.0 | 444 |
| American Indian | 30 | 0.2 | 174 | 1.8 |
| Other |  |  | 30 | 0.7 |
| Multi-racial* | 18583 | 100 | 24297 | 0.1 |
| Total |  |  | 2.3 |  |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 5436 | 29.3 | 7240 | 29.8 |
| $18+$ | 13147 | 70.7 | 17057 | 70.2 |
| Total | 18583 | 100.0 | 24297 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## |l Population Estimates, continued

## City of San Luis Obispo Demographics

| Ethnicity or Race | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 34961 | 83.3 | 34756 | 78.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3951 | 9.4 | 5147 | 11.7 |
| Black | 749 | 1.8 | 594 | 1.3 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 2046 | 4.9 | 2335 | 5.3 |
| American Indian | 217 | 0.5 | 189 | 0.4 |
| Other | 34 | 0.08 | 78 | 0.2 |
| Multi-racial* |  |  | 1075 | 2.4 |
| Total | 41958 | 99.98 | 44174 | 100 |


| Age | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $0-17$ | 5788 | 13.8 | 6263 | 14.2 |
| $18+$ | 36170 | 86.2 | 37911 | 85.8 |
| Total | 41958 | 100.0 | 44174 | 100.0 |

* The 2000 Census uses the "multi-racial" category for people who identify themselves with more than one race. The 1990 Census did not include this category.

Note: For additional census information for San Luis Obispo County, contact the council of Governments.
Source: County Department of Planning \& Building, 2001.

## 12 Senior Demographic Profile

Persons aged 60+, as a percent of total population

|  | San Luis Obispo <br> County | California |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 28,810 | $3,406,639$ |
| \% of population | 18.5 | 14.4 |
| 1990 | 39,878 | $4,234,871$ |
| \% of population | 18.4 | 14.2 |
| 2000 | 45,167 | $4,742,499$ |
| \% of population | 18.3 | 14.0 |

Source: Central Coast Commission for Senior Citizens, Area Agency on Aging and U.S. Census, 2000.

Persons aged 65+, by jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction | Total Population | Population <br> $\mathbf{+ 6 5}$ | Percent 65+ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Luis Obispo City and | 43,478 | 5,959 | 14 |
| surrounding rural areas | 14,369 | 2,459 | 17 |
| Los Osos | 14,215 | 3,830 | 27 |
| Arroyo Grande | 22,876 | 2,937 | 13 |
| Atascadero | 5,377 | 1,351 | 25 |
| Cambria | 2,946 | 700 | 24 |
| Cayucos | 11,615 | 1,496 | 13 |
| Grover Beach | 9,379 | 2,767 | 30 |
| Morro Bay | 7,097 | 1,440 | 20 |
| Nipomo | 6,124 | 692 | 11 |
| Oceano | 18,529 | 3,778 | 20 |
| Paso Robles | 7,625 | 1677 | 22 |
| Pismo Beach | 1,123 | 125 | 11 |
| San Miguel | 1,066 | 114 | 11 |
| Santa Margarita | 1,902 | 68 | 4 |
| Shandon | 2,795 | 953 | 34 |
| Templeton | $\mathbf{2 1 7 , 1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 6 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| County of San Luis Obispo |  |  |  |

Source: Central Coast Commission for Senior Citizens/ Area Agency on Aging (adapted from U.S. Census, 1990), 1999.
Note: Statistics taken from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

# ACTION for Healthy Communities 

## Appendix

## JI Telephone Questionnaire

## Introduction

My name is $\qquad$ and I am calling on behalf of San Luis Obispo County, the United Way, the YMCA and several other local organizations. We are conducting a survey of the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County. Your opinions are crucial to help develop plans for our community during the next five years. You will not be asked to buy anything or make a donation of any kind. May I please ask the first question?

If they ask for names of other sponsoring organizations, say: San Luis Obispo Community Health
Foundation, Cal Poly, and the Economic Opportunity Commission.

1. \{Do not ask\} Respondent is:
(1) Male
(2) Female
2. What city/town do you live in or live closest to?
(01) Adelaida
(02) Arroyo Grande
(03) Atascadero
(04) Avila Beach
(05) Baywood
(06) California Valley
(07) Cambria
(08) Camp Roberts
(09) Cayucos
(10) Cholame
(11) Creston
(12) Cuesta-by-the-Sea
(13) Grover Beach
(14) Halcyon
(15) Harmony
(16) Huasna
(17) Los Osos
(18) Morro Bay
(19) Nipomo
(20) Oceano
(21) Paso Robles
(22) Pismo Beach
(23) Pozo
(24) San Luis Obispo
(25) San Miguel
(26) Santa Margarita
(27) San Simeon
(28) Shandon
(29) Shell Beach
(30) Templeton
(31) Welsona
(32) Whitley Gardens
[If response is $02,03,13,18,21,22,24$ or 29 , ask Q3.1 and skip Q3.2;
otherwise, skip Q3.1 and ask Q3.2]
3. 3.1 \{added\} Do you live within the city limits of $\qquad$ \{insert previous answer\}?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
3.2 \{added\} Do you live within the service district boundary of $\qquad$ \{insert previous answer\}?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
4. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please answer "Very Concerned," "Somewhat Concerned" or "Not at All" concerned. [Rotate order]
(01) Traffic congestion
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(02) Drug, tobacco \& alcohol abuse
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(03) Family violence
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(04) Child abuse
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(05) Senior abuse
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(06) Racism
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(07) Crime
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(08) Homelessness
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(09) Employment opportunities
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(10) Gangs
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(11) Housing costs
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(12) Building in open space
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(13) Loss of wildlife habitat
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(14) Water quality
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
(15) Air pollution
(1) Very
(2) Somewhat
(9) Not at all
5. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?
(1) Very safe
(2) Somewhat safe
(3) Not at all safe
(8) Refused [Don't Prompt]
(9) Don't Know [Don't Prompt]
6. Have you felt discriminated against in San Luis Obispo County in the last twelve months?
(1) Yes [If YES, Ask question 6.1]
(2) No [If NO, Skip to question 7]
6.1 For what reason? [Do not read list. Enter up to two responses]
(1) Ethnicity / race
(2) Gender
(3) Age
(4) Language
(5) Sexual orientation
(6) Income
(7) Disability
(8) Other [please specify]
7. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?
(1) one
(5) five
(2) two
(6) six
(3) three
(7) seven or more
(4) four
8. Do you have children living with you under the age of 18 ?
(1) Yes
(2) No [If NO, Skip to question 20]
9. What are the ages of your children living at home? [enter all that apply]

| Under 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 and over |  |  |  |

10. Is this a single parent household?
(1) Yes
(2) No
[if youngest child is age 5 or under, go on to question 11; if youngest child is ages $\mathbf{6 - 1 4}$, skip to question 13; if youngest child is 15 or older, skip to question 15]
11. \{for CFC\} In the past year, have you taken part in any parent or family education programs or classes?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
12. \{for CFC\} In a typical week, how many times do you or other adults in your household read to your child or children? [Read categories]
(1) None
(2) 1-2
(3) 3-5
(4) $6-10$
(5) More than 10
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
13. Now, thinking about your childcare needs, is there a kind of childcare you need for your children but cannot get? [read list and check all that apply]
(1) Yes [If Yes, Prompt with list below]
(2) No [if No, Skip to question 15]
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know

| ㅁ 0-2 years | $\square$ - 3-5 years | ㅁ 6-14 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ (2) Part time (0-6hrs/day) | ㅁ (2) Part time (0-6hrs/day) | $\square$ (2) Part time (0-6hrs/day) |
| $\square$ (3) Full time (6-8hrs/day) | ㅁ (3) Full time (6-8hrs/day) | $\square$ (3) Full time (6-8hrs/day) |
| (4) Non traditional hours (weekends, holidays, evenings) | (4) Non traditional hours (weekends, holidays, evenings) | - (4) Non traditional hours (weekends, holidays, evenings) |
| (5) \{added\} Child care for a child who is sick | (5) \{added\} Child care for a child who is sick | - (5) \{added\} Child care for a child who is sick |

14. Where is childcare needed? [check all that apply]
(1) Near home
(2) At or near school
(3) Near work
15. Do you have children in local schools?
(1) Yes $\quad$ [If yes, Ask questions $16-19]$
(2) No $\quad$ [If no, Skip to question 20]
16. Are they in: [Circle all that apply]
(1) Elementary School
(2) Middle School / Junior High
(3) High School
17. How often do you participate in activities in your [enter previous response(s)] child's educational and extra curricular activities?

Elementary (K-5) Junior High/Middle School(6-8)
High School(9-12)
(1) Often
(1) Often
(1) Often
(2) Sometimes
(2) Sometimes
(2) Sometimes
(3) Never
(3) Never
(3) Never
18. How serious would you say the alcohol and drug abuse problem is at your [enter previous response(s)] child's school?

Elementary(K-5)
(1) Very serious
(2) Somewhat serious
(3) Not at all serious
(9) Don't know

Junior High/Middle School (6-8)
(1) Very serious
(2) Somewhat serious
(3) Not at all serious
(9) Don't know

High School(9-12)
(1) Very serious
(2) Somewhat serious
(3) Not at all serious
(9) Don't know
19. Does your child or children have enough activities after school and on weekends, including recreational activities?
Elementary(K-5)
Junior High/Middle School(6-8)
High School (9-12)
(1) Yes
(1) Yes
(1) Yes
(2) No
(2) No
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(9) Don't know
(9) Don’t know
20. Do you, or does anyone in your household, have a permanent physical or mental impairment that substantially limits a major life activity?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
21. Are you the care giver to a disabled child, disabled adult or older person?
(1) Yes [If YES, ask question 21.1]
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
21.1 Would that include a... [Read list and enter all that apply]
(1) Disabled child
(2) Disabled adult
(3) Older person
22. Do you feel you are better off this year than last year economically?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(3) About the same
23. \{Re-wording\} Is one third or more of your income used to pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity?
(1) Yes [If Yes, Ask question 23.1]
(2) No [If No, Skip to question 24]
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
23.1 Is half or more of your income used to pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
24. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?
(1) Yes [If Yes, Ask question 24.1]
(2) No [If No, skip to question 25]
24.1 If yes, what need do you go without? \{added\} (check all that apply)
(1) Food
(3) Childcare
(5) Health Care
(2) Clothing $\qquad$ (4) Housing $\qquad$ (6) Other (specify)
25. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
26. Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
27. Do you have health insurance?
(1) Yes [If YES, Ask question 27.1]
(2) No [if NO, why not? please specify]
27.1 Does that include insurance through: [Read each one and enter all that apply]
(1) your employer or spouse's employer
(2) a State or Federal program such as MediCal or MediCare
(3) private insurance you purchased on your own
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
27.2 Does it cover: [Read each one and enter all that apply]
(1) Outpatient surgery
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(2) Dental care
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(3) Mental health benefits
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(4) Regular physical exams
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(5) Substance abuse treatment
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(6) Prescriptions
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(7) Hospitalization
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
(8) Dependent spouse/children
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't know
28. Do you have a regular source of primary health care?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
29. How satisfied are you with your medical care? [Read list]
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Somewhat satisfied
(3) Not at all satisfied
30. How long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine check up? [read list]
(1) Within the past year
(2) 1-2 years
(3) 3-5 years
(4) More than 5 years ago
(5) Never

## [If youngest child is age 14 or younger, ask Q31 and Q32; otherwise, skip to Q33]

31. \{for CFC\} How long has it been since any of your children visited a doctor for a routine check up? [read list]
(1) within past 6 months (since August 2000)
(2) from 6 months to 1 year ago
(3) more than 1 year ago
32. \{for CFC\} Have you ever heard of the Healthy Families Program? [If asked about description, say "The Healthy Families Program provides low-cost health insurance for children who do not already have insurance, and whose parents have limited income."]
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't Know
33. Do you have a regular source of dental care?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
34. How long has it been since you last visited a dentist for a routine check up? [read list]
(1) Within the past year
(2) 1-2 years
(3) 3-5 years
(4) More than 5 years ago
(5) Never
(9) Don't Know
[If youngest child is age 14 or younger, ask Q 35-37; otherwise, skip to Q38]
35. \{for CFC\} How long has it been since any of your children visited a dentist for a routine check up? [read list]
(1) Within the past year
(2) 1-2 years
(3) 3-5 years
(4) More than 5 years ago
(5) Never
(9) Don't Know
36. \{for CFC\} (Has your child / Have any of your children) ever had their teeth sealed by a dentist? [If necessary, say: "That is when a dentist applies sealant material to permanent teeth of children between the ages of 7 and 12 to help protect against cavities"]
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't' Know
37. \{for CFC\} In the past year, have you received any information, education, or training on how to take care of your child(ren)'s teeth and gums, from a dentist, health class, or school?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
38. \{added from CHIS\} [INSERT IF FEMALE: "Other than during pregnancy, ...] Has a doctor ever told you that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes?
(1) Yes
(2) No [if NO, Skip to 40]
(8) Refused [if Refused, Skip to 40]
(9) Don't Know [if Don't Know, Skip to 40]
39. \{added from CHIS\} About how many times per day, per week, or per month do you or a family member or friend check your blood for glucose or sugar?
$\qquad$ times per day times per week
$\qquad$ times per month
(1) Never
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
40. \{added from CHIS\} Has a doctor ever told you that you have asthma?
(1) Yes
(2) No [if No, Skip to 42]
(8) Refused [if Refused, Skip to 42]
(9) Don't Know [if Don't Know, Skip to 42]
41. \{added from CHIS\} Are you currently taking any medications to control your asthma, including an inhaler?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
42. Do you regularly donate blood?
(1) Yes
(2) No [if NO, ask 42.1]
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
42.1 What would you say is the main reason that you do not donate blood?
(specify)
43. How many days a week do you spend 30 minutes or more doing some kind of physical activity such as brisk walking, going to the gym, cleaning house or gardening?
(1) None
(2) 1-2 days
(3) 3-4 days
(4) 5 or more days
44. \{added from CHIS\} \{IF FEMALE, ASK\} Have you EVER had a mammogram?
[IF NECESSARY, SAY: "A mammogram is an x-ray taken of each breast separately by a machine that flattens or squeezes each breast."]
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
45. \{for tobacco control\} Do you now smoke cigarettes everyday, some days, or not at all? (same as CHIS and CDC)
(1) Everyday
(2) Some days
(3) Not at all [if Not at all, Skip to 47]
(8) Refused [if Refused, Skip to 47]
46. \{for tobacco control\} In the past 12 months, have you:
a. Quit smoking for 1 day or longer? (same as CDC)
(1) Yes
(2) No
(3) Refused
b. Attended any class or participated in any program to help you stop smoking?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(3) Refused
47. \{for tobacco control\} In the past 30 days has anyone, including yourself, smoked cigarettes, cigars, or pipes anywhere inside your home? (same as CDC)
(1) Yes
(2) No [if No, Skip to 49]
(8) Refused [if Refused, Skip to 49]
(9) Don't Know [if Don't Know, Skip to 49]
48. \{for tobacco control\} Have there been any non-smokers present in your home while someone was smoking?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
49. \{for tobacco control\} Do you currently use any smokeless tobacco products, such as chewing tobacco or snuff?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
50. Do you currently smoke cigars or a pipe?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
51. How many servings of alcohol do you generally drink in a week?
(1) None
(2) 1-2
(3) 3-5
(4) 6 or more
52. Would you say that in general, your physical health (including physical illness and injury) is:
(1) Excellent
(2) Very good
(3) Good
(4) Fair
(5) Poor
53. Would you say that in general, your mental health (which includes stress, depression and problems with emotions) is:
(1) Excellent
(2) Very good
(3) Good
(4) Fair
(5) Poor
54. \{added for County Health Dept.\} These next questions are about safety and firearms. All of your answers will be kept confidential. Do you have any firearms kept in or around your home? Include those kept in a garage, outdoor storage area, car, truck, or other motor vehicles.
(1) Yes
(2) No [if No, Skip to question 57]
(8) Refused [if Refused, Skip to question 57]
(9) Don't Know [if Don't Know, Skip to question 57]
55. \{added from CHIS\} Are any of the firearms in or around your home handguns, such as pistols or revolvers?
(1) Yes
(2) No [if No, Skip to question 57]
(8) Refused [if Refused, Skip to question 57]
(9) Don't Know [if Don't Know, Skip to question 57]
56. \{from County Health Department\} How many of the firearms are currently stored under lock and key? [Read List]
(1) All of them
(2) Some of them
(3) None of them
57. \{added \} In the past three months, how many times have you visited any outdoor recreation facility in SLO County such as a park, trail, or beach? [Read list]
(1) None
(2) 1-2
(3) 3-5
(4) $6-10$
(5) 11-25
(6) $26-50$
(7) more than 50
58. \{added \} How many times have you visited any public library in the past three months? [Read list]
(1) None
(2) 1-2
(3) $3-5$
(4) $6-10$
(5) 11-25
(6) 26-50
(7) 50 or more
59. \{added \} How would you rate SLO county in the following areas:
Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor DK

| (1) Parks \& recreation opportunities | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) Public transportation services | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(9)$ |
| (3) Library services | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(9)$ |
| (4) County government, including major | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(9)$ |

(1) Parks \& recreation opportunities
(1)
(1)
(1) units such as the sheriff, social services, County planning \& building, Elections office, health department, assessor, tax collector, roads, and the County board of Supervisors
60. \{added \} Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
"The county should spend more money to: ..."

| Strongly |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree |  | Strongly |

(1) Buy and preserve open spaces
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(3) Expand library services, including extending the hours they are open
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
61. Generally speaking, what one thing contributes most to your quality of life in San Luis Obispo County? (What do you like about San Luis Obispo County?)
62. Generally speaking, what one thing do you think takes away most from your quality of life? (What don't you like about San Luis Obispo County?)

## Demographics

63. Finally, we have a few questions just for confidential classification purposes. How long have you lived in San Luis Obispo County? [Read choices and select one]
(1) less than 1 year
(2) 1 to 4 years
(3) 5 to 10 years
(4) 11 to 20 years
(5) 21 years or more
(6) 60 to 64 years
(7) 65 to 69 years
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
64. Which of the following age groups are you in? [Read list and circle one response] \{response ranges changed from 1999\}
(1) 18 to 24 years
(2) 25 to 34 years
(3) 35 to 44 years
(4) 45 to 54 years
(5) 55 to 59 years
(6) 60 to 64 years
(7) 65 to 69 years
(8) 70 to 79 years
(9) 80 years and over
(88) Refused
65. [For those age 55 or older] Please tell me if each of the following items is a "Major Problem," a "Minor Problem," or "Not a Problem" for you personally:
(01) Housing
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(02) Transportation
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(03) Loneliness
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(04) Not Having Enough Money
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(05) Taking Care of Yourself Physically
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(06) Getting Prescription Drugs
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(07) Dental Care
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(08) Eating Nutritious Food
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
(09) In-home Care
(1) Major
(2) Minor
(9) Not a Problem
66. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?
(1) Caucasian
(5) Asian
(2) Latino
(6) Multi-racial
(3) Native American
(7) Other (specify) $\qquad$
(4) African American
(8) Refused
67. How many wage earners (18 and over) live in your household?
(1) one
(2) two
(3) three
(4) four
(5) five
(6) over 5
(8) Refused
68. Which income range best describes your household income? [Read list and circle one response]
(01) Less than $\$ 15,000$ per year
(02) $\$ 15,000-\$ 25,000$ per year
(03) \$25,000-\$35,000 per year
(04) $\$ 35,000-\$ 45,000$ per year
(05) \$45,000-\$65,000 per year
(06) \$65,000-\$80,000 per year
(07) \$80,000-\$100,00 per year
(08) \$100,00- \$125,000 per year
(09) \$125,000- \$150,000 per year
(10) Over $\$ 150,000$ per year
(88) Refused
69. \{added\} Excluding Social Security retirement checks, are you or is anyone in your household now receiving regular payments or benefits from any government program? This would include programs such as Medicare, food stamps, and rent subsidies as well as others.
(1) Yes [If Yes, ask 69.1]
(2) No
(8) Refused
(9) Don't Know
69.1 What program or programs would that be?
[Don't prompt unless asked; select all that apply]
(01) Medicare
(02) Medical
(03) CalWORKS, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) (was AFDC)
(04)Food stamps
(05) Social Security retirement payments
(06) Social Security survivors payments (payments to family members when a worker dies)
(07) Social Security disability payments (for adult or child with a disability)
(07) General Assistance
(08) Section 8 Housing, rent assistance
(09) Women Infants and Children (WIC)
(10) Other (write in) $\qquad$
70. What is your highest level of education? [Read list and circle one response \{prompt added\}]
(1) No formal education
(2) Elementary School
(3) Junior High School
(4) High School
(5) Vocational/Trade School
(6) Community College / Junior College
(7) Four-year College
(8) Graduate School
71. Do you own or rent your primary residence?
(1) Own
(2) Rent
72. What is your employment status? [Read list and circle up to two responses]
(1) Employed full-time
(2) Employed part -time
(3) Unemployed
(4) Self-employed
(5) Retired
(6) Student
(7) Homemaker
(8) Disabled
73. What is the zip code where you live? $\qquad$

Closing script: Thank you for taking the time to talk with me today.
74. Language:
(1) English
(2) Spanish

## J2 Community Survey

## ACTION for Healthy Communities

San Luis Obispo schools, hospitals, government and other local organizations are conducting a survey of the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County. Your opinions are crucial to help develop plans for the community during the next few years. Please take a few minutes to give us your opinions. ONLY ADULT RESIDENTS OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY SHOULD COMPLETE THIS SURVEY PLEASE.

1. How concerned are you about the following issues in your community? For each one, please circle one answer: "Very" "Somewhat" or "Not at All" concerned.
(01) Traffic congestion

| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |
| (1) Very | (2) Somewhat | (9) Not at all |

2. How safe would you say you feel in your neighborhood?
(1) Very safe
(2) Somewhat safe
(3) Not at all safe
3. Do you feel you are better off this year than last year economically?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(3) About the same
4. In any given month, do you have to go without basic needs such as food, clothing, childcare, housing or health care?
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (1) Yes } & \text { [If Yes, Answer question 4.1] } \\ \text { (2) No } & \text { [If No, skip to question 5] }\end{array}$
4.1 If yes, what do you go without? (check all that apply)
(1) Food
(3) Childcare $\qquad$ (5) Health Care $\qquad$
(2) Clothing $\qquad$ (4) Housing $\qquad$ (6) Other (specify)
5. Have you felt discriminated against in San Luis Obispo County in the last twelve months?
(1) Yes [If YES, Answer question 5.1]
(2) No [If NO, Skip to question 6]
5.1 For what reason?
(1) Ethnicity / race
(2) Gender
(3) Age
(4) Language
(5) Sexual orientation
(6) Income
(7) Disability
(8) Other [please specify]
6. Have you or a member of your household needed health care in the past year and been unable to receive it because you could not afford it?
(1) Yes
(2) No
7. Have you ever felt the need to talk to a mental health professional but have not had the money or insurance to do so?
(1) Yes
(2) No
8. Do you have health insurance?
(1) Yes
(2) No [If NO, why not? please specify]
9. Do you have a regular source of primary health care?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't Know
10. Would you say that in general, your physical health (including physical illness and injury) is:
(1) Excellent
(2) Very good
(3) Good
(4) Fair
(5) Poor
11. Do you have a permanent physical or mental impairment that substantially limits a major life activity?
(1) Yes
(2) No
12. Are you currently homeless?
(1) Yes
(2) No
13. Which of the following best describes you?
(1) Working full time ( 35 hours a week or more)
(2) Working part time
(3) Looking for work
(4) Homemaker
(5) Retired
(6) Student
(7) Unable to work because of a disability
14. Are you a single parent?
(1) Yes
(2) No
15. Is one third or more of your income used to pay for housing, including utilities, such as gas and electricity?
(1) Yes
(2) No
16. Is there a working telephone where you live?
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Don't Know
17. Do you have any firearms kept in or around your home? Include those kept in a garage, outdoor storage area, car, truck, or other motor vehicles. (Answers will be kept confidential)
(1) Yes
(2) No
(8) Don't Know
18. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?
(1) one
(5) five
(2) two
(6) six
(3) three
(7) seven or more
(4) four
19. Excluding Social Security retirement checks, are you or is anyone in your household now receiving regular payments or benefits from any government program? This would include programs such as Medicare, food stamps, and rent subsidies as well as others.
(1) Yes
(2) No
(9) Don't Know

If yes, what program(s) would that be?
20. Which of the following age groups are you in? [circle one response]
(1) 18 to 24 years
(2) 25 to 34 years
(3) 35 to 44 years
(4) 45 to 54 years
(5) 55 to 59 years
(6) 60 to 64 years
(7) 65 to 69 years
(8) 70 to 79 years
(9) 80 years and over
21. Which income range best describes your household income? [circle one response]
(01) Less than $\$ 15,000$ per year
(02) $\$ 15,000-\$ 25,000$ per year
(03) \$25,000 - \$35,000 per year
(04) $\$ 35,000$ - $\$ 45,000$ per year
(05) \$45,000-\$65,000 per year
(06) \$65,000 - \$80,000 per year
(07) \$80,000 - \$100,00 per year
(08) $\$ 100,000-\$ 125,000$ per year
(09) $\$ 125,000-\$ 150,000$ per year
(10) Over \$150,000 per year
22. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?
(1) Caucasian/White
(5) Asian
(2) Latino
(6) Multi-racial
(3) Native American
(7) Other (specify) $\qquad$
(4) African American
23. What is the zip code where you live? $\qquad$


[^0]:    Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

[^1]:    Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healtby Communities, Telephone Survey.

[^2]:    Source: Real Data, in UCSB Economic Forecast Project, 1999.
    Note: Statistics taken directly from 1999 Action Report. Updated information not available.

[^3]:    *NPR is National Percentile Ranking. NPR's shown here are simple averages of NPR scores for each grade level tested (not weighted by number of children taking test in each grade). National Median Score is 50. Test is administered in Spring of each year listed. Source: California Department of Education, 2001 (bttp:// star.cde.ca.gov/star2001/).

[^4]:    Source: California Department of Education, 2001. *Note: The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) program has used The Stanford Achievement Test Series, Ninth Edition, Form T (Stanford 9) since its inception. The Stanford 9 is a multiple-choice test that allows comparisons to a national sample of students. The average student's national percentile rank (NPR) is shown here (unweighted average of NPRs for all grades tested). National Median Score is 50. Students in grades 2-8 were tested in reading, math, language and spelling. Students in grades 9-11 also took tests in science and social science, but not spelling.

[^5]:    Source: California Department of Education 2001. (Single-year dropout rates; four-year estimates not available by etbnicity.)

[^6]:    Source: Portland State University, California Department of Education, 1996.
    Note: Statistics taken directly from ACTION 1999 Data Report. Updated information not available.

[^7]:    Source: State of California Employment Development Department, 2001.

[^8]:    Source: Children's Services Network, 2001

[^9]:    Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

[^10]:    Source: Califormia Department of Education, 2001.

[^11]:    Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

[^12]:    Source: 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

[^13]:    Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys.

[^14]:    Source: Statewide survey of 1,737 students from 174 schools sponsored by California Department of Health Services Immunization Branch, 2000; health records of kindergartners were examined to see how many children were up-to-date at age two; thus, a three-year time-lag in data (e.g. 1997 data were gathered from kindergartners in 2000).
    bttp:// www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/izgroup/pdf/ ka00.pdf; http:// www.dbs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/izgroup/pdf/krtab00.pdf.

[^15]:    Source: California Department of Health Services, 2001.

[^16]:    *RLOS stands for "Recommended Level of Severity." Levels include:
    Level I: When enrollment projections reach school capacity within seven years
    Level II: When enrollment projections reach school capacity within five years
    Level III: When enrollment equals or exceeds school capacity

[^17]:    Level of Severity I: When projected water demand over the next nine years equals or exceeds the estimated dependable supply
    Level of Severity II: When projected water demand over the next seven years equals or exceeds the estimated dependable supply
    Level of Severity III: When the existing water demand equals or exceeds the dependable supply

[^18]:    Source: 1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey.

[^19]:    Source: 2000 California Criminal Justice Profile, 2001.

[^20]:    Source: Children Services Network, 2001

[^21]:    Source: Offender Information Services Branch, Department of Corrections, 2001. State Department of Finance population figures, 2001.

[^22]:    Source:1999 and 2001 ACTION for Healthy Communities, Survey

[^23]:    Source: HOTLINE of San Luis Obispo County, 2001.
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